

Instytut Matematyki  
Uniwersytet Warszawski

J. KISYŃSKI

**Predictability of Cadlag Processes without Probability**

Prognozowalność regularnych procesów bez prawdopodobieństwa

Предсказываемость регулярных процессов без вероятностей

C. Dellacherie and P.-A. Meyer [2; Chap. IV, 69, p. 127] defined the predictable stopping times in a way independent of probability. Using this definition and proceeding along the same main lines as in [2; Chap. IV, 88C]. [3; p. XIII–XV] or in [4; Chap. II], it is possible to eliminate all arguments based on probability from the proof of the standard criterion for predictability of cadlag processes. The purpose of the present paper is to explain this possibility.

**1. Background.**

**1.1 Predictable sets and processes.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  be a measurable space with a filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  and let  $\mathcal{F}_0^-$  be a distinguished sub- $\sigma$ -field of the  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{F}_0$ . According to [2; IV, 67], the corresponding  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{P}$  of predictable subsets of  $[0, \infty) \times \Omega$  is generated by the family of sets

$$\mathcal{P}_0 = \{[t, \infty) \times B : t \geq 0, B \in \mathcal{F}_{t-}\},$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}_{t-} = \bigvee_{s < t} \mathcal{F}_s \text{ for } t > 0.$$

Let  $E$  be a separable metric space and  $\mathcal{B}(E)$  the  $\sigma$ -field of all its Borel subsets. The separability implies that  $\mathcal{B}(E^2)$  is equal to the product  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{B}(E) \times \mathcal{B}(E)$ . An  $E$ -valued process  $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  on  $\Omega$  is simply a mapping

$$X : [0, \infty) \times \Omega \ni (t, \omega) \longrightarrow X_t(\omega) \in E.$$

Such a mapping is called:

- 1) a predictable process iff it is measurable from  $([0, \infty) \times \Omega, \mathcal{P})$  to  $(E, \mathcal{B}(E))$ ,
- 2) an  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ -adapted process iff for each  $t \geq 0$  the mapping  $X_t : \Omega \ni \omega \rightarrow X_t(\omega) \in E$  is measurable from  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_t)$  to  $(E, \mathcal{B}(E))$ , and
- 3) a cadlag. process iff for each  $\omega \in \Omega$  the trajectory  $[0, \infty) \ni t \rightarrow X_t(\omega) \in E$  is right continuous on  $[0, \infty)$  and has left-side limits everywhere on  $(0, \infty)$ .

1.2. Stopping times and the  $\sigma$ -fields  $\mathcal{F}_\tau$ . A  $[0, \infty)$ -valued function  $\tau$  on  $\Omega$  is called a stopping time of the filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  iff  $\tau \leq t \in \mathcal{F}_t$  for each  $t \geq 0$  or, which is the same, iff the stochastic interval

$$\llbracket \tau, \infty \llbracket = \{(t, \omega) : \omega \in \Omega, \tau(\omega) \leq t < \infty\}$$

is  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ -adapted. According to [2; IV, 54.2], for any stopping time  $\tau$ , the  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{F}_\tau$  of subsets of  $\Omega$  is generated by the family of all sets of the form

$$t \leq \tau \cap B, \text{ where } t \geq 0 \text{ and } B \in \mathcal{F}_{t-}.$$

It is evident that if  $\tau$  is identically equal to a finite constant  $t$ , then  $\mathcal{F}_\tau$  coincides with the  $\mathcal{F}_t$  defined in 1.1.

1.2.1. If  $\tau$  is a stopping time then  $\{\tau < \infty\} = \Omega \setminus \bigcap_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \{n \leq \tau\} \in \mathcal{F}_\tau$  and the mapping

$$G_\tau : \{\tau < \infty\} \ni \omega \rightarrow (\tau(\omega), \omega) \in [0, \infty) \times \Omega$$

is measurable from  $(\{\tau < \infty\}, \mathcal{F}_\tau)$  to  $([0, \infty) \times \Omega, \mathcal{P})$ . Indeed, if  $B \in \mathcal{F}_t$  then  $G^{-1}([t, \infty) \times B) = \{\tau < \infty\} \cap \{t \leq \tau\} \cap B$ , so that  $G^{-1}(P) \in \mathcal{F}_\tau$  for each  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ .

1.2.2. As a consequence, if  $X$  is a predictable  $E$ -valued process and  $\tau$  a stopping time, then the mapping

$$X_\tau : \{\tau < \infty\} \ni \omega \rightarrow X_{\tau(\omega)}(\omega) \in E$$

is measurable from  $(\{\tau < \infty\}, \mathcal{F}_\tau)$  to  $(E, \mathcal{B}(E))$ . In particular, each predictable process is  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ -adapted.

1.3. Predictable times and their restrictions. According to the definition introduced in [2; IV, 69], a  $[0, \infty)$ -valued function  $\tau$  on  $\Omega$  is called a predictable time iff  $\llbracket \tau, \infty \llbracket \in \mathcal{P}$ . It follows from 1.2.2 that each predictable time is a stopping time.

1.3.1. If  $\mathcal{F}_0 = \mathcal{F}_0$  and the measurable space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  carries a probability measure  $P$ , such that all  $P$ -negligible subsets of  $\Omega$  belong to  $\mathcal{F}_0$ , then a stopping time is predictable if and only if it is foretellable. see [2; IV, 71 and 77] or [4; II, T 13]. This equivalence makes predictable times important for theory of stochastic processes.

1.3.2. If  $\tau$  is a predictable time, then  $[\tau] \in \mathcal{P}$ . Indeed,  $[\tau] = [\tau, \infty[ \setminus ]\tau, \infty[$ , where  $[\tau, \infty[ \in \mathcal{P}$  by definition of the predictable time, and  $] \tau, \infty[ = \bigcup_n [\tau + 1/n, \infty[ \in \mathcal{P}$  since the right shifts of predictable sets are predictable.

The restriction  $\tau_A$  of a stopping time  $\tau$  to a set  $A \subset \Omega$  is defined by

$$\tau_A(\omega) = \begin{cases} \tau(\omega), & \text{if } \omega \in A, \\ \infty, & \text{if } \omega \in \Omega \setminus A. \end{cases}$$

1.3.3. Lemma. Let  $\tau$  be a predictable time and  $A$  a subset of  $\Omega$ . Then  $\tau_A$  is a predictable time if and only if  $\{\tau < \infty\} \cap A \in \mathcal{F}_{\tau-}$ .

Proof. The Lemma is equivalent to [2; IV, 73(c)], the proof of which is based on [2; IV, 67(b)]. Arguing as in the latter,

$$\{\tau < \infty\} \cap A = G^{-1}([\tau_A, \infty[),$$

so that, by 1.2.1, if  $\tau_A$  is a predictable time, then  $\{\tau < \infty\} \cap A \in \mathcal{F}_{\tau-}$ . The proof of the opposite implication, given below, is somewhat more direct than that in [2; IV, 73(c)]. The family  $\Phi_\tau$  of all the sets of the form  $[\tau_A, \infty[$ , where  $A \subset \Omega$ , is a  $\sigma$ -field with the unity  $[\tau, \infty[$ , and

$$R_\tau : 2^\Omega \ni A \rightarrow [\tau_A, \infty[ \in \Phi_\tau$$

is an epimorphism of the  $\sigma$ -field  $2^\Omega$  onto the  $\sigma$ -field  $\Phi_\tau$ . We have to prove that  $R_\tau(A) = [\tau_A, \infty[ \in \mathcal{P}$  whenever  $\{\tau < \infty\} \cap A \in \mathcal{F}_{\tau-}$ . This will follow, when we show that  $R_\tau(A) \in \mathcal{P}$  whenever  $A \in \mathcal{F}_{\tau-}$ . Since  $R_\tau$  is a morphism, it is sufficient to prove that  $R_\tau(A) \in \mathcal{P}$  for each member  $A$  of a family generating the  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{F}_{\tau-}$ . So, according to 1.1 and 1.2 it remains to verify that  $R_\tau(\{\tau \leq t\} \cap B) = ([t, \infty) \times B) \cap [\tau, \infty[ \in \mathcal{P}$  whenever  $B \in \mathcal{F}_{\tau-}$ .

2. Criterion for predictability. Theorem of P. -A. Meyer [6; VII, T49] is a prototype of the criterion for predictability of cadlag processes which may be found in [1; IV, T31], [2; IV, 88C], [3; p. XIV] and [4; II, 20]. A probability free formulation of this criterion reads as follows.

2.1. Assumption. Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  be a measurable space with a filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  and with distinguished sub- $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{F}_0$  of the  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{F}_0$ . Let  $E$  be a separable metric space and let  $X$  be an  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ -adapted cadlag process on  $\Omega$  with values in  $E$ .

2.2. Theorem. Under Assumptions 2.1, the process  $X$  is predictable if and only if the two conditions are satisfied simultaneously:

(a) the set  $\{(t, \omega) \in (0, \infty) \times \Omega : X_{t-}(\omega) \neq X_t(\omega)\}$  is contained in a countable sum of graphs of predictable times and

(b) for each predictable time  $\tau$ , the mapping  $X_\tau$  is measurable from  $(\{\tau < \infty\}, \mathcal{F}_{\tau-})$  to  $(E, \mathcal{B}(E))$ .

2.3. Formulation involving probability. In addition to Assumptions 2.1 suppose that the measurable space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  carries a probability measure  $P$ , such that  $\mathcal{F}_0$  contains all

$P$ -negligible subsets of  $\Omega$ . Then, as it follows at once from [2; IV, 88B] or from the Corollary in our Section 2.4, condition (a) is equivalent to the following condition:

(a')  $P\{\tau < \infty \text{ and } X_{\tau-} \neq X_{\tau}\} = 0$  for each totally inaccessible stopping time  $\tau$ . Replacing (a) with (a') in Theorem 2.2, we obtain the criterion for predictability in its „classical“ version.

2.4. Necessity of condition (a). We shall sketch two proofs. The first proof starts with remark that, by an argument as in [6; IV, 14(b)], for each  $\epsilon > 0$  and each  $n$ ,

$$\tau_n^\epsilon = n\text{-th debut of } \{(t, \omega) : \text{dist}(X_{t-}(\omega), X_t(\omega)) > \epsilon\}$$

is a wide sense stopping time, so that

$$]\tau_n^\epsilon, \infty[ \in \mathcal{P}$$

(because the process  $\mathbb{1}_{]\tau_n^\epsilon, \infty[}$  is left-continuous and  $(\mathcal{F}_t^+)$ -adapted). From the equality

$$\mathbb{1}_{]\tau_n^\epsilon, \infty[} = \{(t, \omega) : \text{dist}(X_{t-}(\omega), X_t(\omega)) > \epsilon\} \setminus (]\tau_1^\epsilon[ \cup \dots \cup ]\tau_{n-1}^\epsilon[ \cup ]\tau_n^\epsilon, \infty[),$$

it follows inductively that, if  $X$  is predictable, then

$$\mathbb{1}_{]\tau_n^\epsilon, \infty[} \in \mathcal{P}.$$

Consequently  $\mathbb{1}_{]\tau_n^\epsilon, \infty[} = \mathbb{1}_{]\tau_n^\epsilon, \infty[} \cup ]\tau_n^\epsilon, \infty[ \in \mathcal{P}$ , which means that the  $\tau_n^\epsilon$  are predictable times. Now, the proof follows from the obvious inclusion

$$\{(t, \omega) : X_{t-}(\omega) \neq X_t(\omega)\} \subset \bigcup_{m,n} \mathbb{1}_{]\tau_n^{1/m}, \infty[}.$$

Another proof may be obtained as a Corollary to the following.

**Lemma.** Under Assumption 2.1, for each non-negative Borel function  $f$  on  $E^2$  vanishing on the diagonal  $D$  of  $E^2$  the equalities

$$P_0^f = 0, \quad P_t^f = \sum_{0 < s < t} f(X_{s-}, X_s) \text{ if } t > 0,$$

define a  $[0, \infty]$ -valued optional process which is predictable if  $X$  is predictable.

Proof of the optional part of the Lemma is the same as in [5; 4.5]. Proof of the predictable part is similar. Suppose that  $X$  is predictable. Then, for each natural  $n$  and each Borel function  $f$  on  $E^2$ , the process

$$P_t^{f, n} = \sum_{k=1}^n f(X_{(k-1)t/n}, X_{kt/n}), \quad t \geq 0,$$

is predictable. If  $f$  is continuous and such that

$$\text{dist}(x, y) \leq \epsilon \implies f(x, y) = 0$$

for some  $\epsilon > 0$ , then, similarly to [5; 4.3],

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P^{f, n}(\omega) = P^f(\omega)$$

for each  $(t, \omega) \in [0, \infty) \times \Omega$ , so that  $P^f$  is predictable in this case. Finally, by a monotone class argument as in [5; 4.5 and 4.8],  $P^f$  is predictable for each Borel  $f \geq 0$  vanishing on  $D$ .

**Corollary.** Under Assumptions 2.1, let  $B_1, B_2, \dots$  be a sequence of disjoint Borel subsets of  $E^2$  such that  $\bigcup_m B_m = E^2 \setminus D$  and that  $\inf \{ \text{dist}(x, y) : (x, y) \in B_m \} > 0$  for each  $m$ . Write

$$\tau_n^m = n\text{-th debut of } \{(t, \omega) : (X_{t-}(\omega), X_t(\omega)) \in B_m\}.$$

Then the  $\tau_n^m$  are stopping times with disjoint graphs such that

$$\bigcup_{m, n} [\tau_n^m] = \{(t, \omega) : X_{t-}(\omega) \neq X_t(\omega)\}.$$

Moreover, if the process  $X$  is predictable, then the  $\tau_n^m$  are predictable times.

**Proof.** We have  $[\tau_n^m, \infty[ = \{(t, \omega) : P^f(\omega) \geq n\}$  with  $f = \mathbb{1}_{B_m}$ .

2.5. The necessity of condition (b) in Theorem 2.2 follows at once from 1.2.2.

2.6. Sufficiency of (a) and (b). In order to prove the sufficiency of (a) and (b) in Theorem 2.2 we shall use arguments from [2; IV, 88C] with some minor simplifications. Suppose that Assumptions 2.1 and conditions (a) and (b) are satisfied. Define the process  $X^-$  by

$$X_0^- = X_0, X_t^- = X_{t-} \text{ for } t > 0.$$

The processes  $X^-$  is  $(\mathcal{F}_{t-})$ -adapted and left-continuous. The latter implies that  $X_t^-(\omega) = \lim_n X_t^n(\omega)$  for each  $(t, \omega) \in [0, \infty) \times \Omega$ , where  $X_t^n = X_{\lfloor nt \rfloor/n}^-$ . For each  $B \in \mathcal{B}(E)$ , we have  $B_k = (X_{k/n}^-)^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{F}_{(k/n)-}, (X^n)^{-1}(B) = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} ((k/n, \infty) \times B_k - [(k+1)/n, \infty) \times B_k) \in \mathcal{P}$ . So, the process  $X^n$  are predictable, and so is  $X^-$ .

According to the condition (a), there is a sequence  $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots$  of predictable times such that

$$\{(t, \omega) : X_t^-(\omega) \neq X_t(\omega)\} \subset \bigcup_n [\tau_n].$$

By 1.3.2, the graphs  $[\tau_1], [\tau_2], \dots$  are predictable subsets of  $\{0, \infty\} \times \Omega$  which implies that  $C = [0, \infty) \times \Omega \setminus \bigcup_n [\tau_n]$  is also predictable.

We have to prove that  $X^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{P}$  whenever  $B \in \mathcal{B}(E)$ . To this end, observe first of all that  $X = X^-$  on  $C$ , so that

$$C \cap X^{-1}(B) = C \cap (X^-)^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{P}$$

whenever  $B \in \mathcal{B}(E)$ . Since

$$X^{-1}(B) = (C \cap X^{-1}(B)) \cup \bigcup_n ([\tau_n] \cap X^{-1}(B)),$$

it remains to ascertain that  $[\tau] \cap X^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{B}$  for each predictable time  $\tau$  and each  $B \in \mathcal{B}(E)$ . The latter is a consequence of condition (b), according to which  $A = (X_\tau)^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{F}_\tau$  whenever  $\tau$  is a predictable time and  $B \in \mathcal{B}(E)$ . Under the same conditions, by 1.3.3,  $\tau_A$  is a predictable time and so, by 1.3.2,  $[\tau] \cap X^{-1}(B) = [\tau_A] \in \mathcal{P}$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Dellacherie, C. *Capacités et processus stochastiques*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 67, Springer-Verlag, 1972.
- [2] Dellacherie, C., Meyer, P.-A., *Probabilités and Potential*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, Vol. 29, 1978.
- [3] Dellacherie, C., Meyer, P.-A. *Probabilités et potentiel*, Chapitres V à VIII, Théorie des martingales. Hermann, Paris 1980.
- [4] Gihman, I. I., Skorohod, A. V., *Stochastic differential equations and their applications* (in Russian), Naukova Dumka, Kiev 1982.
- [5] Kisiński, J., *On a formula of N. Ikeda and S. Watanabe concerning the Lévy kernel*, [in:] *Probability Measures on Groups*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1064, p. 260–279, Springer-Verlag 1981.
- [6] Meyer, P.-A., *Probability and Potentials*, Russian translation, Mir, Moscow 1973.

#### STRESZCZENIE

Praca zawiera niezależny od miary probabilistycznej dowód twierdzenia Dellacherie i Meyera [2], charakteryzującego procesy stochastyczne przewidywalne w klasie procesów stochastycznych, których wszystkie trajektorie są prawostronnie ciągłe i mają tylko skokowe nieciągłości.

#### РЕЗЮМЕ

Работа содержит независимую от вероятностной меры доказательство теоремы Деллгашери и Майера [2] характеризующей предсказуемые случайные процессы в классе всех регулярных случайных процессов.