

ANNALES UNIVERSITATIS MARIAE CURIE-SKŁODOWSKA  
LUBLIN-POLONIA

VOL. XLII, 19

SECTIO A

1988

Instytut Matematyki  
Uniwersytet Marii Curie-Skłodowskiej

A. WESOŁOWSKI

On a Certain Extension of Epstein's Univalence Criterion

O pewnym uogólnieniu kryterium jednolistności Epsteina

**Abstract.** In this paper a sufficient univalence condition for meromorphic and locally univalent functions in the unit disk is given (Theorem 2).

This condition is an essential generalization of the Epstein's univalence criterion [7]. As particular cases the well-known univalence criteria of Ahlfors [1] and Nehari [6] are obtained.

Moreover, a sufficient univalence criterion for meromorphic and locally univalent functions in the upper half plane is given (Theorem 3).

1. Ch. Pommerenke has recently given a simplified proof of a univalence criterion obtained earlier by Epstein in another way. In his proof an additional assumption made by Epstein was dropped (see e.g. [7, p. 143]).

Let  $D = \{z : |z| < 1\}$  and let  $S_f$  denote the Schwarzian derivative

$$S_f(z) = \left( \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right)' - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right)^2.$$

**Theorem 1.** (C.L. Epstein, see e.g. [7]) Let  $f$  be meromorphic and  $g$  analytic in  $D$ . If both functions are locally univalent in  $D$  and if

$$(1.1) \quad \left| \frac{1}{2} (1 - |z|^2)^3 (S_f(z) - S_g(z)) + (1 - |z|^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{g''(z)}{g'(z)} \right| \leq 1$$

for  $z \in D$  then  $f$  is univalent in  $D$ .

If  $g(z) \equiv z$  then (1.1) gives

$$(1.2) \quad |(1 - |z|^2)^3 S_f(z)| \leq 2$$

and this is the well-known univalence criterion of Nehari [6].

If  $g = f$  then (1.1) implies

$$(1.3) \quad (1 - |z|^2) \left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \leq 1,$$

and this is also a well-known univalence criterion (see e.g. [9, p.172]).

2. The following theorem is a generalization of the univalence criterion given by Epstein.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $f$  be meromorphic and  $g$  analytic in  $D$ . If both functions are locally univalent in  $D$  and if there exists a function  $h$ , analytic in  $D$ , satisfying  $\operatorname{Re} h(z) \geq \frac{1}{2}$  and such that

$$(2.1) \quad \left| \frac{h(z) - 1}{h(z)} |z|^2 - (1 - |z|^2) \left( \frac{zh'(z)}{h(z)} + \frac{zg''(z)}{g'(z)} \right) - \right. \\ \left. - \frac{1}{2}(1 - |z|^2)^2 \frac{z}{\bar{z}} h(z)(S_f(z) - S_g(z)) \right| \leq 1, \quad z \in D$$

then  $f$  is univalent in  $D$ .

**Proof.** Let  $f(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + \dots$ ,  $g(z) = b_0 + b_1 z + \dots$ ,  $a_1 \neq 0$ ,  $b_1 \neq 0$ . Then it is possible to consider the functions :

$$f^*(z) = \frac{f - a_0}{a_1 + \left(\frac{a_2}{a_1} - \frac{b_2}{b_1}\right)(f - a_0)}, \quad g^*(z) = \frac{g - b_0}{b_1}$$

instead of  $f$  and  $g$ .

These normalizations don't impair the generality of our considerations and we may also assume that

$$f(z) = g(z) + O(z^3) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{f'}{g'} = 1 + O(z^2) \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow 0.$$

Let us introduce now

$$(2.2) \quad v(z) = \sqrt{\frac{g'(z)}{f'(z)}} = 1 + \beta z^2 + O(z^3),$$

$$(2.3) \quad u(z) = f(z) \cdot v(z) = z + \alpha z^2 + O(z^3).$$

Both functions are analytic in  $D$  because  $f$  cannot have multiple poles and because  $f'$  and  $g'$  do not vanish in  $D$ .

For  $t \in I = < 0, \infty)$  we consider [3, p.38]

$$(2.4) \quad f(z, t) = \frac{u(ze^{-t}) + (e^t - e^{-t})zh(ze^{-t})u'(ze^{-t})}{v(ze^{-t}) + (e^t - e^{-t})zh(ze^{-t})v'(ze^{-t})}.$$

The function  $f(z, t)$  is for each fixed  $t \in I$  meromorphic in  $D$ . From (2.2) and from the assumption on the function  $h$  given in Theorem 1 it follows that the denominator in (2.4) has the form  $1 + O(z^2)$  as  $z \rightarrow 0$ , uniformly with respect to  $t$ .

It is easy to show, that  $\frac{f(z, t)}{a_1(t)} = z + \dots$ ,  $t \in I$ , is a normal family in  $D$ , where

$$(2.5) \quad a_1(t) = e^{-t} + (e^t - e^{-t})h(0) \quad \text{and} \quad |a_1(t)| \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

From (2.3) and (2.4) we have

$$(2.6) \quad f(z, t) = a_1(t)z + O(z^2) \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $a_1(t)$  is defined by (2.5).

Let us denote  $f'(z, t) = \frac{\partial f(z, t)}{\partial z}$ ,  $\dot{f}(z, t) = \frac{\partial f(z, t)}{\partial t}$ . After some calculations we obtain from (2.4)

$$(2.7) \quad w = \frac{\dot{f}(z, t) - zf'(z, t)}{\dot{f}(z, t) + zf'(z, t)} = \frac{h-1}{h} e^{-2t} - (1-e^{-2t}) \frac{ze^{-t}h'}{h} - \frac{(1-e^{-2t})ze^{-t}(u''v - uv'') + (1-e^{-2t})^2 z^2 h(u''v' - u'v'')}{u'v - uv'}$$

where  $u, v, h, u', v', h', u'', v''$  are evaluated at the point  $ze^{-t}$

From (2.3) and the assumption (2.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} u'v - uv' &= f'v^2 \\ u''v - uv'' &= f''v^2 + 2f'v'v = f'v^2 \frac{g''}{g'} \\ u''v' - u'v'' &= f''v'v - f'v''v + 2f'(v')^2 = \frac{1}{2} f'v^2 (S_f - S_g). \end{aligned}$$

Taking this and (2.7) into account we have for  $z \in D$

$$(2.8) \quad w = \frac{h(ze^{-t}) - 1}{h(ze^{-t})} e^{-2t} - (1-e^{-2t}) \left( \frac{ze^{-t}h'(ze^{-t})}{h(ze^{-t})} + \frac{ze^{-t}g''(ze^{-t})}{g'(ze^{-t})} \right) - \frac{1}{2} (1-e^{-2t})^2 z^2 h(ze^{-t}) (S_f(ze^{-t}) - S_g(ze^{-t})).$$

The right-hand side is equal  $\frac{h(z) - 1}{h(z)}$  for  $t = 0$  and is analytic in  $\overline{D} = \{z : |z| \leq 1\}$  if  $t > 0$ . Then putting  $ze^{-t} = \varsigma$ ,  $e^{-t} = |\varsigma|$  and replacing  $\varsigma$  through  $z$  we have from (2.8) and the assumption (2.1) that

$$\left| \frac{\dot{f}(z, t) - zf'(z, t)}{\dot{f}(z, t) + zf'(z, t)} \right| \leq 1,$$

so  $\dot{f}(z, t) = zf'(z, t) \cdot p(z, t)$ ,  $\operatorname{Re} p(z, t) > 0$  for  $z \in D$ ,  $t \in I$ .

Since  $\frac{f(z, t)}{a_1(t)}$ ,  $t \in I$ , is a normal family it follows from (2.5) that  $f(z, t)$  is a Löwner chain and  $f(z, t)$  is univalent in  $D$  [8, Corollary 3].

In particular we conclude from (2.3) and (2.4) that  $f(z) = f(z, 0) = \frac{u(z)}{v(z)}$  is univalent in  $D$  and this ends the proof.

The proof given here is analogous to the proof given by Pommerenke in [7].

**3. Corollary 1.** If  $h(z) \equiv 1$ ,  $z \in D$ , then (2.1) gives (1.1).

**Corollary 2.** If we put  $h(z) = \frac{1}{1 - \omega(z)}$ , where  $|\omega(z)| \leq 1$ ,  $\omega(z) \neq 1$  for  $z \in D$ , then we obtain from (2.1)

$$(3.1) \quad \left| \omega(z)|z|^2 - (1 - |z|^2) \left( \frac{zw'(z)}{1 - \omega(z)} + \frac{zg''(z)}{g'(z)} - \frac{1}{2}(1 - |z|^2)^2 \frac{z}{\bar{z}} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \omega(z)} (S_f(z) - S_g(z)) \right) \right| \leq 1 .$$

The univalence criterion obtained by Z. Lewandowski and J. Stankiewicz [4] shows to be a particular case of (3.1) as  $g(z) \equiv z$ .

**Corollary 3.** On putting  $\omega(z) = c = \text{const.}$ ,  $|c| \leq 1$ ,  $c \neq 1$  and  $g = f$ , or  $g(z) \equiv z$ , respectively, in (3.1) we obtain

$$\left| c|z|^2 - (1 - |z|^2) \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \leq 1 , \quad z \in D$$

or

$$|(1 - |z|^2)^2 S_f(z) - 2c(1 - c)\bar{z}^2| \leq 2|1 - c| , \quad z \in D$$

These are the well-known univalence criteria given by Ahlfors [1], which for  $c = 0$  give (1.3) and (1.2), respectively.

**Remark.** The univalence criterion given here is a generalization of the criterion obtained by Epstein as the following example shows.

Let  $f(z) = (1 + z)^2$ ,  $g(z) = z + \frac{1}{2}z^2$ .

For  $z \in D$  we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{2}(1 - |z|^2)^2 (S_f - S_g) + (1 - |z|^2) \bar{z} \frac{g''}{g'} \right| = (1 - |z|^2) \left| \frac{\bar{z}}{1 + z} \right| \leq |z| + |z|^2 ,$$

thus the inequality (1.1) isn't satisfied in  $D$ .

The same pair of functions  $f$  and  $g$  and  $h(z) = \frac{1}{1 + z}$ , gives

$$\left| \frac{h - 1}{h} |z|^2 - (1 - |z|^2) \left( \frac{zh'}{h} + \frac{zg''}{g'} \right) - \frac{1}{2}(1 - |z|^2)^2 \frac{z}{\bar{z}} h(S_f - S_g) \right| = |z|^3 < 1 .$$

Also the criteria obtained by Ahlfors [1], Lewandowski and Stankiewicz [4] are not satisfied by the function  $f(z) = (1 + z)^2$ .

The criteria of univalence in the unit disk  $D$  can be transferred on the upper half plane  $U = \{z \in C, \operatorname{Im} z > 0\}$ . The mapping  $w = t \frac{1+z}{1-z}$  i.,  $t > 0$ ,  $z \in D$ , together with Theorem 2, gives

**Theorem 3.** Let  $f$  be meromorphic and  $g$  analytic in  $U$ . If both functions are locally univalent in  $U$  and if there exists a function  $h$ ,  $\operatorname{Re} h \geq \frac{1}{2}$ , analytic in  $U$  such that

$$(3.2) \quad \left| \frac{h(z) - 1}{h(z)} \left| \frac{z - it}{z + it} \right|^2 + 2iy \frac{z - it}{z + it} \left( \frac{h'(z)}{h(z)} + \frac{g''(z)}{g'(z)} + \frac{2}{z + it} \right) + \right. \\ \left. + 2y^2 \frac{z^2 + t^2}{z^2 + t^2} h(z)(S_f(z) - S_g(z)) \right| \leq 1, \quad z \in U, \quad t > 0, \quad y = \operatorname{Im} z,$$

then  $f$  is univalent in  $U$ .

Putting in (3.2)  $g(z) = \frac{z - it}{z + it}$ ,  $t > 0$ ,  $z \in U$ ,  $h(z) = \frac{1 + p(z)}{2}$ ,  $\operatorname{Re} p(z) \geq 0$  in  $U$  we obtain a theorem due to Lewandowski and Stankiewicz [5].

Putting in turn  $h(z) = \frac{1}{c}$ , where  $c$  is a constant satisfying  $|c - 1| \leq 1$ ,  $g(z) = \frac{z - it}{z + it}$ ,  $t > 0$ ,  $z \in U$  we obtain the inequality of Ahlfors [1] for  $t \rightarrow \infty$  from (3.2):

$$|2y^2 S_f(z) + c(1 - c)| \leq |c|, \quad z \in U, \quad \operatorname{Im} z = y.$$

If in the last inequality the right hand side is replaced by  $k|c|$ ,  $0 \leq k < 1$ , then the inequality implies the possibility of a  $K$ -quasiconformal extension of  $f$  on  $\overline{C}$ ,  $K = (1 + k)/(1 - k)$ . This was proved in [2] and was a positive answer to the conjecture put forward by Ahlfors [1]. A similar question arises in the case of the inequality (3.1) for  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . This problem is in the course of study.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Ahlfors, L. V., *Sufficient conditions for quasiconformal extension*, Princeton Annals of Math. 79 (1974), 23–29.
- [2] Anderson, J. M., Hinkkanen, A., *A univalence criterion*, Michigan Math. J. 32 (1985), 33–40.
- [3] Becker, J., *Löwendersche Differentialgleichung und quasikonform fortsetzbare schlichte Funktionen*, J. reine angew. Math. 255 (1972), 23–43.
- [4] Lewandowski, Z., Stankiewicz, J., *Some sufficient conditions for univalence*, Folia Scientiarum Universitatis Technicae Resoviensis 14 (1984), 11–16.
- [5] Lewandowski, Z., Stankiewicz, J., *Sufficient conditions for univalence and quasiconformal extension in a halfplane*, Folia Sci. Univ. Tech. Resoviensis 48 (1988), 67–76.
- [6] Nehari, Z., *The Schwarzian derivative and schlicht functions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 55 (1949), 545–551.
- [7] Pommerenke, Ch., *On the Epstein univalence criterion*, Results in Mathematics vol 10 (1986), 143–146.
- [8] Pommerenke, Ch., *Über die Subordination analytischer Funktionen*, J. reine angew. Math. 218 (1965), 159–173.
- [9] Pommerenke, Ch., *Univalent Functions*, Göttingen 1975.

**STRESZCZENIE**

W pracy podano warunek dostateczny jednolistności funkcji meromorficznej i lokalnie jednolistnej w kole jednostkowym (tw.2). Warunek ten jest istotnym uogólnieniem warunku podanego przez Epsteina [7]. Przy odpowiednich założeniach otrzymuje się znane kryteria jednolistności Ahlforsa [1] lub Nehariego [6].

W twierdzeniu 3 podano dostateczny warunek jednolistności funkcji meromorficznej i lokalnie jednolistnej w górnej półpłaszczyźnie.

1. Z. Abdulhadi, W. Hengartner: Univalent Logharmonic Mappings.
2. W. Cieślak, J. Zająć: On the Ahlfors Class  $N$  in Annulus.
3. W. Drosdak: Distortion Problem for Bounded Convex Functions Normalized by Montel's Conditions.
4. R. Fournier: A Growth Theorem for a Class of Convex Functions.
5. J. Górski: On the Bieberbach Inequalities in the Class  $S$ .
6. E. Hoy: Über die Approximation Einiger Extremaler Quasikonformer Abbildungen.
7. Z. J. Jakubowski, W. Majchrzak, A. Szwankowski: On Some Problem in the Class  $S$  of Functions Holomorphic and Univalent in the Unit Disc.
8. S. Kirsch: Remarks on Extremal Problems in a Class of Quasiconformal Mappings in the Mean.
9. W. Koepf: Close-to-convex Functions, Univalence Criteria and Quasiconformal Extension.
10. J. G. Krzyż: Boundary Correspondence under Quasiconformal Mappings Revisited.
11. V. Lappalainen: Local and Global Lipschitz Classes.
12. R. J. Libera, E. J. Złotkiewicz: Bounded Univalent Functions with Montel Normalization.
13. P. Liczborski: Jack's Lemma for Holomorphic Mappings in  $C^n$ .
14. A. Maciejkowska: On Some Mappings Obtained by a Holomorphic Continuation from  $R^{2n}$  into  $C^{2n}$ .
15. T. H. MacGregor: Two Applications of Mappings onto the Complement of Spirals.
16. J. Miazga, A. Wesołowski: A Univalence Criterion and the Structure of Some Subclasses of Univalent Functions.
17. V. Nestoridis: Interval Averages.
18. D. Partyka, J. Zająć: An Estimate of the Integral of Quasisymmetric Functions.
19. D. Pashkuleva: On the Radius of Spiral-convexity of a Subclass of Spiral-like Functions.
20. H. Pinto, St. Ruscheweyh, L. Salinas: On Semi-dual Analytic Functions.
21. Q. I. Rahman: On Linear Combination of Convex and Certain Other Holomorphic Mappings.
22. H. Renelt: Generalized Powers in the Theory of  $(\gamma, n)$ -solutions.
23. F. Renning: Duality Applied to Meromorphic Functions with a Simple Pole at the Origin.

ANNALES UNIVERSITATI

LUBLIN

VOL. XL

SI

24. J. Stankiewicz, Z-Stankiewicz  
Functions.
25. S. Topila, P. Lounesto: On a Con-
26. J. Waniurski: Convex Mappings and
27. Wen Guo-Chun: The Nonschlicht I
28. J. Zderkiewicz: On a Generalized Problem of M. Biernacki for Subordinate Functions.
29. List of Problems.

Biblioteka Uniwersytetu  
MARII CURIE-SKŁODOWSKIEJ  
w Lublinie

4050 | 42

CZASOPISMA

1988

Adresse:

UNIWERSYTET MARII CURIE-SKŁODOWSKIEJ  
BIURO WYDAWNICTW

Plac Marii  
Curie-Skłodowskiej 5

20-031 LUBLIN

POLOGNE