

Katedra Matematyki
Politechnika Lubelska

Instytut Matematyki
Uniwersytet Marii Curie-Skłodowskiej

A. KUCZMASZEWSKA, D. SZYNAL

On the Strong Law of Large Numbers in Banach Space of Type p

O mocnym prawie wielkich liczb w przestrzeni Banacha typu p

Abstract. Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of independent random elements with values in a Banach space \mathcal{X} . It is shown that Teicher's version of the strong law of large numbers holds if and only if \mathcal{X} is of type p . We extend results of [3] where Chung's version of SLLN is considered.

1. Introduction. Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of independent random elements defined on a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) and taking values in a separable Banach space $(\mathcal{X}, \|\cdot\|)$. Assume that $\{\varepsilon_n, n \geq 1\}$ is a sequence of independent identically distributed Rademacher random variables, i.e. $P[\varepsilon_n = -1] = P[\varepsilon_n = 1] = 1/2, n \geq 1$.

A real separable Banach space is called of type p iff there exists a constant $A \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and that

$$(1.1) \quad E \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n x_n \right\|^p \leq A \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|x_n\|^p$$

for each $\{x_n, n \geq 1\} \in \mathcal{X}^\infty = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{X}$.

We say that a sequence $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ of random elements with $EX_n = 0, n \geq 1$, taking values in a Banach space satisfies the strong law of large numbers (abbr. SLLN) if

$$(1.2) \quad \|S_n\|/n \rightarrow 0, \text{ a.s.}, n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ where } S_n = \sum_{j=1}^n X_j.$$

In [3] it is shown that Chung's version of SLLN holds, if and only if \mathcal{X} is of type p . The aim of this note is to give new conditions characterizing Banach spaces of type p in terms of the validity of SLLN.

2. The strong law of large numbers. We shall use the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. ([6]) Let X_1, \dots, X_n be independent \mathcal{X} -valued random variables with $E\|X_i\| < \infty$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). Let \mathcal{F}_k be the σ -field generated by (X_1, \dots, X_k) ($k = 1, \dots, n$) and let \mathcal{F}_0 be the trivial σ -field. Then for $1 \leq k \leq n$,

$$\left| E(\|S_n\| | \mathcal{F}_k) - E(\|S_n\| | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}) \right| \leq \|X_k\| + E\|X_k\|.$$

Lemma 2.2. ([1]) Let X_1, \dots, X_n be independent \mathcal{X} -valued random variables. Then

$$S_n/n \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s. iff } S_{2^k}/2^k \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s. and } S_n/n \rightarrow 0 \text{ in probability.}$$

The following result generalizes Theorem 2.1. of [3].

Theorem 2.3. Let $1 \leq p \leq 2$, then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) \mathcal{X} is a Banach space of type p .
- (ii) There exists a constant $A \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad E \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n X_k \right\|^p \leq A \sum_{k=1}^n E \|X_k\|^p$$

for all independent random elements X_1, \dots, X_n such that $EX_i = 0$ and $E\|X_i\|^p < \infty$, $1 \leq i \leq n$.

(iii)

$$(2.2) \quad n^{-1} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \right\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s. , } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ for all sequences } \{X_n, n \geq 1\}$$

of independent random elements with $EX_i = 0$, $E\|X_i\|^p < \infty$, $i \geq 1$ and such that

$$(A) \quad \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j^{-2p} E\|X_j\|^p \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} E\|X_i\|^p < \infty,$$

$$(B) \quad n^{-p} \sum_{i=1}^n E\|X_i\|^p = o(1),$$

$$(C) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P[\|X_n\| \geq \alpha_n] < \infty,$$

for some sequence $\{\alpha_n, n \geq 1\}$ of positive numbers such that

$$(D) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2p} \alpha_n^p E\|X_n\|^p < \infty.$$

(iv) Assume that

$$(A_1) \quad \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j^{-2p} \|x_j\|^p \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \|x_i\|^p < \infty,$$

$$(B_1) \quad n^{-p} \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^p = o(1),$$

(C₁) there exists a sequence $\{\alpha_n, n \geq 1\}$ of positive numbers such that $\|x_n\| \geq \alpha_n$ holds only for finite many n and

$$(D_1) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2p} \alpha_n^p \|x_n\|^p < \infty .$$

If $\{\varepsilon_n, n \geq 1\}$ is a Rademacher sequence of random variables, then

$$n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j x_j \rightarrow 0 \text{ in probability, } n \rightarrow \infty .$$

(v) $n^{-1} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \right\| \rightarrow 0$ a.s. , $n \rightarrow \infty$, for all sequences $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ of independent random elements with $EX_i = 0, E\|X_i\|^p < \infty, i \geq 1$ and such that $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-p} E\|X_j\|^p < \infty$.

(vi) If $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-p} \|x_j\|^p < \infty$, and $\{\varepsilon_n, n \geq 1\}$ is a Rademacher sequence of random variables, then

$$n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j x_j \rightarrow 0 \text{ in probability, } n \rightarrow \infty .$$

(vii) $n^{-1} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n X_j \right\| \rightarrow 0$ a.s. , $n \rightarrow \infty$, for all sequences of $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ of independent symmetric random elements such that

$$(A_2) \quad \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j^{-2p} \|X_j\|^p \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \|X_i\|^p < \infty \text{ a.s. ,}$$

$$(B_2) \quad n^{-p} \sum_{i=1}^n \|X_i\|^p = o(1) \text{ a.s. ,}$$

$$(C_2) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P[\|X_n\| \geq \alpha_n] < \infty ,$$

for some sequence $\{\alpha_n, n \geq 1\}$ of positive numbers such that

$$(D_2) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2p} \alpha_n^p \|X_n\|^p < \infty \text{ a.s. .}$$

Proof. The equivalences

$$(i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) \Leftrightarrow (v) \Leftrightarrow (vi)$$

have been proved in [3].

We have only to show the following implications

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & (vii) & & \\
 & \uparrow & & \downarrow & \\
 (ii) & \Rightarrow & (iii) & \Rightarrow & (iv) \\
 & & & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & (vi)
 \end{array}$$

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be any sequence of independent \mathcal{X} -valued random elements with $EX_i = 0, E\|X_i\|^p < \infty$, such that (2.1) holds, and assume that the conditions (A)–(D) of (iii) are satisfied.

Putting $X'_k = X_k I[\|X_k\| < k]$, we see that

$$(2.3) \quad P\left[n^{-1} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n (X'_k - EX'_k) \right\| \geq \varepsilon\right] \leq n^{-p} \varepsilon^{-p} E \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n (X'_k - EX'_k) \right\|^p \\ \leq An^{-p} \varepsilon^{-p} \sum_{k=1}^n E \|X'_k - EX'_k\|^p \\ \leq 2^{p-1} An^{-p} \varepsilon^{-p} \sum_{k=1}^n E \|X'_k\|^p = o(1).$$

Write

$$X_k^* = X'_k - EX'_k, \quad k \geq 1, \quad S_n^* = \sum_{k=1}^n X'_k, \quad S_n^{\circ} = \sum_{k=1}^n X_k^*,$$

and define

$$Y_{n,i} = E(\|S_n^{\circ}\| | \mathcal{F}_i^{\circ}) - E(\|S_n^{\circ}\| | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}^{\circ}),$$

where $\mathcal{F}_0^{\circ} = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$, $\mathcal{F}_i^{\circ} = \sigma(X_1^{\circ}, \dots, X_i^{\circ})$. Then we have

$$\|S_n^{\circ}\| - E\|S_n^{\circ}\| = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_{n,i}.$$

We prove now that $E\|S_n^{\circ}\| \rightarrow 0$, $n \rightarrow \infty$. By Lemma 2.1 we have

$$(2.4) \quad P\left[\|S_n^{\circ}\| - E\|S_n^{\circ}\| > n\varepsilon\right] \leq n^{-2} \varepsilon^{-2} E\left(\sum_{i=1}^n Y_{n,i}\right)^2 \\ = n^{-2} \varepsilon^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^n E Y_{n,i}^2 \leq n^{-2} \varepsilon^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^n E(\|X_i^{\circ}\| + E\|X_i^{\circ}\|)^2 \\ \leq 8n^{-2} \varepsilon^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^n E\|X_i^{\circ}\|^2 \leq 8n^{-p} \varepsilon^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^n E\|X_i\|^p = o(1),$$

after using (B). Therefore, taking into account (2.3) we conclude that $E\|S_n^{\circ}\|/n \rightarrow 0$, $n \rightarrow \infty$.

To prove that $\|S_n^{\circ}\|/n \rightarrow 0$ a.s., $n \rightarrow \infty$, it is enough to see that $\|S_k^{\circ}\|/2^k \rightarrow 0$ a.s., $k \rightarrow \infty$ (cf. Lemma 2.2), or equivalently that $(\|S_{2^k}^{\circ}\| - E\|S_{2^k}^{\circ}\|)/2^k \rightarrow 0$ a.s., $k \rightarrow \infty$, as $E(\|S_{2^k}^{\circ}\|)/2^k \rightarrow 0$, $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Note that

$$(2.5) \quad (\|S_{2^n}^{\circ}\| - E\|S_{2^n}^{\circ}\|)^2/2^n = 2^{-2^n} \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} Y_{2^n,i}^2 + 2^{-2^n} \sum_{i=2}^{2^n} Y_{2^n,i} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} Y_{2^n,j}.$$

Now put

$$Z_{2^n,i} = Y_{2^n,i}^2 I(\|X_j\| < \alpha_i) - E(Y_{2^n,i}^2 I(\|X_i\| < \alpha_i) | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}^{\circ}), \quad 1 \leq i \leq 2^n.$$

Then, by Lemma 2.1, and the assumptions (C)-(D) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P \left[\left| \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} Z_{2^n,i} \right| \geq 2^{2n} \varepsilon \right] &\leq \varepsilon^{-2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-4n} E \left| \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} Z_{2^n,i} \right|^2 \\ &= \varepsilon^{-2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-4n} \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} E Z_{2^n,i}^2 \leq \varepsilon^{-2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-4n} \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} E Y_{2^n,i}^4 I[\|X_i\| < \alpha_i] \\ &\leq \varepsilon^{-2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-4n} \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} E(\|X'_i\| + E\|X'_i\|)^4 I[\|X_i\| < \alpha_i] \\ &\leq \varepsilon^{-2} 2^8 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-4n} \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} E\|X'_i\|^4 I[\|X_i\| < \alpha_i] \\ &\leq \varepsilon^{-2} (2^{12}/15) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i^{-4} E\|X'_i\|^4 I[\|X_i\| < \alpha_i] \\ &\leq \varepsilon^{-2} (2^{12}/15) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i^{-2p} E\|X'_i\|^{2p} I[\|X_i\| < \alpha_i] \\ &\leq \varepsilon^{-2} (2^{12}/15) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i^{-2p} \alpha_i^p E\|X_i\|^p < \infty . \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Borel-Cantelli lemma, we get

$$2^{-2n} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} Y_{2^n,i}^2 I[\|X_i\| < \alpha_i] - \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} E(Y_{2^n,i}^2 I[\|X_i\| < \alpha_i] | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}^*) \right\} \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s. , } n \rightarrow \infty .$$

Moreover, we see that (B) implies

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{-2n} \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} E(Y_{2^n,i}^2 I[\|X_i\| < \alpha_i] | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}^*) &\leq 2^{-2n} \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} E(Y_{2^n,i}^2 | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}^*) \\ &= 2^{-2n} \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} E(\|X'_i\| + E\|X'_i\|)^2 \leq 8 \cdot 2^{-2n} \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} E\|X'_i\|^2 \\ &\leq 16 \cdot (2^{-2n})^{-p} \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} E\|X'_i\|^p = o(1) . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$2^{-2n} \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} Y_{2^n,i}^2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s. , } n \rightarrow \infty .$$

Now, note that $\{Y_{n,i}, \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} Y_{n,j}, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ and $\{Y_{n,i}, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ are martingale difference sequences for fixed n .

Hence, using Lemma 2.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P \left[\left\| \sum_{i=2}^{2^n} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} Y_{2^n, i} Y_{2^n, j} \right\| \geq 2^{2n} \varepsilon \right] &\leq \varepsilon^{-2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-4n} \sum_{i=2}^{2^n} E \left(Y_{2^n, i} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} Y_{2^n, j} \right)^2 \\
 &\leq \varepsilon^{-2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-4n} \sum_{i=2}^{2^n} E \left\{ (\|X_i^*\| + E\|X_i^*\|)^2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} Y_{2^n, j} \right)^2 \right\} \\
 &= \varepsilon^{-2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-4n} \sum_{i=2}^{2^n} E (\|X_i^*\| + E\|X_i^*\|)^2 \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} E Y_{2^n, j}^2 \\
 &\leq \varepsilon^{-2} 2^8 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-4n} \sum_{i=2}^{2^n} E \|X_i^*\|^2 \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} E \|X_j^*\|^2 \\
 &\leq \varepsilon^{-2} (2^{12}/15) \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} i^{-2p} E \|X_i^*\|^p \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} E \|X_j^*\|^p < \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Borel-Cantelli lemma we get

$$2^{-2n} \sum_{i=2}^{2^n} Y_{2^n, i} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} Y_{2^n, j} \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s.}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus, $n^{-1} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n X_j' - EX_j' \right\| \rightarrow 0$ a.s., $n \rightarrow \infty$. Taking into account that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P[X_k \neq X_k'] &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P[\|X_k\| > k] \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} E \{ I[\|X_k\| > k] I[\|X_k\| \geq \alpha_k] + I[\|X_k\| > k] I[\|X_k\| < \alpha_k] \} \\
 &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P[\|X_k\| \geq \alpha_k] + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-2p} \alpha_k^p E \|X_k\|^p < \infty,
 \end{aligned}$$

we see that the sequences $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ and $\{X_n', n \geq 1\}$ are equivalent in the sense of Khinchin. Hence, we conclude that

$$n^{-1} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n X_j - EX_j' \right\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s.}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence, for any given $\varepsilon > 0$

$$P \left[n^{-1} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n X_j - EX_j' \right\| \geq \varepsilon \right] \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

But, by (2.1) and (B), we get

$$P \left[n^{-1} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n X_j \right\| \geq \varepsilon \right] \leq n^{-p} \varepsilon^{-p} E \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n X_j \right\|^p \leq A n^{-p} \varepsilon^{-p} \sum_{j=1}^n E \|X_j\|^p \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore, we see that $n^{-1} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n EX'_j \right\| \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty$, and consequently we have completed the proof of (2.2).

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv). Let $X_j = x_j \varepsilon_j$. Then under assumptionns (A₁)-(D₁) of (iv) we see that the conditions (A)-(D) of (iii) are satisfied. Hence, by (2.2),

$$n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j x_j \rightarrow 0 \text{ in probability, } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

(iv) \Rightarrow (vi). Assume that (iv) holds and let $\{x_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of \mathcal{X} -valued elements such that $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-p} \|x_j\|^p < \infty$. Then

$$\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j^{-2p} \|x_j\|^p \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \|x_i\|^p < \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j^{-p} \|x_j\|^p < \infty,$$

and $n^{-p} \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^p = o(1)$, so (A₁) and (B₁) are satisfied respectively.

Letting now $\alpha_n = n, n \geq 1$, we see that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2p} \alpha_n^p \|x_n\|^p = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-p} \|x_n\|^p < \infty,$$

or (D₁) holds. Moreover, we conclude that $\|x_n\|/n \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty$, so only for finitely many n we have $\|x_n\| \geq n$, i.e. (C₁) is satisfied. Therefore we see that

$$n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j x_j \rightarrow 0 \text{ in probability, } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

(ii) \Rightarrow (vii). Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be any sequence of independent, symmetric \mathcal{X} -valued random elements such that (A₂)-(D₂) of (vii) are satisfied. Taking into account that $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ is a sequence of symmetrically distributed random variables we see that $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ and $\{\varepsilon_n X_n, n \geq 1\}$ are equidistributed. It follows that $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ satisfies SLLN iff $\{\varepsilon_n X_n, n \geq 1\}$ does. The Fubini's theorem shows that $\{\varepsilon_n X_n, n \geq 1\}$ satisfies SLLN iff $\{\varepsilon_n(\cdot) X_n(\omega), n \geq 1\}$ satisfies SLLN for almost all $\omega \in \Omega$.

Choose any ω satisfying the conditions (A₂)-(D₂). Then put $x_n = X_n(\omega), n \geq 1$, and write

$$x'_n = \begin{cases} x_n & \text{if } \|x_n\| < n \\ 0 & \text{if } \|x_n\| \geq n \end{cases}$$

Since \mathcal{X} is a Banach space of type p , we get using (1.1) that for any given $\varepsilon > 0$

$$(2.6) \quad P \left[n^{-1} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i x'_i \right\| > \varepsilon \right] \leq \varepsilon^{-p} n^{-p} E \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i x'_i \right\|^p \\ \leq A n^{-p} \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^p = o(1).$$

Now put $S'_n = \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j x'_j$ and define

$$Y_{n,i} = E(\|S'_n\| | \mathcal{F}_i) - E(\|S'_n\| | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}),$$

where $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$, $\mathcal{F}_i = \sigma(\varepsilon_1 x'_1, \dots, \varepsilon_i x'_i)$. We see that

$$\|S'_n\| - E\|S'_n\| = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_{n,i}.$$

Following the considerations given in the proof of the implication (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) and taking into account that now $E\|X_i\|^p = \|x_i\|^p$ we obtain the statement of (vii).

(vii) \Rightarrow (vi). Letting $X_i = \varepsilon_i x_i$, where $\{x_i, i \geq 1\}$ is a sequence of \mathcal{X} -valued elements such that $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-p} \|x_j\|^p < \infty$, we see that the conditions (A₂)–(D₂) of (vii) are satisfied. Therefore, by (vii) $n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j x_j \rightarrow 0$ a.s., $n \rightarrow \infty$, which implies convergence in probability and ends the proof of Theorem 2.3.

A more general and stronger version of Theorem 2.3, is as follows.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $1 \leq p \leq 2$. The statements (i), (ii), (iv), (v), (vi) of Theorem 2.2 are equivalent to the following*

(iii') $n^{-1} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n X_j - EX_j I[\|X_j\| < j] \right\| \rightarrow 0$ a.s., $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all sequences

$\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ of independent random elements with $EX_i = 0$, $i \geq 1$ and for every nonnegative, even, continuous function $\phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ nondecreasing on $(0, \infty)$, with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x) = \infty$ and such that

(a) $\phi(x)/x \searrow$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$

$$(A_3) \quad \sum_{j_k=k}^{\infty} j_k^{-p(k-1)} E \frac{\phi^p(\|X_{j_k}\|)}{\phi^p(j_k) + \phi^p(\|X_{j_k}\|)} \sum_{j_{k-1}=k-1}^{j_k-1} j_{k-1}^p \cdot \\ \cdot E \frac{\phi^p(\|X_{j_{k-1}}\|)}{\phi^p(j_{k-1}) + \phi^p(\|X_{j_{k-1}}\|)} \dots \sum_{j_1=1}^{j_2-1} j_1^p \cdot E \frac{\phi^p(\|X_{j_1}\|)}{\phi^p(j_1) + \phi^p(\|X_{j_1}\|)} < \infty$$

$$(B_3) \quad n^{-p} \sum_{i=1}^n i^p E \frac{\phi^p(\|X_i\|)}{\phi^p(i) + \phi^p(\|X_i\|)} = o(1),$$

$$(C_3) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P[\|X_n\| \geq \alpha_n] < \infty,$$

for some sequence $\{\alpha_n, n \geq 1\}$ of positive numbers such that

$$(D_3) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi^p(\alpha_n) E \frac{\phi^p \|X_n\|}{\phi^{2p}(n) + \phi^{2p}(\|X_n\|)} < \infty,$$

or

$$(b) \quad \phi(x)/x \nearrow, \quad \phi(x)/x^p \searrow \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty$$

$$(A_4) \quad \sum_{j_k=k}^{\infty} j_k^{-p(k-1)} E \frac{\phi(\|X_{j_k}\|)}{\phi(j_k) + \phi(\|X_{j_k}\|)} \sum_{j_{k-1}=k-1}^{j_k-1} j_{k-1}^p \cdot \\ \cdot E \frac{\phi(\|X_{j_{k-1}}\|)}{\phi(j_{k-1}) + \phi(\|X_{j_{k-1}}\|)} \cdots \sum_{j_1=1}^{j_2-1} j_1^p \cdot E \frac{\phi(\|X_{j_1}\|)}{\phi(j_1) + \phi(\|X_{j_1}\|)} < \infty,$$

$$(B_4) \quad n^{-p} \sum_{i=1}^n i^p E \frac{\phi(\|X_i\|)}{\phi(i) + \phi(\|X_i\|)} = o(1),$$

and (C_3) is satisfied for some numerical sequence $\{\alpha_n, n \geq 1\}$ with

$$(D_4) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi(\alpha_n) E \frac{\phi(\|X_n\|)}{\phi^2(n) + \phi^2(\|X_n\|)} < \infty.$$

Proof. Using the properties of the function ϕ and following the proof of Theorem 2.3 and the proof of Theorem 2 of [4] one can verify the validity of Theorem 2.4.

By Theorem 2.3 we can have a stronger result than Theorem 2.3 of [3].

Theorem 2.5. Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of independent \mathcal{X} -valued random variables with mean 0. If \mathcal{X} is of type p , $1 \leq p \leq 2$, and $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ satisfies

(2.7) For any given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a sequence $\{\alpha_n, n \geq 1\}$ of positive numbers such that

$$(a) \quad \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j^{-2p} \alpha_j^p \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \alpha_i^p < \infty,$$

$$(b) \quad n^{-p} \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^p = o(1),$$

$$(c) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\alpha_i/i)^{2p} < \infty,$$

and $E(\|X_i\| I(\|X_i\| \geq \alpha_i)) \leq \epsilon, i \geq 1$.

Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E \left\{ n^{-1} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n X_j \right\| \right\} = 0.$$

Proof. Put

$$X'_j = X_j I(\|X_j\| < \alpha_j), \quad X_j^* = X'_j - EX'_j.$$

Then

$$(2.8) \quad \|X'_j\| \leq 2\alpha_j.$$

Moreover, we see that the conditions (a)–(c) and (2.8) imply that the assumptions (A)–(D) of Theorem 2.3 are satisfied for the sequence $\{X_n^*, n \geq 1\}$. Hence by Theorem 2.3 and its proof we find that

$$n^{-1} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n X_j^* \right\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s.}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and

$$n^{-1} E \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n X_j^* \right\| \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since $EX_j = 0$ we find

$$EX_j^* = EX_j I(\|X_j\| \geq \alpha_j)$$

and so

$$\|EX_j^*\| = E\|X_j\| I(\|X_j\| \geq \alpha_j) < \epsilon.$$

Moreover, we have

$$E\|X_j - X'_j\| = E\|X_j\| I(\|X_j\| \geq \alpha_j) < \epsilon$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} E \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n X_j \right\| &\leq E \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n X'_j \right\| + E \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n EX_j^* \right\| + E \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - X'_j) \right\| \\ &\leq E \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n X'_j \right\| + \sum_{j=1}^n \|EX_j^*\| + \sum_{j=1}^n E\|X_j - X'_j\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \left\{ n^{-1} E \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n X_j \right\| \right\} \leq 3\epsilon$$

and since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary we have proved

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E \left\{ n^{-1} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n X_j \right\| \right\} = 0.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] Choi, B. D., Sung, S. H., *On Teicher's strong law of large numbers in general Banach spaces*, Probability and Math. Statist., vol.10, fasc.1 (1989), 137-147.
- [2] Godbole, A. P., *On the strong law of large numbers in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., vol.100, 3 (1987), 543-550.
- [3] Hoffmann - Jorgensen, J., Pisier, G., *The law of large numbers and the central limit theorem in Banach spaces*, Ann. Probab. 4, (1976), 587-599.
- [4] Kucsmaszewska, A., Szynal, D., *On some conditions for the strong law of large numbers*, Publicationes Mathematicae 32, Debrecen. (1985), 223-232.
- [5] Teicher, H., *Some new conditions for the strong law*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 59 (1968), 705-707.
- [6] Yurinskii, V. V., *Exponential bounds for large deviations*, Theor. Probability Appl. 19 (1974), 154-155.

STRESZCZENIE

Niech $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ będzie ciągiem niezależnych elementów losowych przyjmujących wartości z przestrzeni Banacha \mathcal{X} . W pracy udowodniono, że teicherowska wersja mocnego prawa wielkich liczb zachodzi wtedy i tylko wtedy gdy \mathcal{X} jest przestrzenią typu p . Powyższy rezultat rozszerza wyniki podane w [3] gdzie rozważana jest wersja Chunga mocnego prawa wielkich liczb.

(received July 12, 1991)

