

Instytut Matematyki
Uniwersytet Marii-Curie Skłodowskiej

J. G. KRZYŻ

**Some Remarks Concerning the Cauchy Operator
on AD - regular Curves**

Pewne uwagi dotyczące operatora Cauchy'ego
na AD-regularnych krzywych

Abstract. In this paper we prove some results concerning the Cauchy operator G_Γ acting on $L^p(\Gamma)$ where Γ is regular in the sense of Ahlfors-David (i.e. AD-regular). In particular we show that G_Γ is an involution, i.e. $G_\Gamma = G_\Gamma^{-1}$ for any $p > 1$.

Moreover, we give a precise value of $\|G_\Gamma\|$ in the L^2 -case and show that $\|G_\Gamma\| = 1$ if and only if Γ is a circle.

1. **AD-regularity and complementary Hardy spaces.** Let us suppose that D is a bounded domain whose boundary is a rectifiable curve Γ and let $L^p(\Gamma)$, $1 \leq p < +\infty$, denote the class of complex-valued functions h on Γ such that $\int_\Gamma |h(z)| |dz| < +\infty$. A function f holomorphic in D is said to belong to the class $E^p(D)$, $1 \leq p < +\infty$, if there exists a sequence (C_n) of rectifiable Jordan curves C_n in D approaching Γ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ such that for some $M > 0$ we have $\int_{C_n} |f(z)|^p |dz| \leq M$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This condition does not depend on a special choice of (C_n) , cf. [3]. Any function $f \in E^p(D)$ has non-tangential limits a.e. (w.r.t. the arc-length measure) on Γ and the limiting function may be also denoted by f . Then $\int_\Gamma |f(z)|^p |dz| < +\infty$ and f does not vanish on subsets of Γ of positive measure unless $f(z) \equiv 0$.

Conversely, any function $f \in E^p(D)$, $p \geq 1$, can be recovered from its boundary values on Γ by means of the Cauchy integral :

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_\Gamma f(\zeta)(\zeta - z)^{-1} d\zeta, \quad z \in D.$$

For $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \bar{D}$ the integral on the right vanishes identically.

If $D_1, D_2 \ni \infty$ are the components of $\bar{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Gamma$ then for any $h \in L^p(\Gamma)$, $p \geq 1$, the

Cauchy-type integral

$$(1.2) \quad (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{\Gamma} h(\zeta)(\zeta - z)^{-1}, \quad z \notin \Gamma,$$

generates two functions f, g holomorphic in D_1 and D_2 , resp.

The classical problem to characterize rectifiable curves Γ and the exponents p so that any $h \in L^p(\Gamma)$ would generate via the Cauchy-type integral (1.2) two holomorphic functions $f \in E^p(D_1)$, $g \in E^p(D_2)$ with $h \mapsto f$, $h \mapsto g$ being bounded linear operators on $L^p(\Gamma)$, has found its final solution in the paper of Guy David [2].

A more detailed presentation of this important problem, its background and consequences may be found in the excellent survey article [4].

Since the existence of non-tangential limits of the integral (1.2) at $z \in \Gamma$ is equivalent to the existence of the Cauchy principal value $C h(z)$, where

$$(1.3) \quad C h(z) = C_{\Gamma} h(z) = \frac{1}{\pi i} P.V. \int_{\Gamma} h(\zeta)(\zeta - z)^{-1} d\zeta, \quad z \in \Gamma,$$

we may ask an equivalent question: When is the Cauchy operator (1.3) $h \mapsto C_{\Gamma} h$ a bounded linear operator on L^p ? To this end we need

Definition 1.1. A locally rectifiable (not necessarily Jordan) curve Γ is said to be regular in the sense of Ahlfors–David, or AD-regular (cf. [1], [2]), if there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that for any disk $D(a, r)$ with radius r and centre a the arc length measure of $D(a, r) \cap \Gamma$ is at most $M r$.

The definition of Ahlfors (cf. [1, pp.159–160]) is more general than that of David and applies to curves on Riemann surfaces, with the constant M depending on the neighbourhood containing the disk. Since the curves in [1] were investigated in a quite different setting, we prefer to attribute this concept of regularity to both authors. The AD-regularity shows to be invariant under Moebius transformations, cf. [5, p.70].

According to David [2] the Cauchy operator C_{Γ} is bounded on $L^p(\Gamma)$, $1 < p < +\infty$, for a locally rectifiable (not necessarily Jordan) curve Γ if and only if Γ is AD-regular.

If Γ is an AD-regular Jordan curve in the finite plane \mathbf{O} , then its complementary domains $D_1, D_2 \ni \infty$ are of Smirnov type [2]. This means that for any $f \in E^p(D_1)$, $1 \leq p < +\infty$, there exists a sequence (P_n) of polynomials such that

$$\int_{\Gamma} |f(z) - P_n(z)|^p |dz| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Moreover, if $0 \in D_1$, then for any $g \in E^p(D_2)$, $g(\infty) = 0$ and $p \geq 1$ there exists a sequence (Q_n) of polynomials with vanishing constant terms such that

$$\int_{\Gamma} |g(z) - Q_n(z^{-1})|^p |dz| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

In this case the classes $E^p(D_k)$, $k = 1, 2$; $p > 1$, are obvious analogues of Hardy classes H^p in the unit disk D and therefore we adopt the notation

$$(1.4) \quad H^p(D_1) := E^p(D_1) \quad , \quad H^p(D_2) := \{g \in E^p(D_2) : G(\infty) = 0\} \quad ,$$

where $D_1 \ni 0$, $D_2 \ni \infty$ are complementary domains of an AD-regular Jordan curve Γ . Then $H^p(D_k)$ are said to be complementary Hardy spaces of Γ . Since the non-tangential limiting values on Γ of $f \in H^p(D_1)$ and $g \in H^p(D_2)$ uniquely determine the functions f, g via the Cauchy integral (1.1) (for g the orientation of Γ has to be changed) we may consider complementary Hardy spaces of Γ as subspaces of $L^p(\Gamma)$.

As pointed out by David [2], for any $1 < p < +\infty$ and any $h \in L^p(\Gamma)$ the unique decomposition $h = f - g$ with $f \in H^p(D_1)$, $g \in H^p(D_2)$ holds so that

$$(1.5) \quad L^p(\Gamma) = H^p(D_1) \cup H^p(D_2) \quad , \quad H^p(D_1) \cap H^p(D_2) = \{0\} \quad .$$

Thus $L^p(\Gamma)$ may be considered as a topological and a direct sum of complementary Hardy spaces of Γ . The unique David decomposition (1.5) of $h \in L^p(\Gamma)$ is performed by the Plemelj formulas

$$(1.6) \quad f(\zeta) = \frac{1}{2} [h(\zeta) + C h(\zeta)] \quad , \quad g(\zeta) = \frac{1}{2} [-h(\zeta) + C h(\zeta)]$$

a.e. on Γ so that

$$(1.7) \quad h(\zeta) = f(\zeta) - g(\zeta) \quad ; \quad f \in H^p(D_1) \quad , \quad g \in H^p(D_2) \quad ,$$

$$(1.8) \quad C h(\zeta) = f(\zeta) + g(\zeta) \quad .$$

As an immediate consequence of (1.7), (1.8) and the uniqueness of the decomposition (1.7) we obtain

Theorem 1.2. *If Γ is an AD-regular Jordan curve then the Cauchy operator (1.3) is an involution on $L^p(\Gamma)$ for any $p > 1$, i.e.*

$$(1.9) \quad C^2 = I \quad , \quad \text{or } C^{-1} = C \quad ,$$

where I stands for the identity operator.

Proof. If $h = f \in H^p(D_1)$ then $g = 0$ by the uniqueness statement and (1.8) implies $Cf = f$. Similarly, $h = -g \in H^p(D_2)$ implies $Cg = -g$. Using this we obtain from (1.8): $CCh = Cf + Cg = f - g = h$ and this is equivalent to (1.9).

Corollary 1.3. $C(L^p(\Gamma)) = L^p(\Gamma)$.

Corollary 1.4. *The numbers $\lambda = \mp 1$ are the only eigenvalues of the operator C . The functions $f \in H^p(D_1)$, $g \in H^p(D_2)$ are eigenfunctions corresponding to $\lambda = 1$ and $\lambda = -1$, resp.*

In fact, if $h = \lambda Ch$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $h \in L^p(\Gamma)$, $h \neq 0$, then by (1.9) $Ch = \lambda h$, i.e. $h = \lambda^2 h$ and hence $\lambda = \mp 1$. If $\lambda = 1$ then (1.7) and (1.8) imply $g = 0$ and $h = f \in H^p(D_1)$. Similarly $\lambda = -1$ means $h = -Ch$ and consequently $h = -g$.

Corollary 1.5. The resolvent $R_\lambda = (I - \lambda C)^{-1}$ has the form

$$R_\lambda = (1 - \lambda^2)^{-1} I + \lambda(1 - \lambda^2)^{-1} C, \quad \lambda \neq \pm 1.$$

2. Complementary Hardy spaces $H^2(D_k)$ of Γ . If $p = 2$ then $L^2(\Gamma)$ becomes a Hilbert space with the inner product $\langle x, y \rangle = 1/|\Gamma| \int_{\Gamma} x(z)\overline{y(z)} |dz|$. We may assume without loss in generality that the length of Γ satisfies $|\Gamma| = 1$ and $0 \in D_1$. The Plemelj formulas (1.6) determine oblique projections of $L^2(\Gamma)$ onto its subspaces $H^2(D_k)$, $k = 1, 2$, and also the angle $\alpha \in (0; \pi/2]$ between these subspaces, as given by the formula

$$(2.1) \quad \cos \alpha = \sup \{ \operatorname{Re} \langle x, y \rangle / \|x\| \cdot \|y\| : x \in H^2(D_1), y \in H^2(D_2) \}.$$

With this definition we have

Theorem 2.1. If Γ is an AD-regular Jordan curve in the finite plane then the norm $\|C\| = \|C_\Gamma\|$ of the Cauchy operator (1.3) acting on $L^2(\Gamma)$ satisfies

$$(2.2) \quad \|C\| = \cot \frac{1}{2} \alpha.$$

The smallest value $\|C\| = 1$ corresponds to the case of the orthogonal decomposition (1.5) of $L^2(\Gamma)$ which takes place if and only if Γ is a circle.

Proof. Let $h \in L^2(\Gamma)$ have the decomposition (1.7). Due to (1.7) and (1.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|C\| &= \sup \{ \|Ch\|^2 / \|h\|^2 : h \in L^2(\Gamma) \setminus \{0\} \} = \\ &= \sup \{ \|f + g\|^2 / \|f - g\|^2 : f - g \neq 0 \} = \\ &= \sup \left\{ \left[1 + \frac{2 \operatorname{Re} \langle f, g \rangle}{\|f\|^2 + \|g\|^2} \right] / \left[1 - \frac{2 \operatorname{Re} \langle f, g \rangle}{\|f\|^2 + \|g\|^2} \right] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, $\sup 2 \operatorname{Re} \langle f, g \rangle / (\|f\|^2 + \|g\|^2)^{-1} = \sup \operatorname{Re} \langle f, g \rangle / (\|f\| \|g\|)^{-1} = \cos \alpha$ and this implies $\|C\| = [(1 + \cos \alpha) / (1 - \cos \alpha)]^{1/2} = \cot \frac{1}{2} \alpha$. Thus $\|C\| = 1$ if and only if $\alpha = \pi/2$. If Γ is the unit circle T , then any $h \in L^2(T)$ has the decomposition $h(\zeta) = f(\zeta) - g(\zeta)$, where $f(\zeta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n \zeta^n$, $g(\zeta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n \zeta^{-n}$, $\zeta = e^{i\theta}$, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_n|^2 < +\infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\beta_n|^2 < +\infty$. Hence $\|Ch\|^2 = \|h\|^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_n|^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\beta_n|^2$ and $\int_T f(\zeta)\overline{g(\zeta)} d\theta = 0$ for any $h \in L^2(T)$. Thus $\|C\| = 1$ and $H^2(D_1) \perp H^2(D_2)$ hold for $\Gamma = T$. The converse statement is less trivial.

Suppose that $\|C\| = \|C_\Gamma\| = 1$. Then $\|Ch\| = \|h\|$ for any $h \in L^2(\Gamma)$ in view of (1.9) and this implies that C is unitary, i.e. $C^{-1} = C^*$. However, $C^{-1} = C$ (cf. (1.9)) and hence $C = C^*$, i.e. C is self-adjoint. Assuming that the length $|\Gamma| = 1$

and $z = z(s)$, $0 \leq s \leq 1$, is the equation of Γ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Cz(s), y(s) \rangle &= \int_0^1 \left(\frac{1}{\pi i} \text{P.V.} \int_0^1 \frac{x(t)z'(t) dt}{z(t) - z(s)} \right) \bar{y}(s) ds = \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi i} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \iint_{Q \setminus P_\epsilon} \frac{x(t)\overline{y(s)}z'(t) ds dt}{z(t) - z(s)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $Q = [0; 1] \times [0; 1]$, $P_\epsilon = \{s + it \in Q : |s - t| \leq \epsilon\}$. Moreover,

$$\langle z(s), Cy(s) \rangle = \frac{1}{\pi i} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \iint_{Q \setminus P_\epsilon} \frac{x(t)\overline{y(s)}\overline{z'(s)} ds dt}{z(t) - z(s)}$$

Thus $\langle Cz(s), y(s) \rangle = \langle z(s), Cy(s) \rangle$ for all $x, y \in L^2(\Gamma)$ implies $z'(t)/|z(t) - z(s)| = \overline{z'(s)}/|\overline{z(t)} - \overline{z(s)}|$, or $\text{Im}\{z'(s)z'(t)/[z(t) - z(s)]^2\} = 0$ a.e. in Q , with $z(s)$ absolutely continuous on $[0; 1]$. On integrating w.r.t. s we obtain that $\arg |z(t) - z(s_1)|/|z(s) - z(s_1)| = \text{const}$ for any fixed s_1, s_2 ($0 \leq s_1 < s_2 < 1$) and $t \in (s_2; 1)$ which is a well known characteristic property of a circle. This ends the proof.

The following lemma may be helpful in evaluating the angle α between the subspaces $H^2(D_1)$, $H^2(D_2)$ and consequently the norm of C in $L^2(\Gamma)$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let Γ be an AD-regular Jordan curve in the finite plane with $|\mu'| = 1$ and $0 \in D_1$. If (p_n) , $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and (q_n) , $n \in \mathbb{N}$ are Szegő polynomials for D_1 and D_2 , resp. (q_n being actually polynomials in z^{-1} without a constant term) then*

$$(2.3) \quad \cos \alpha = \sup \left\{ \text{Re} \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k=1}^n c_j \bar{d}_k \langle p_j, q_k \rangle : \sum_{j=0}^m |c_j|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^n |d_k|^2 = 1 \right\}.$$

Proof. The sums $x_m = \sum_{j=0}^m c_j p_j$, $y_n = \sum_{k=1}^n d_k q_k$ are dense in $H^2(D_1)$ and $H^2(D_2)$, resp., because D_1, D_2 are of Smirnov type. We may assume that $\|x_m\| = \|y_n\| = 1$ which is equivalent to $\sum_{j=0}^m |c_j|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^n |d_k|^2 = 1$. Then we have

$$\text{Re} \langle x_m, y_n \rangle / \|x_m\| \|y_n\| + \text{Re} \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k=1}^n c_j \bar{d}_k \langle p_j, q_k \rangle$$

and (2.3) readily follows.

Corollary 2.3. *Under the assumptions of Lemma 2.2 there exists $\delta \in (0; 1)$ such that $|\langle p_j, q_k \rangle| \leq \delta$ for any $k, j + 1 \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Corollary 2.4. *If Γ is AD-regular with 0 inside Γ and for any system of complex numbers $\{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_m; b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n\}$ we have*

$$(2.4) \quad \int_{\Gamma} \left(\sum_{j=0}^m a_j z^j \right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \bar{b}_k / \bar{z}^k \right) |dz| = 0$$

then Γ is a circle.

Note that (2.4) implies the orthogonality of complementary H^2 - spaces of Γ .

In a paper to follow we shall be concerned with several interesting consequences of the Theorem 1.2.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ahlfors, L. V., *Zur Theorie der Überlagerungsflächen*, Acta Math. 65 (1935), 157-194.
- [2] David, G., *Opérateurs intégraux singuliers sur certaines courbes du plan complexe*, Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup. 17 (1984), 157-189.
- [3] Duren, P. L., *Theory of H^p Spaces*, Academic Press, New York, London 1970.
- [4] Semmes, S., *The Cauchy integral, chord-arc curves, and quasiconformal mappings*, The Bieberbach Conjecture-Proceedings of the Symposium on the Occasion of the Proof, Providence, R.I. 1986.
- [5] Zinsmeister, M., *Domaines de Laurentien*, Publ. Math. d'Orsay, Paris 1985.

STRESZCZENIE

W pracy tej podano kilka wyników związanych z operatorem Cauchy'ego O_{Γ} działającym w przestrzeni $L^p(\Gamma)$, przy czym krzywa Γ jest regularna w sensie Ahlforsa-Davida. W szczególności wykazano, że operator O_{Γ} jest inwolucja, tzn. $O_{\Gamma} = O_{\Gamma}^{-1}$ dla dowolnego $p > 1$. Ponadto znaleziono dokładną wartość normy operatora O_{Γ} w przypadku $p = 2$ i wykazano, że $\|O_{\Gamma}\| = 1$ wtedy i tylko wtedy, gdy Γ jest okręgiem.