

GABRIELA KOHR (Cluj - Napoca)

**Certain Partial Differential Inequalities
and Applications for Holomorphic Mappings
Defined On the Unit Ball of \mathbb{C}^n**

ABSTRACT. In this paper the author obtains some partial differential inequalities involving holomorphic mappings defined on the unit ball in \mathbb{C}^n . Some applications to univalence criteria are given.

1. Preliminaries. Let \mathbb{C}^n denote the space of n complex variables $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)'$ with the Euclidean inner product $\langle z, w \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n z_j \bar{w}_j$ and the norm $\|z\| = \sqrt{\langle z, z \rangle}$. The open Euclidean ball $\{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : \|z\| < r\}$ is denoted by B_r and B stands for the open unit Euclidean ball B_1 . The origin $(0, \dots, 0)'$ is always denoted by 0 . As usual, we denote by $L(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^m)$ the space of all continuous linear operators from \mathbb{C}^n into \mathbb{C}^m with the the standard operator norm. The letter I will always represent the identity operator in $L(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^n)$. The class of holomorphic mappings from a domain $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ into \mathbb{C}^n is denoted by $H(G)$. A mapping $f \in H(G)$ is said to be locally biholomorphic in G if its Fréchet derivative

$$Df(z) = \left[\frac{\partial f_j(z)}{\partial z_k} \right]_{1 \leq j, k \leq n}$$

as an element of $L(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^n)$ is nonsingular at each point $z \in G$. A mapping $f \in H(G)$ is called biholomorphic on G if the inverse mapping f^{-1} does exist, is holomorphic in a domain Ω and $f^{-1}(\Omega) = G$.

If $D^2 f(z)$ means the Fréchet derivative of the second order for $f \in H(G)$ at the point z , then of course $D^2 f(z)$ is a continuous bilinear operator from $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n$ into \mathbb{C}^n and its restriction $D^2 f(z)(u, \cdot)$ to $u \times \mathbb{C}^n$ belongs to $L(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^n)$. The symbol "' means the transpose of elements and matrix defined on \mathbb{C}^n . For our purposes, we shall use the following definitions and results.

Definition 1.1. A biholomorphic map $f : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ is said to be starlike on B , if $f(0) = 0$ and $(1-t)f(B) \subseteq f(B)$, for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

Lemma 1.1. [7], [8]. Let $f : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ be a locally biholomorphic mapping on B with $f(0) = 0$. Then f is starlike on B iff

$$\operatorname{Re} \langle [Df(z)]^{-1} f(z), z \rangle > 0,$$

for all $z \in B \setminus \{0\}$.

Definition 1.2. Let $f : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ be a locally biholomorphic mapping on B with $f(0) = 0$ and $Df(0) = I$. We say that f is starlike of order $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ if

$$\left| \frac{1}{\|z\|^2} \langle [Df(z)]^{-1} f(z), z \rangle - \frac{1}{2\alpha} \right| < \frac{1}{2\alpha},$$

for all $z \in B \setminus \{0\}$.

For $n = 1$, it is not difficult to show that the above inequality becomes

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} > \alpha \quad z \in U,$$

hence we obtain the usual class of holomorphic functions, starlike of order α on the unit disc U in \mathbb{C} .

It is not difficult to show that for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, the mapping $f : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$,

$$f(z) = \left(\frac{z_1}{(1-z_1)^{2(1-\alpha)}}, \dots, \frac{z_n}{(1-z_n)^{2(1-\alpha)}} \right)', \quad z \in B,$$

is starlike of order α .

Lemma 1.2 [4]. Let $w(z) = a + w_1z + \dots$ be holomorphic in the unit disc U , with $w(z) \neq a$. If $z_0 = r_0e^{i\theta_0}$, $0 < r_0 < 1$, and

$$|w(z_0)| = \max_{|z| \leq r_0} |w(z)|,$$

then

(i) $z_0 w'(z_0) = s w(z_0),$

(ii) $\operatorname{Re} \left[1 + \frac{z_0 w''(z_0)}{w'(z_0)} \right] \geq s,$

where

$$s \geq \frac{|w(z_0) - a|^2}{|w(z_0)|^2 - |a|^2} \geq \frac{|w(z_0)| - |a|}{|w(z_0)| + |a|}.$$

2. Main Results

Theorem 2.1. Let $M > 0$ and

$$\mathcal{N}(M) = \left\{ p \in H(B) : p(0) = 0, Dp(0) = aI, |a| < M, \left\langle p(z), \frac{z}{\|z\|^2} \right\rangle < M, \text{ for all } z \in B \setminus \{0\} \right\}.$$

If $p \notin \mathcal{N}(M)$, then there exist $z_0 \in B \setminus \{0\}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\lambda| = 1$ and $m \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the following hold:

(1) $|\langle p(z_0), z_0 \rangle| = M \|z_0\|^2 = \max_{\|z\| \leq \|z_0\|} |\langle p(z), z \rangle|,$

(2) $\lambda [\overline{Dp(z_0)}]' z_0 + \bar{\lambda} p(z_0) = m z_0, \langle p(z_0), z_0 \rangle = \lambda M \|z_0\|^2,$

and $m \geq M(3M + |a|)/(M + |a|)$.

Proof. If we consider the mapping $g : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, given by

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{\|z\|^2} \langle p(z), z \rangle,$$

for all $z \in B \setminus \{0\}$, then g is continuous on $B \setminus \{0\}$ and since $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} g(z) = a = g(0)$, g is continuous on B and from the hypothesis we have $|g(0)| < M$.

If $p \notin \mathcal{N}(M)$, then we can easily determine $z_0 \in B \setminus \{0\}$ such that $|g(z_0)| = M = \max_{\|z\| \leq \|z_0\|} |g(z)|$, so the first condition holds.

On the other hand, if $T_{z_0}(\partial B_{r_0})$ means the real tangent space of ∂B_{r_0} at the point z_0 and $v \in T_{z_0}(\partial B_{r_0})$ is an arbitrary tangent vector of ∂B_{r_0} at z_0 , where $r_0 = \|z_0\|$, then obviously there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and a twice differentiable curve $\gamma : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \partial B_{r_0}$ such that $\gamma(0) = z_0$ and $\frac{d\gamma}{dt}(0) = v$.

Let $\alpha : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, $\alpha(t) = |(\overline{p \circ \gamma})(t), \gamma(t)|^2$, for all $t \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$. Then using the above notations we conclude that

$$\alpha(0) = \max\{\alpha(t) : t \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)\},$$

so $\alpha'(0) = 0$ and $\alpha''(0) \leq 0$.

A straightforward calculation yields

$$0 = \alpha'(0) = 2 \operatorname{Re}\{[\langle Dp(z_0)v, z_0 \rangle + \langle p(z_0), v \rangle] \overline{\langle p(z_0), z_0 \rangle}\}.$$

Since

$$|\langle p(z_0), z_0 \rangle| = M \|z_0\|^2,$$

we can get $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, with $|\lambda| = 1$, such that $\langle p(z_0), z_0 \rangle = M \lambda \|z_0\|^2$, so we obtain

$$0 = \operatorname{Re} \left[\langle [\overline{Dp(z_0)}]' z_0, v \rangle \lambda + \langle p(z_0), v \rangle \bar{\lambda} \right]$$

i.e.

$$0 = \operatorname{Re} \left\langle \lambda [\overline{Dp(z_0)}]' z_0 + \bar{\lambda} p(z_0), v \right\rangle.$$

But, the above condition is satisfied for all $v \in T_{z_0}(\partial B_{r_0})$, hence we conclude that $\lambda [\overline{Dp(z_0)}]' z_0 + \bar{\lambda} p(z_0)$ is a normal vector to the boundary ∂B_{r_0} at z_0 .

On the other hand, if we write

$$\varphi(z) = \|z\|^2 - r_0^2, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n,$$

then it is clear that $\partial B_{r_0} = \{z \in B : \varphi(z) = 0\}$ and a normal vector to ∂B_{r_0} at z_0 is z_0 . Hence, we can find a real number m such that

$$\lambda [\overline{Dp(z_0)}]' z_0 + \bar{\lambda} p(z_0) = m z_0.$$

It remains only to prove that $m \geq M(3M + |a|)/(M + |a|)$.

Indeed, let us consider the function $h : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$,

$$h(\zeta) = \frac{1}{\zeta} \left\langle p \left[\zeta \frac{z_0}{\|z_0\|} \right], \frac{z_0}{\|z_0\|} \right\rangle, \quad \zeta \in U.$$

Then $h(0) = a$ and we easily deduce that $h \in H(U)$ and

$$M = |h(\zeta_0)| = \max_{|\zeta| \leq |\zeta_0|} |h(\zeta)|, \quad \zeta_0 = \|z_0\|.$$

Using the result of Lemma 1.2 we can find a real number s , such that

$$\zeta_0 h'(\zeta_0) = sh(\zeta_0) \quad \text{and} \quad s \geq \frac{|h(\zeta_0)| - |a|}{|h(\zeta_0)| + |a|}.$$

A straightforward calculation yields:

$$\zeta_0 h'(\zeta_0) = \left\langle Dp(z_0) \left[\frac{z_0}{\|z_0\|}, \frac{z_0}{\|z_0\|} \right], \frac{z_0}{\|z_0\|} \right\rangle - \frac{1}{\|z_0\|} \left\langle p(z_0), \frac{z_0}{\|z_0\|} \right\rangle,$$

and hence

$$\langle Dp(z_0)(z_0), z_0 \rangle = (s + 1)\langle p(z_0), z_0 \rangle,$$

i.e.

$$\langle Dp(z_0)(z_0), z_0 \rangle = (s + 1)M\lambda\|z_0\|^2.$$

Since $\lambda[\overline{Dp(z_0)}]'z_0 + \overline{\lambda}p(z_0) = mz_0$, multiplying both sides of this equality by z_0 , we deduce that

$$m = M(s + 2), \quad \text{so} \quad m \geq M \frac{3M + |a|}{M + |a|}.$$

Next we shall apply the above result to some sufficient conditions of univalence on \mathbb{C}^n .

Theorem 2.2. *Let f be a locally biholomorphic mapping in B , with $f(0) = 0$, $Df(0) = I$ and $f(z) \neq 0$, for all $z \in B \setminus \{0\}$. Let $\alpha \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ and let $\beta \geq 1$ be such that $\beta(2\alpha - 1)(\alpha + 1) < 2\alpha$. Suppose that*

$$(3) \quad \|z\|^2 + \operatorname{Re} \langle [Df(z)]^{-1} D^2 f(z)(z, z), z \rangle > \frac{(2\alpha - 1)(\alpha + 1)\|z\|^2}{2\alpha},$$

for all $z \in B \setminus \{0\}$,

$$(4) \quad (1 - \beta) \operatorname{Re} \langle [Df(z)]^{-1} f(z), z \rangle \frac{\|x\|^2}{\|z\|^2} + \beta \left\{ \|x\|^2 - \operatorname{Re} \langle [Df(z)]^{-1} D^2 f(z)(x, x), z \rangle \right\} > \frac{\|x\|^2}{2\alpha} (2\alpha - 1)(\alpha + 1)\beta$$

for all $z \in B \setminus \{0\}$ and $x \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$ with $\operatorname{Re} \langle x, z \rangle = 0$. Then f is starlike of order α in B .

Proof. If $p(z) = 2\alpha[Df(z)]^{-1}f(z) - z$, then p is holomorphic in B , $p(0) = 0$ and $Dp(0) = (2\alpha - 1)I$. It is sufficient to show that

$$|\langle p(z), z \rangle| < \|z\|^2 \quad \text{for all } z \in B \setminus \{0\},$$

i.e.

$$\left| \left\langle p(z), \frac{z}{\|z\|^2} \right\rangle \right| < 1, \quad z \in B \setminus \{0\}.$$

If this does not hold then, using the result of Theorem 2.1, we can find $z_0 \in B \setminus \{0\}$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $m \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_0\|^2 &= |\langle p(z_0), z_0 \rangle| = \max \{ |\langle p(z), z \rangle| : \|z\| \leq \|z_0\| \}, \\ e^{i\theta} [\overline{Dp(z_0)}]' z_0 + e^{-i\theta} p(z_0) &= m z_0, \end{aligned}$$

where $m \geq (\alpha + 1)/\alpha$ and $\langle p(z_0), z_0 \rangle = e^{i\theta} \|z_0\|^2$.

It is clear that $\|p(z_0)\| \geq \|z_0\|$.

A straightforward calculation yields

$$(5) \quad [Df(z)]^{-1} D^2 f(z)(p(z) + z, \cdot) + Dp(z) = (2\alpha - 1)I.$$

Write $y = [Df(z_0)]^{-1} f(z_0)$. Multiplying both sides of (5) by z_0 and then by y , respectively, we easily obtain

$$(6) \quad 2\alpha \langle [Df(z_0)]^{-1} D^2 f(z_0)(y, z_0), z_0 \rangle = (2\alpha - 1)\|z_0\|^2 + e^{i\theta} \|z_0\|^2(1 - m)$$

and

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} 4\alpha^2 \langle [Df(z_0)]^{-1} D^2 f(z_0)(y, y), z_0 \rangle &= (2\alpha - m)\|z_0\|^2 e^{i\theta} \\ &+ (2\alpha - 1)\|z_0\|^2 - m e^{2i\theta} \|z_0\|^2 + e^{2i\theta} \|p(z_0)\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now, if $x = 2\alpha y e^{-i\theta} - z_0(1 + \cos \theta)$ then $\operatorname{Re} \langle x, z_0 \rangle = 0$ and $\|x\|^2 = \|p(z_0)\|^2 - \cos^2 \theta \|z_0\|^2$.

After short computation we obtain

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} &e^{2i\theta} \langle [Df(z_0)]^{-1} D^2 f(z_0)(x, x), z_0 \rangle \\ &- e^{2i\theta} (1 + \cos \theta)^2 \langle [Df(z_0)]^{-1} D^2 f(z_0)(z_0, z_0), z_0 \rangle \\ &= (2\alpha - m)\|z_0\|^2 e^{i\theta} + (2\alpha - 1)\|z_0\|^2 - m\|z_0\|^2 e^{2i\theta} \\ &+ e^{2i\theta} \|p(z_0)\|^2 - 2e^{i\theta} (2\alpha - 1)(1 + \cos \theta)\|z_0\|^2 \\ &- 2e^{2i\theta} (1 - m)(1 + \cos \theta)\|z_0\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using the condition (3) and taking the real part in the both sides of (8), we obtain

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} &\operatorname{Re} \langle [Df(z_0)]^{-1} D^2 f(z_0)(x, x), z_0 \rangle - \|x\|^2 \left(1 - \frac{(2\alpha - 1)(\alpha + 1)}{2\alpha} \right) \\ &\geq (1 + \cos \theta) \left(m - 2\alpha - 2 + \frac{(2\alpha - 1)(\alpha + 1)}{\alpha} \right) \|z_0\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

From (9) swing to $m \geq (\alpha + 1)/\alpha$ and $\beta \geq 1$, we deduce the following

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \left[(1 - \beta) \frac{\|x\|^2}{\|z_0\|^2} \langle [Df(z_0)]^{-1} f(z_0), z_0 \rangle \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \beta \left(\|x\|^2 - \langle [Df(z_0)]^{-1} D^2 f(z_0)(x, x), z_0 \rangle \right) \right] \leq \frac{1 - \beta}{2\alpha} (1 + \cos \theta) \|x_0\|^2 \\ & \quad + \beta(1 + \cos \theta) \left(-m + 2\alpha + 2 - \frac{(2\alpha - 1)(\alpha + 1)}{\alpha} \right) \|z_0\|^2 \\ & \quad + \frac{\beta(2\alpha - 1)(\alpha + 1)}{2\alpha} \|x\|^2 \leq \frac{\beta(2\alpha - 1)(\alpha + 1)}{2\alpha} \|x\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

If $x \neq 0$, then the above inequality contradicts (4).

If $x = 0$, then $\|p(z_0)\|^2 = \cos^2 \theta \|z_0\|^2$ and since $\|p(z_0)\| \geq \|z_0\|$, we deduce that $\cos \theta = \pm 1$.

If $\cos \theta = -1$, then we obtain $[Df(z_0)]^{-1} f(z_0) = 0$, which contradicts $f(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in B \setminus \{0\}$.

If $\cos \theta = 1$, then $\alpha y = z_0$ and using the condition (6), we get

$$\|z_0\|^2 + \operatorname{Re} \langle [Df(z_0)]^{-1} D^2 f(z_0)(z_0, z_0), z_0 \rangle = \|z_0\|^2 \left[\alpha + 1 - \frac{m}{2} \right].$$

Since $m \geq \frac{1+\alpha}{\alpha}$, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|z_0\|^2 + \operatorname{Re} \langle [Df(z_0)]^{-1} D^2 f(z_0)(z_0, z_0), z_0 \rangle \\ & \leq \frac{(2\alpha - 1)(\alpha + 1) \|z_0\|^2}{2\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

which also contradicts (3). Hence $|\langle p(z), z \rangle| < \|z\|^2, z \in B \setminus \{0\}$, which completes the proof.

Remark 2.1. K. Kikuchi [2] and also S. Gong [1] proved that a locally biholomorphic mapping f with $f(0) = 0$ is biholomorphic convex on B iff the following inequality

$$(10) \quad \|x\|^2 - \operatorname{Re} \langle [Df(z)]^{-1} D^2 f(z)(x, x), z \rangle > 0,$$

holds for all $z \in B \setminus \{0\}$ and $x \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$, with $\operatorname{Re} \langle x, z \rangle = 0$.

For $\beta = 1$ and $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ in Theorem 2.2, we obtain:

Corollary 2.1. *Let f be a locally biholomorphic mapping on B , with $f(0) = 0, Df(0) = I$, which satisfies (10) for all $z \in B \setminus \{0\}$ and $x \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$, with $\operatorname{Re} \langle x, z \rangle = 0$. Then f is starlike of order $1/2$ on B .*

Proof. If (10) holds then the condition (3) holds, too, because is enough to put $x = iz, z \neq 0$ in (10).

Remark 2.2. From Corollary 2.1 and Remark 2.1 we deduce that any convex biholomorphic mapping f on B , with $f(0) = 0$ and $Df(0) = I$, is starlike of order $1/2$ on B .

This is a generalization of a well known result due to A. Marx [3] and T. J. Strohäcker [6].

For $\beta = 1$ and $\alpha \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ in Theorem 2.2, we obtain:

Corollary 2.2. Let f be a locally biholomorphic mapping on B with $f(0) = 0$ and $Df(0) = I$ and $f(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in B \setminus \{0\}$. If $\alpha \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ and

$$(11) \quad \|x\|^2 - \operatorname{Re} \langle [Df(z)]^{-1} D^2 f(z)(x, x), z \rangle > \frac{(2\alpha - 1)(\alpha + 1)\|x\|^2}{2\alpha}$$

for all $z \in B \setminus \{0\}$ and $x \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$ with $\operatorname{Re} \langle x, z \rangle = 0$, then f is starlike of order α on B .

Proof. Putting $x = iz$, $z \in B \setminus \{0\}$ in (11) we obtain (3) and our corollary follows from Theorem 2.1.

REFERENCES

- [1] Gong, S. and Q. Wang, *Biholomorphic convex mappings of ball in \mathbb{C}^n* , Pacific J. Math. **161**, 2 (1993), 287–306.
- [2] Kikuchi, K., *Starlike and convex mappings in several complex variables*, Pacific J. Math. **44**, 2 (1973), 569–580.
- [3] Marx, A., *Untersuchungen über schlichte Abbildungen*, Math. Ann. **107** (1932/33), 40–67.
- [4] Miller, S. S. and P. T. Mocanu, *Second order differential inequalities in the complex plane*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **2** (1978), 289–305.
- [5] ———, *Differential subordinations and inequalities in the complex plane*, J. Differential Equations **67**, 2 (1987), 199–211.
- [6] Strohäcker, T. J., *Beiträge zur Theorie der schlichten Funktionen*, Math. Z. **37** (1933), 356–380.
- [7] Suffridge, T. J., *Starlike and convex maps in Banach spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **46**, 2 (1973), 575–589.
- [8] ———, *Starlikeness, convexity and other geometric properties of holomorphic maps in higher dimensions*, Lecture Notes in Math. **599** (1976), 146–159.

Babes-Bolyai University
 Faculty of Mathematics
 1. M. Kogălniceanu Str.
 3400 Cluj-Napoca, Romania

revised version
 received January 31, 1996