

Department of Mathematics, University of Mississippi

Instytut Matematyki, UMCS

Department of Mathematics, University of Cincinnati

W. M. CAUSEY, J. G. KRZYŻ, E. P. MERKES

### Some Remarks on a Distortion Lemma

Kilka uwag dotyczących pewnego lematu o zniekształceniu

**Abstract.** The authors consider for  $|z| = r$  bounds on  $|z/f(z) - 1|$  over the class  $S$  of all normalized analytic univalent functions  $f$ . In particular, they show that the r.h.s. in (1) should be replaced by  $2r + 3r^2$ . The estimates of  $|z/f(z) - 1|$  play a role in the determination of the choice of  $\alpha$  for the univalence of the integral transform  $\int_0^z [f(t)/t]^\alpha dt$  when  $f \in S$ . Since (1) is not valid for all  $z \in D$ , the known bound on  $|\alpha|$  remains at  $1/4$ .

**1. Introduction.** Let  $S$  denote the class of normalized analytic univalent functions in the open unit disk  $D$  and let  $\alpha$  be a fixed complex number. For many years two of the present authors, as well as many others, have attempted to find the choices of complex  $\alpha$  such that the function  $G(z) = \int_0^z [g(t)/t]^\alpha dt$  is in  $S$  whenever  $g$  is in  $S$  (cf. e.g. [1], [2], [4], [5]). The best known result is  $|\alpha| \leq \frac{1}{4}$  which was first published in 1972 [4]. A result of Royster [9] proves that the modulus of  $\alpha$  cannot exceed  $1/2$  and, in fact,  $G$  is in  $S$  for all  $\alpha$ ,  $|\alpha| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , provided  $g$  is in addition starlike, cf. [5].

In a recent article [6], J. Miazga and A. Wesołowski attempt to prove the bound on  $|\alpha|$  is  $1/3$ . Their proof is based on what appears to be a nice general result.

**Lemma A [6].** *If  $f$  is in  $S$ , then for fixed  $z$  in  $D$  the inequality*

$$(1) \quad |z/f(z) - 1| \leq 2r + r^2, \quad |z| = r,$$

*holds. The Koebe function  $f(z) = z(1+z)^{-2}$  establishes sharpness.*

This lemma, however, is incorrect and, as a consequence, the known bound on  $|\alpha|$  remains at  $1/4$ . Using a classical 1932 result of Grunsky, cf. e.g. [3, p.323], which is quoted here as Lemma B, it is easily verified that

$$(2) \quad \sup\{|z/f(z) - 1| : f \in S, z \in D\} = 5$$

and this implies Lemma A, as stated, is incorrect.

Nonetheless, the inequality (1) is indeed true if we restrict  $f$  to be in the subclass  $S^*$  of starlike functions in  $S$  (Proposition 2). It is also true for  $f \in S$  and  $r$  sufficiently small. However, the inequality (1) must be replaced for arbitrary  $f \in S$ ,  $z \in D$ , by

$$(3) \quad |z/f(z) - 1| \leq 2r + 3r^2, \quad |z| = r.$$

**2. Bounds on  $|z/f(z) - 1|$ .** We first quote the classical result of Grunsky as

**Lemma B.** For each  $z$ ,  $|z| = r < 1$ , the region  $\{\log f(z)/z : f \in S\}$  is the disk

$$(4) \quad \left\{ \zeta : |\zeta + \log(1 - r^2)| \leq \log \frac{1+r}{1-r} \right\}.$$

As an immediate consequence of this result we obtain

**Proposition 1.** The region  $\{z/f(z) : f \in S, z \in D\}$  is the punctured disk  $\{w : 0 < |w| < 4\}$ .

In fact, by (4) with  $z \in D$  and the natural branch of the complex logarithm we have  $\{\log z/f(z) : f \in S \text{ and } |z| < 1\} = \{\zeta : \operatorname{Re} \zeta < \log 4\}$  and the Proposition follows by exponentiation.

If we take  $w = -4 + \varepsilon$ , where  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , then we can find  $f \in S$  and  $z \in D$  so that  $z/f(z) = w$ . Hence  $|w - 1| = 5 - \varepsilon$  and we conclude that  $|z/f(z) - 1| < 5$  for  $z$  in  $D$  and 5 is the best possible bound. This shows that the inequality (1) is incorrect.

Nonetheless, if we restrict  $f$  to be in the subclass  $S^*$  of starlike functions in  $S$ , Lemma A is indeed true.

**Proposition 2.** If  $f$  is in  $S^*$ , then for a fixed  $z$  in  $D$  the inequality (1) holds. Equality holds in (1) if and only if  $f(z) = z(1 + e^{i\theta}z)^{-2}$ ,  $\theta$  real, i.e. a Koebe function.

**Proof.** It is a well-known result due to A. Marx and E. Strohäcker (cf. e.g. [8, p.50]), that for a fixed  $z$ ,  $|z| = r < 1$ , and  $f \in S^*$  the point  $w = [z/f(z)]^{1/2}$  ranges over the disk  $|w - 1| \leq r$ . Furthermore equality holds if and only if  $f(z) = z(1 + e^{i\theta}z)^{-2}$ ,  $\theta$  real. Thus  $[z/f(z)]^{1/2} = 1 + \rho e^{i\theta}$ , where  $|z| \leq r$  and  $\rho \leq r$ . This implies that  $z/f(z) - 1 = 2\rho e^{i\theta} + \rho^2 e^{2i\theta}$  and the Proposition follows.

As observed by P. Pawłowski in a paper to be published in this volume, the inequality (1) is also true for close-to-convex functions.

From Lemma B we can obtain for  $|z| \leq r < 1$  a sharp inequality for the supremum of the expression on the left in (1) for all  $f \in S$ . Unfortunately the result is rather complicated and implicit. Indeed, the boundary of the range of  $z/f(z)$ , for  $f \in S$ ,  $|z| = r$ , can by (4) be parametrized as

$$w = w_r(t) = A(t)(\cos \psi(t) + i \sin \psi(t)), \quad -\pi \leq t \leq \pi,$$

where

$$(5) \quad A(t) = A_r(t) = (1 - r^2) \left( \frac{1+r}{1-r} \right)^{\cos t}, \quad \psi(t) = \psi_r(t) = \sin t \log \frac{1+r}{1-r}.$$

By a standard calculus argument, we obtain the following

**Theorem 1.** *If  $f$  is in  $S$  and  $|z| = r < 1$ , then*

$$(6) \quad \left| \frac{z}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \leq [A^2(t_0) - 2A(t_0) \cos \psi(t_0) + 1]^{1/2},$$

where  $A, \psi$  are defined by (5) and  $t_0 = t_0(r)$  is a suitable zero of the function

$$(7) \quad D_r(t) = \sin(t + \psi_r(t)) - A_r(t) \sin t.$$

For each  $r \in (0; 1)$  there is a function in  $S$  such that the equality holds in (6).

Due to symmetry we may assume that  $0 \leq t \leq \pi$ . Obviously the end points of the interval  $(0; \pi)$  are zeros of (7). However,  $\cos \psi(t) = 1$  for  $t = 0, \pi$  and so the r.h.s. in (6) becomes  $|A(t) - 1|$ . Since  $|A(\pi) - 1| = 2r - r^2 < |A(0) - 1| = 2r + r^2$ , the case  $t_0 = \pi$  must be rejected.

Numerical work using MAPLE indicates that the only zeros of  $D_r(t)$  on the interval  $[0; \pi]$  are the end points (and so  $t_0 = 0$ ) when  $r \leq 0.819497$ . When  $|z| = r$  is restricted to this range, the Koebe function is extremal and (1) is correct. For  $r \geq 0.819498$ , however,  $D_r(t)$  has a finite number of additional zeros and, in particular,  $0 < t_0 < \pi$ . When  $r = 0.95$ , for example,  $t_0$  is approximately equal to 0.32142 and the bound on the right in (6) is approximately 2.8987.

Although Theorem 1 gives sharp bounds, it depends on the deep theorem of Grunsky quoted as Lemma B and the final result is implicit. There is a simpler, explicit, and more attractive, although less sharp, form that can be proved by elementary methods. At the same time it is a correct version of Lemma A with the majorant being a polynomial in  $r$  of degree at most 2. We have the following

**Proposition 3.** *If  $f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + \dots$  is in  $S$  and  $0 < |z| = r < 1$ , then*

$$(8) \quad \left| \frac{z}{f(z)} - 1 \right| < |a_2| r + 3r^2 \leq 2r + 3r^2.$$

**Proof.** If  $f \in S$ , then  $h(\zeta) \equiv 1/f(z)$ ,  $\zeta = 1/z$ , is in the familiar class  $\Sigma$  of meromorphic univalent functions and  $h(\zeta) \neq 0$  for  $|\zeta| > 1$ . We have

$$h(\zeta) = \zeta + b_0 + b_1/\zeta + \dots = b_0 + h_0(\zeta).$$

Now, we have  $|b_0| \leq 2$  for a non-vanishing  $h \in \Sigma$  and  $|h_0(\zeta) - \zeta| < 3|\zeta|^{-1}$  for  $h_0(\zeta) = \zeta + b_1/\zeta + \dots$ , cf. [7, p.25 (Ex.139, 144)]. We conclude

$$|h(\zeta) - \zeta| = |h_0(\zeta) - \zeta + b_0| < |b_0| + 3/|\zeta|$$

and since  $b_0 = -a_2$ ,  $1/|\zeta| = r$ , we have

$$\left| \frac{z}{f(z)} - 1 \right| = \left| \frac{h(\zeta)}{\zeta} - 1 \right| < \frac{|b_0|}{|\zeta|} + \frac{3}{|\zeta|^2} = |a_2| r + 3r^2 \leq 2r + 3r^2.$$

The bound (8) is sharp in the limit as  $r \rightarrow 1$ .

When  $r = 0.95$ , we obtain the value 4.6075 for this bound while the sharp bound in Theorem 1 is less than 2.8988.

Note that  $|a_2|r + 3r^2 = 2r + r^2 + 2r[r - (1 - \frac{1}{2}|a_2|)] < 2r + r^2$  for  $0 < r < 1 - \frac{1}{2}|a_2|$ . This establishes

**Corollary 1.** If  $f$  is not a Koebe function, then (1) holds for all  $|z| = r$  in the interval  $(0; 1 - \frac{1}{2}|a_2|)$ .

In particular, (1) is valid for  $z \in D$  if  $f \in S$  and  $f''(0) = 0$ . By the argument in [6], we have a new result on the integral transform:

**Corollary 2.** Let  $g(z) = z + a_3z^3 + a_4z^4 + \dots$  be in  $S$ . Then  $G(z) = \int_0^z [g(t)/t]^\alpha dt$  is also in  $S$  if  $|\alpha| \leq 1/3$ .

**3. Concluding remarks.** In [6] the authors by variational methods essentially prove the cited result of Grunsky but state that the expression (6) is maximized when  $t_0 = 0$ . The latter is not always the case. The remaining arguments in their paper are all valid but, for the full class  $S$ ,  $1/5$  is the best bound for  $|\alpha|$  we can obtain by their argument and the corrected Lemma A, i.e. the inequality (8).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Causey , W. M. , *The close-to-convexity univalence and integral* , Math. Z., 99 (1967), 207-212.
- [2] Causey , W. M. , *The univalence of an integral* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 3 (1971), 500-502.
- [3] Duren , P. L. , *Univalent Functions* , Springer Verlag, 1983.
- [4] Kim , Y. J. , Merkes , E. P. , *On the integral of powers of a spirallike function* , Kyungpook Math. J., 12 (1972), 249-253.
- [5] Merkes , E. P. , *Univalence of an integral transform* , Contemporary Math., Amer. Math. Soc., 38 (1985), 113-119.
- [6] Miasga , J. , Wesolowski , A. , *On univalence of a certain integral* , Zeszyty Nauk. Politech. Rzeszowskiej, Mat. Fiz. 9 (1989), 25-31.
- [7] Pólya , G. , Szegő , G. , *Aufgaben und Lehrsätze aus der Analysis* , Vol.II, Dover Publ., New York 1945.
- [8] Pommerenke , Chr. , *Univalent functions* , Vandenhoeck and Ruprecht, Göttingen 1975.
- [9] Royster , W. C. , *On the univalence of a certain integral* , Mich. Math. J. 12 (1965), 385-387.

## STRESZCZENIE

W pracy tej rozważane są oszacowania wyrażenia  $|z/f(z) - 1|$  dla  $|z| = r$  w klasie  $S$  unormowanych funkcji jednolistnych  $f$ . W szczególności wykazano, że w nierówności (1) należy zastąpić prawą stronę przez wyrażenie  $2r + 3r^2$ . Oszacowania wyrażenia  $|z/f(z) - 1|$  są wykorzystywane przy wyznaczaniu liczb  $\alpha$  takich, że transformacja całkowa  $S \ni f \mapsto \int_0^1 [f(t)/t]^\alpha dt$  zachowuje jednolistość. Ponieważ nierówność (1) nie jest spełniona dla wszystkich  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ , więc znane oszacowanie na  $|\alpha|$  równe  $1/4$  nadal pozostaje w mocy.

(received September 23, 1991)

