

Instytut Matematyki  
Uniwersytet Marii Curie-Skłodowskiej

A. W. KĘDZIERAWSKI

On a Problem of S.S. Miller

O pewnym problemie S.S. Millera

О некоторой проблеме С.С. Милера

Sanford S. Miller ([1], p. 554) posed the following problem: Let  $w(z)$  be a function regular in  $E = \{z \in \mathcal{C} : |z| < 1\}$  such that  $w(0) = 0$ . Does the condition:

$$|w(z) + zw'(z) + \dots + z^n w^{(n)}(z)| < 1, \quad z \in E, \quad (1)$$

imply that  $|w(z)| < 1$  for  $z \in E$ ?

S.S. Miller and P.T. Mocanu [5] have shown that this implication holds for  $n = 2$ . This paper contains the affirmative answer to this question in the general case. Moreover, the bound for  $|w(z)|$  in the general case obtained here is sharp and equal  $\frac{1}{2}$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . The author is grateful to Prof. J.G. Krzyż for his encouragement and advice.

Miller's problem is related to Euler's differential equation:

$$z^n w^{(n)} + \dots + zw' + w = f(z). \quad (2)$$

It is easily seen that for any function  $f(z)$  regular in  $E$  there exists a unique solution of (2) regular in  $E$  which can be obtained by comparison of Taylor coefficients of both sides.

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  denote the family of functions regular in  $E$  of the form:

$$g(z) = b_1 z + b_2 z^2 + b_3 z^3 + \dots \quad (3)$$

which satisfy  $|g(z)| < 1$  for  $z \in E$ .

The above problem may be reformulated in the following, equivalent way. Is it true that the holomorphic solution of (2) belongs to the class **B** if right hand side of (2) belongs to this class?

We shall need the following :

**Lemma 1.** *We have:*

$$S \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1+k^2)^{-2} = \frac{1}{4} \left[ \pi \coth \pi + \left( \frac{\pi}{\sinh \pi} \right)^2 \right] - \frac{1}{2} = 0.3068 \dots$$

**Proof.** The function  $f(z) = (1+z^2)^{-2}$  is meromorphic in the finite plane  $C$  and has poles  $i, -i$  none of which is an integer. Moreover,  $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} z f(z) = 0$ .

These properties of the function  $f(z)$  imply that the limit  $\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{k=-N}^N f(k)$  exists and equals to  $-\text{res}(i, \pi f(z) \cot \pi z) - \text{res}(-i, \pi f(z) \cot \pi z)$ . The proof can be completed by finding these residues (cf. [4], p. 69).

If  $g \in B$  then obviously  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |b_k|^2 \leq 1$ . We shall prove Miller's conjecture under this weaker assumption. Then we have:

**Theorem 1.** *If  $w(z)$  is regular in  $E$ ,  $w(0) = 0$ , and (2) holds for some  $n \geq 2$  and all  $z \in E$  with  $f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k^{(n)} z^k$  such that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |b_k^{(n)}|^2 \leq 1$  then:*

$$|w(z)| \leq S^{\frac{1}{2}} = 0.5539 \dots \quad (4)$$

*The bound is sharp for  $n = 2$  and is attained for:*

$$w(z) = S^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1+k^2)^{-2} z^k, \quad (5)$$

$$f(z) = S^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1+k^2)^{-1} z^k. \quad (6)$$

**Proof.** Suppose that  $n \geq 2$  and:

$$w(z) = c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + c_3 z^3 + \dots, \quad z \in E \quad (7)$$

$$f(z) = w(z) + z w'(z) + \dots + z^n w^{(n)}(z) = b_1^{(n)} z + b_2^{(n)} z^2 + \dots \quad (8)$$

Hence, for  $k \leq n$  we have:

$$b_k^{(n)} = c_k [1 + k + k(k-1) + k(k-1)(k-2) + \dots + k!];$$

if  $k > n$ , then :

$$b_k^{(n)} = c_k [1 + k + k(k-1) + \dots + k(k-1)(k-2)\dots(k-n+1)].$$

Thus in both cases:

$$|b_k^{(n)}| \geq |c_k| (1 + k + k^2 - k) = (1 + k^2) |c_k|, \quad k = 2, 3, \dots \quad (9)$$

If  $n = 2$  then the above inequality becomes to an equality. Now we will find an estimate for the modulus of  $w(z)$ . We have:

$$|w(z)| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |c_k| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 + k^2)^{-1} |b_k^{(n)}|, \quad z \in E. \quad (10)$$

Using the Schwarz-Cauchy inequality we obtain :

$$|w(z)| \leq \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |b_k^{(n)}|^2} \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 + k^2)^{-2}} \leq \sqrt{S}, \quad z \in E. \quad (11)$$

The example of functions (5) and (6) shows that the estimate (4) is sharp and this ends the proof of Theorem 1.

The estimate (4) is sharp in a wider class than  $B$ , but is not sharp in  $B$ , because the extremal functions (6) does not belong to  $B$ . Now, we shall give a detailed solution of the S.S. Miller's original problem. First we prove:

**Theorem 2.** *If  $w(z)$  is a function regular in  $E$  such that  $w(0) = 0$  and  $|w(z) + zw'(z)| \leq 1$  for  $z \in E$  then  $|w(z)| < \frac{1}{2}$ . This estimate is sharp.*

**Proof.** Put:

$$zw'(z) + w(z) = [zw(z)]' = g(z),$$

where  $g \in B$ . Then we have:

$$zw(z) = \int_0^z g(t) dt$$

and

$$|zw(z)| = \left| \int_0^1 g(tz) z dt \right| \leq \int_0^1 |tz| |z| dt = \frac{1}{2} |z|^2,$$

The last inequality implies  $|w(z)| < \frac{1}{2} |z|$ ,  $z \in E$ . Consider now  $w(z) = \frac{1}{2}$ . Then  $|w(z) + zw'(z) + \dots + z^n w^{(n)}(z)| = |z| < 1$  in  $E$  and  $|w(z)| < \frac{1}{2}$  in  $E$ . This shows that the constant  $\frac{1}{2}$  is best possible in case  $n = 1$ . We shall prove that it is so for  $n \geq 1$  as well.

To this end we need following lemmas. A sequence  $(t_n)$  of nonnegative numbers is called a convex null sequence if  $t_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and

$$t_{k-1} - t_k \geq t_k - t_{k+1}, \quad k = 2, 3, \dots \quad (12)$$

**Lemma 2.** If  $s_n = t_n^{-1}$  satisfies:

$$s_k \geq (s_{k-1}s_{k+1})^{1/2}, \quad k = 2, 3, \dots \quad (13)$$

and  $\lim s_n = +\infty$  then  $(t_n)$  is a convex null sequence.

**Proof.** From (13) and the well-known inequality between the geometric and harmonic mean we obtain:

$$t_k^{-1} = s_k \geq (s_{k-1}s_{k+1})^{1/2} \geq \frac{2}{(s_{k-1}^{-1} + s_{k+1}^{-1})} = \frac{2}{t_{k-1} + t_{k+1}}$$

which is equivalent to (12).

Note that the converse is false. The sequence  $(\exp(-n^2))$  is a convex null sequence whereas  $(\exp(n^2))$ , does not satisfy (13).

**Lemma 3.** ([3], p. 103). Suppose  $(t_n)$ ,  $t_1 > 0$  is a convex null sequence. Then:

$$p(z) = \frac{t_1}{2} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} t_k z^{k-1}$$

satisfies  $\operatorname{Re}(p(z)) > 0$  for  $z \in E$ .

**Lemma 4.** Let  $h_1 = 1$ ,  $h_2, h_3, \dots$  be complex numbers, let  $g \in \mathbf{B}$  satisfy (3) and put  $T(g)(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} h_k b_k z^{k-1}$ . Then  $T(g) \in \mathbf{B}$  for all  $g \in \mathbf{B}$  iff

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( 1 + 2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} h_k z^{k-1} \right) > 0 \text{ for } z \in E.$$

This Lemma is a slight modification of a theorem due to Goluzin (cf. [2], p. 493). We now prove:

**Theorem 3.** If  $w(z)$  is regular in  $E$ ,  $w(0) = 0$  and (2) holds for some  $n \geq 2$  and  $z \in E$  with  $f$  belonging to the class  $\mathbf{B}$ , then  $|w(z)| < \frac{1}{2}$ . The bound is sharp for all  $n$  and is attained for  $w(z) \equiv \frac{1}{2}z$  and  $f(z) \equiv z$ .

**Proof.** As in Theorem 1 we put:

$$w(z) = c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + c_3 z^3 + \dots, \quad z \in E. \quad (14)$$

$$f(z) = w(z) + zw'(z) + \dots + z^n w^{(n)}(z) = b_1^{(n)} z + b_2^{(n)} z^2 + \dots \quad (15)$$

We have:

$$b_k^{(n)} = c_k [1 + k + k(k-1) + \dots + k(k-1)(k-2) \dots (k-n+1)]. \quad (16)$$

Let us denote:

$$t_k^{(n)} = [1 + k + k(k-1) + \dots + k(k-1)(k-2) \dots (k-n+1)]^{-1}. \quad (17)$$

If  $k$  is fixed then the sequence  $t_k^{(n)}$  is weakly decreasing and  $t_1^{(n)} = \frac{1}{2}$  for  $n \geq 2$ . By the formulas (14)-(17) we have:

$$2|w(z)| = \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2t_k^{(n)} b_k^{(n)} z^{k-1} \right| = |T(f)(z)|. \quad (18)$$

Let us consider the function:

$$p(z) = \frac{1}{4} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} t_k^{(n)} z^{k-1} \quad (19)$$

We shall prove that for  $n \geq 2$ :

$$\operatorname{Re}(p(z)) > 0 \quad \text{for } z \in E. \quad (20)$$

If  $n = 2$ , then  $t_k^{(2)} = (1 + k^2)^{-1}$ . Let us put  $s_k = (1 + k^2)$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . The sequence  $(s_k)$  satisfies the conditions of Lemma 2. By Lemmas 2 and 3 we obtain (20).

If  $n = 3$  then  $t_k^{(3)} = (k^3 - 2k^2 + 2k + 1)^{-1}$ . Let us put  $s_k = [t_k^{(3)}]^{-1}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . The sequence  $(s_k)$  satisfies (13) for  $k \geq 3$  and  $t_1^{(3)} - t_2^{(3)} \geq t_2^{(3)} - t_3^{(3)}$ . The above condition implies that sequence  $(t_k^{(3)})$  is a convex null sequence. From Lemma 3 we obtain (20).

If  $n \geq 4$  then

$$p(z) = \frac{1}{4} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} t_k^{(3)} z^{k-1} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [t_k^{(n)} - t_k^{(3)}] z^{k-1}. \quad (21)$$

By Lemma 3

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{3}{16} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} t_k^{(3)} z^{k-1} \right) > 0 \quad \text{for } z \in E. \quad (22)$$

Now we will estimate a remaining term in (21):

$$\left| \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (t_k^{(n)} - t_k^{(3)}) z^{k-1} \right| \leq \sum_{k=4}^{\infty} t_k^{(4)} < \sum_{k=4}^{\infty} k^{-3} \approx 0.39 < \frac{1}{16} \quad \text{for } z \in E. \quad (23)$$

From (22) and (23) we obtain  $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0$  for  $z \in E$ . From the equality (20) we have:

$$\operatorname{Re}(4p(z)) = \operatorname{Re} \left( 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} 4t_k^{(n)} z^{k-1} \right) > 0 \quad \text{for } z \in E. \quad (24)$$

Using Lemma 4 with  $h_k = 2t_k^{(n)}$  and taking into account (24), (18) we verify that  $T(f) \in \mathbf{B}$  and this gives an estimate  $|w(z)| < \frac{1}{2}$  for  $z \in E$ . The example  $w(z) \equiv \frac{1}{2}z$ ,  $f(z) \equiv z$  shows that this estimate is sharp.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Brannan, D. A., Clunie, J. G., *Aspects of Contemporary Complex Analysis*, Academic Press, New York-San Francisco 1980.
- [2] Guluzin, G., *Geometric theory of functions of a complex variable*, (Russian), GITTL, Moscow 1968.
- [3] Goodman A. W., *Univalent Functions*, Mariner Publishing Company, Tampa 1983.
- [4] Krzyż, J. G., *Problems in Complex Variable Theory*, American Elsevier Publ. Co., New York 1971.
- [5] Miller, S. S., Mocanu, P. T., *Second order differential inequalities in the complex plane*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 65 (1978), 269-285.

## STRESZCZENIE

Praca dotyczy następującego problemu Millera ([1], str.554): "Niech  $w(z)$  będzie funkcją regularną w  $E = \{z \in C : |z| < 1\}$  taką, że  $w(0) = 0$ . Czy warunek

$$|w(z) + zw'(z) + \dots + z^n w^{(n)}(z)| < 1, \quad z \in E$$

implikuje zależność  $|w(z)| < 1$  dla  $z \in E$ ?"

W pracy wykazano, że z (1) wynika mocniejszy warunek:  $|w(z)| < \frac{1}{2}$  dla  $z \in E$ .

## РЕЗЮМЕ

В данной работе рассматривается следующая проблема Милера ([1], стр.554): "Пусть  $w(z)$  обозначает функцию регулярную в  $E = \{z \in C : |z| < 1\}$  такую, что  $w(0) = 0$ . Будет ли верно, что условие:

$$|w(z) + zw'(z) + \dots + z^n w^{(n)}(z)| < 1, \quad z \in E,$$

влечет зависимость  $|w(z)| < 1$  для  $z \in E$ ?" В работе показано, что из (1) вытекает сильнейшее условие:  $|w(z)| < \frac{1}{2}$  для всех  $z \in E$ .