

Witold MOZGAWA

**On the Non-existence of Parabolical Podkovyrin Quasi-connections**

O nieistnieniu parabolicznych quasi-koneksji Podkowyrina

O несуществовании параболических квази-связности Подковырина

A.S. Podkovyrin in [4,3,6] and other authors (e.g. [7]) have investigated structures on  $2n$ -dimensional manifold  $M$  provided with tensors  $a, g, E$  where  $a$  is a covector,  $g$  is a symmetrical nondegenerate  $(0,2)$  tensor, and  $E$  is  $(1,1)$  tensor in the form

$$(1) \quad E = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & | & e \\ \hline \varepsilon e & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \det e \neq 0$$

and such that  $E \cdot E = \varepsilon I$ , where  $\varepsilon$  is either 1 (hyperbolic case), or -1 (elliptical case), or 0 (parabolical case).

A connection  $\nabla$  is said to be Podkovyrin connection if for arbitrary vector fields  $v, u, w$  the following conditions

hold

$$(2) \quad \nabla E = 0$$

$$(3) \quad \nabla_{\nabla} g(u, w) = a(v) \cdot g(u, E(w)).$$

Our task is to consider the parabolic case i.e.  $\varepsilon = 0$ . The structure determined by the tensor  $E$  such that  $E^2 = 0$ , rank  $E = n$ , is usually called an almost tangent structure [1]. Now on that occasion we shall also investigate all quasi-connections determined by (2) on its almost tangent structure.

The pair  $(C_j^i, \Phi_{jk}^i)$  where  $C_j^i$  is a (1,1) tensor and  $\Phi_{jk}^i$  is a set of functions for which the transformation rule is as follows

$$(4) \quad \Phi_{jk}^a \Lambda_a^{i'} = C_j^a \Lambda_{ak}^{i'} + \Lambda_j^{a'} \Lambda_k^{b'} \Phi_{a'b}^{i'}$$

is said to be quasi-connection on the manifold  $M$ . A covariant derivation  $\nabla$  with respect to the pair  $(C_j^i, \Phi_{jk}^i)$  is in the form

$$\nabla_t v^i = C_t^a \partial_a v^i + v^a \Phi_{ta}^i$$

$$\nabla_t w_i = C_t^a \partial_a w_i - \Phi_{ti}^a w_a$$

(5)

$$\nabla_z z_j^i = C_t^a \partial_a z_j^i + z_j^a \Phi_{ta}^i - \Phi_{tj}^a z_a^i$$

$$\nabla_t \varepsilon_{ij} = C_t^a \partial_a \varepsilon_{ij} - \Phi_{it}^a \varepsilon_{aj} - \Phi_{jt}^a \varepsilon_{ia}$$

Y.-C. Wong in [9] has given reasons for the investigation of quasi-connection as well as another definition and general theory of this one. If  $C_j^i$  is nondegenerate tensor then  $\Gamma_{jk}^i := C_j^{-1t} \Phi_{tk}^i$  are classical coefficients of a connection,

as one can straightforward check.

For our purpose is necessary to recollect certain theorem concerning generalized inverse of matrices and some its generalization. Theory of generalized inverse of matrices was developed in statistics mostly in the theory of linear models.

To begin with we remind the following theorem:

**THEOREM 1** (C.R. Rao, S.K. Mitra [7]). If  $A$  is an arbitrary  $n \times n$  matrix and  $A^-$  is any matrix satisfying the relation  $AA^-A = A$ , then a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of the solution of equation

$$(6) \quad Ax = y$$

is that  $AA^-y = y$ . If this holds then all solutions have the form

$$(7) \quad x = A^-y + (I - A^-A)w$$

where  $w$  is an arbitrary vector.

**COROLLARY 2** (theorem of M. Obata [2],[3],[8]). If  $A$  is projection operator i.e.  $A \circ A = A$  then  $A^* := I - A$  is such that  $A^* \circ A^* = A^*$  and  $A^* \circ A = A \circ A^* = 0$ . It is easy to see that in this case we choose  $A^- = I$  and that the condition  $AA^-y = y$  reduces to  $A^*y = 0$ . All solutions of the equation (6) have the form

$$(8) \quad x = y + A^*w$$

where  $w$  is arbitrary.

We are going to show slight generalization of the above Theorem 1.

THEOREM 3. If A and B are arbitrary  $n \times n$  matrices and  $A^-$ ,  $B^-$  are such that  $AA^-A = A$  and  $BB^-B = B$  then nece-  
ssary and sufficient conditions for the existence of solutions  
of system of equations

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} Ax &= y \\ Bx &= z \end{aligned}$$

are

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} AA^-y &= y, & BB^-z &= z, & AB^-BA^-A &= AB^-B \\ AB^-BA^-y &= AB^-z. \end{aligned}$$

At that time all solutions have the form.

$$(11) \quad x = A^-y + B^-z - B^-BA^-y + (I - B^-B)(I - A^-A)w$$

where w is an arbitrary vector.

COROLLARY 4 (Lemma of Cz. Tokarczyk [8]). If A and B are projection operators then  $A^- = B^- = I$  and conditions for the existence of the solution are

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} A^*y &= 0, & B^*z &= 0 \\ A \circ B \circ A &= A \circ B, & A \circ B y &= Az \end{aligned}$$

and all solutions are given in the form

$$(13) \quad x = y + z - By + B^* \circ A^*w.$$

P r o o f of theorem. We are going to apply the Theorem  
1 to the following equation



$$(14) \quad \begin{bmatrix} A \\ B \end{bmatrix} x = \begin{bmatrix} y \\ z \end{bmatrix}$$

$\Leftarrow$ ) if (10) holds then the matrix  $[(I - B^{-1}B)A^{-1}, B^{-1}]$  is a generalized inverse of  $\begin{bmatrix} A \\ B \end{bmatrix}$ .

In fact, we have

$$(15) \quad \begin{bmatrix} A \\ B \end{bmatrix} [(I - B^{-1}B)A^{-1}, B^{-1}] \begin{bmatrix} A \\ B \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A \\ B \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$(16) \quad \begin{bmatrix} A \\ B \end{bmatrix} [(I - B^{-1}B)A^{-1}, B^{-1}] \begin{bmatrix} y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y \\ z \end{bmatrix}$$

In view of the Theorem 1 the solution exists and it may be written in the following form

$$(17) \quad x = [(I - B^{-1}B)A^{-1}, B^{-1}] \begin{bmatrix} y \\ z \end{bmatrix} + (I - [(I - B^{-1}B)A^{-1}, B^{-1}] \begin{bmatrix} A \\ B \end{bmatrix})w$$

or

$$(18) \quad x = A^{-1}y + B^{-1}z - B^{-1}BA^{-1}y + (I - B^{-1}B)(I - A^{-1}A)w$$

$\Rightarrow$ ) one can easily check by substituting (18) into equations (9).

Now, we can turn back to our problem. Let's consider the equation (2) only. In local form

$$(19) \quad (\delta_{s p}^{k r} - E_s^k \delta_p^r) \phi_{kq}^p = E_q^k \partial_k E_s^r$$

Let us also consider  $\phi_q := \{\phi_{kq}^p\}_{(p,k)}$  as  $4n^2$ -tuple ordered in a lexicographic manner. In this moment we can write (19) as

$$(20) \quad (E \otimes I - I \otimes E^t) \Phi_q = T_q$$

$$F \cdot \Phi_q = T_q$$

where  $T_q$  is  $\{E_q^k \partial_k E_B^r\}_{(r,s)}$  ordered in similar way as  $\Phi_q$  and  $E^t$  denotes a transposition of  $E$ . Let us write the matrix  $F$  in a box form

$$(21) \quad F = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} -I_n \otimes E^t & e \otimes I_{2n} \\ \hline 0 & -I_n \otimes E^t \end{array} \right]$$

where  $I_k$  denotes the identity  $k \times k$  matrix.

LEMMA 5. If  $F$  is above mentioned matrix, then matrix  $F^{-1}$

$$(22) \quad F^{-1} = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} 0 & I_{2n^2} \\ \hline e^{-1} \otimes I_{2n} & -e^{-1} \otimes E^t \end{array} \right]$$

is such that  $FF^{-1} = F$  and  $FF^{-1}T_k = T_k$ .

P r o o f.

$$(23) \quad FF^{-1} = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} -I_n \otimes E^t & e \otimes I_{2n} \\ \hline 0 & -I_n \otimes E^t \end{array} \right] \cdot \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} 0 & I_{2n^2} \\ \hline e^{-1} \otimes I_{2n} & -e^{-1} \otimes E^t \end{array} \right]$$

$$= \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} I_{2n^2} & -2I_n \otimes E^t \\ \hline -e^{-1} \otimes E^t & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 FF^{-1} &= \left( \begin{array}{c|c} I_{2n^2} & 2I_n \otimes E^t \\ \hline -e^{-1} \otimes E^t & 0 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c|c} -I_n \otimes E^t & e \otimes I_{2n} \\ \hline 0 & -I_n \otimes E^t \end{array} \right) \\
 (23) \quad &= \left( \begin{array}{c|c} -I_n \otimes E^t & e \otimes I_{2n} \\ \hline 0 & -I_n \otimes E^t \end{array} \right) = F
 \end{aligned}$$

It is not difficult to see that from the form of  $E = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  we can write  $T_k$  in the shape

$$\begin{aligned}
 (24) \quad T_k &= [ {}_n 0, \varepsilon_{k1, {}_n 0}, \varepsilon_{k2, {}_n 0}, \dots, {}_n 0, \varepsilon_{kn, {}_n 0}, \dots, {}_n 0 ] =: \\
 &=: \left[ T_{k, 2n^2}^1 \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

where  ${}_n 0$  is  $n$ -dimensional zero,  $\varepsilon_{k1}$  consists of  $n$  adequate elements. The term  $T_k^1$  consists of  $2n^2$  elements. On account of the form of  $FF^{-1}$  it is sufficient to show that

$$(25) \quad -(e^{-1} \otimes E^t) T_k^1 = 0$$

The left-hand member of (25) has the form

$$(26) \quad \left( \begin{array}{cccc} {}_n 0, & {}_n 0, \dots, & {}_n 0, & {}_n 0 \\ -e^{-1} E^t, & {}_n 0, \dots, & -e^{-1} E^t, & {}_n 0 \\ {}_n 0, & {}_n 0, \dots, & {}_n 0, & {}_n 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ {}_n 0, & {}_n 0, \dots, & {}_n 0, & {}_n 0 \\ -e^{-1} E^t, & {}_n 0, \dots, & -e^{-1} E^t, & {}_n 0 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} {}_n 0 \\ \varepsilon_{k1} \\ {}_n 0 \\ \dots \\ {}_n 0 \\ \varepsilon_{kn} \end{array} \right)$$

( $O_n$  denotes the zero  $n \times n$  matrix) it is evident that this product is equal to zero.

By virtue of above lemma and of the Theorem 1 we can state the following

COROLLARY 6. The coefficients  $\phi_{jk}^1$  of all structure quasi-connections  $(E_j^1, \phi_{jk}^1)$  of an almost tangent structure  $E$  of  $2n$ -dimensional manifold are

$$(27) \quad \phi_k = F^{-1}T_k + (I - F^{-1}F)W$$

(cf. [1]).

Let us consider equation (3) in local form

$$(28) \quad (\delta_r^k \varepsilon_{ps} + \varepsilon_{rp} \delta_s^k) \phi_{kq}^p = E_q^t \partial_t \varepsilon_{rs} - a_q b_{wr} E_s^w$$

or after a contraction with  $g^{sz}$

$$(29) \quad \frac{1}{2} (\delta_r^k \delta_p^z + \varepsilon_{rp} \varepsilon^{kz}) \phi_{kq}^p = \frac{1}{2} (E_q^t g^{sz} \partial_t \varepsilon_{rs} - a_q \varepsilon_{wr} E_s^w g^{sz})$$

or as a matrix equation

$$(30) \quad \frac{1}{2} (I \otimes I + \varepsilon \otimes g^{-1}) \phi_q = K_q$$

$$\Omega \cdot \phi_q = K_q$$

where

$$\Omega = \frac{1}{2} (I \otimes I + \varepsilon \otimes g^{-1})$$

$$(31) \quad \phi_q = \{ \phi_{kq}^p \}_{(p,k)}$$

$$K_q = \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (g^{sz} E_q^t \partial_t \varepsilon_{rs} - a_q \varepsilon_{wr} E_s^w g^{sz}) \right\}_{(z,r)}$$

are ordered like in (19).



It is easy to check that  $\Omega \circ \Omega = \Omega$  hence  $\Omega$  is a well-known Obata operator. It is also clear that  $\Omega^- = I$ . Because (0,2) tensor  $g$  is symmetrical and non-degenerate we can represent  $g$  in the box form

$$(32) \quad g = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} \varepsilon_1 & \varepsilon_2 \\ \hline \varepsilon_2^t & \varepsilon_3 \end{array} \right)$$

as well

$$(33) \quad \Omega = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} I_{2n^2} + \varepsilon_1 \otimes \varepsilon^{-1} & \varepsilon_2 \otimes \varepsilon^{-1} \\ \hline \varepsilon_2^t \otimes \varepsilon^{-1} & I_{2n^2} + \varepsilon_3 \otimes \varepsilon^{-1} \end{array} \right)$$

**THEOREM 7.** There are no quasi connections  $\nabla$  such that both (2), (3) hold simultaneously.

**P r o o f.** We shall show that condition  $F\Omega F^- F = F\Omega$  (cf. Theorem 3) holds iff the tensor  $g$  has the form

$$(34) \quad g = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} \varepsilon_1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \varepsilon^{-1} \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon \end{array} \right)$$

Then it will be a contradiction because the right-hand member of (3) with the tensor (34) cannot be symmetrical but the left-hand member of (3) is symmetrical by the definition.

Let us consider

$$(35) \quad F\Omega = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} -I_n \otimes E^t & \varepsilon \otimes I_{2n} \\ \hline 0 & -I_n \otimes E^t \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c|c} I_{2n^2} + \varepsilon_1 \otimes \varepsilon^{-1} & \varepsilon_2 \otimes \varepsilon^{-1} \\ \hline \varepsilon_2^t \otimes \varepsilon^{-1} & I_{2n^2} + \varepsilon_3 \otimes \varepsilon^{-1} \end{array} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} -I_n \otimes E^t - \varepsilon_1 \otimes E^t \varepsilon^{-1} + e \varepsilon_2 \otimes \varepsilon^{-1} & -\varepsilon_2 \otimes E^t \varepsilon^{-1} + e \otimes I_{2n} + e \varepsilon_3 \otimes \varepsilon^{-1} \\ \hline -\varepsilon_2^t \otimes E^t \varepsilon^{-1} & -I_n \otimes E^t - \varepsilon_3 \otimes E^t \varepsilon^{-1} \end{array} \right] \\
 (36) \quad F^{-1}F &= \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} 0 & -I_n \otimes E^t \\ \hline -e^{-1} \otimes E^t & I_{2n^2} \end{array} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking into considerations (35) and (36) we have

$$(37) \quad F \Omega F^{-1} =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} \varepsilon_2 e^{-1} \otimes E^t \varepsilon^{-1} E^t - I_n \otimes E^t & \varepsilon_1 \otimes E^t \varepsilon^{-1} E^t - \varepsilon_2 \otimes E^t \varepsilon^{-1} + e \otimes I_{2n} \\ -e \varepsilon_3 e^{-1} \otimes \varepsilon^{-1} E^t & -e \varepsilon_2^t \otimes \varepsilon^{-1} E^t + e \varepsilon_3 \otimes \varepsilon^{-1} \\ \hline \varepsilon_3 e^{-1} \otimes E^t \varepsilon^{-1} E^t & \varepsilon_2^t \otimes E^t \varepsilon^{-1} E^t - I_n \otimes E^t - \\ & - \varepsilon_3 \otimes E^t \varepsilon^{-1} \end{array} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

And this matrix should be equal to (35), so we have the following identities

$$(38) \quad -\varepsilon_1 \otimes E^t \varepsilon^{-1} + e \varepsilon_2^t \otimes \varepsilon^{-1} = \varepsilon_2 e^{-1} \otimes E^t \varepsilon^{-1} E^t - e \varepsilon_3 e^{-1} \otimes \varepsilon^{-1} E^t$$

$$(39) \quad \varepsilon_3 e^{-1} \otimes E^t \varepsilon^{-1} E^t = -\varepsilon_2^t \otimes \varepsilon^{-1} E^t$$

$$(40) \quad \varepsilon_1 \otimes E^t \varepsilon^{-1} E^t = e \varepsilon_2^t \otimes \varepsilon^{-1} E^t$$

$$(41) \quad \varepsilon_2^t \otimes E^t \varepsilon^{-1} E^t = 0$$

Because of (41) we have three possibilities

$$(I) \quad \varepsilon_2^t = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad E^t \varepsilon^{-1} E^t \neq 0.$$

From (39) we have  $\varepsilon_3 e^{-1} \otimes E^t g^{-1} E^t = 0$  hence  $\varepsilon_3 = 0$ . And from (38) we have  $\varepsilon_1 \otimes E^t g^{-1} = 0$  as well from (40) we obtain  $\varepsilon_1 \otimes E^t g^{-1} E^t = 0$  hence  $\varepsilon_1 = 0$ . It is a contradiction because of  $g \neq 0$ .

$$(II) \quad \varepsilon_2^t \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad E^t g^{-1} E^t = 0$$

From (40) we have  $e g_2^t \otimes g^{-1} E^t = 0$  and hence  $g^{-1} E^t = 0$  as well from (39) we obtain  $\varepsilon_2^t \otimes E^t g^{-1} = 0$  and hence  $E^t g^{-1} = 0$ . From (38) we see that  $e g_2^t \otimes g^{-1} = 0$  and hence  $g_2^t = 0$ . It is a contradiction.

$$(III) \quad \varepsilon_2^t = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad E^t g^{-1} E^t = 0$$

From (38) we have

$$(42) \quad \varepsilon_1 \otimes E^t g^{-1} = e g_3 e^{-1} \otimes g^{-1} E^t$$

It is easy to check that (42) holds iff

$$(43) \quad g = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} \varepsilon_1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & e^{-1} \varepsilon_1 e \end{array} \right)$$

and  $e$  is orthogonal matrix. This fact finishes the proof.

The author is much indebted to Mr. A. Szybiak for many interesting and stimulating discussions during the development of this work.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Gadea, P.M., Rosendo, J.L., On almost tangent structures of order  $k$ . An. Ştiinţ. Univ. "Al. I. Cuza", Iaşi Sect. Ia Mat., 22(1)(1976), 211-220.

- [2] Obata, M., Hermitian manifolds with quaternion structure, Tôhoku Math. J., 10(1958), 11-18.
- [3] ,, , Affine connections on manifolds with almost complex, quaternion or Hermitian structure, Japanese J. of Math., 26(1956), 43-77.
- [4] Podkovyrin, A.S., Hypersurfaces in unitary space, I, II, (Russian), Izv. Vysš. Učebn. Zaved. Matematika, 8, 9, (1967), 42-52, 75-85.
- [5] ,, , A certain characteristic property of a singular surface  $X_4$  in the bi-affine space  $(MB)_6$ , (Russian), Trudy Geom. Sem. Kazan. Univ., 4, 5, (1970).
- [6] ,, , A certain generalization of Weyl connection, (Russian), Trudy Geom. Sem. Kazan. Univ., 6(1971).
- [7] Rao, C.R., Mitra, S.K., Generalized Inverses of Matrices and its applications, J. Wiley, Inc., New York-Sydney-London-Toronto 1971.
- [8] Tokarczyk, Cz., General Podkovyrin connections, (Russian), Izv. Vysš. Učebn. Zaved. Matematika, 5(192)(1978), 143-151.
- [9] Wong, Y.C., Linear connections and quasi-connections on a differentiable manifold, Tôhoku Math. J., 14(1962), 48-63.

## STRESZCZENIE

W pracy badano istnienie parabolicznej quasi-koneksji Podkovyrina tj. spełniającej warunki (2), (3) oraz  $E \circ E = 0$ .

Za pomocą uogólnienia tw. Rao-Mitry udowodniono, że taka quasi-koneksja nie istnieje.



### Резюме

В работе исследуется существование параболической квази-связности Подковырина, то есть такой, которая выполняет условия /2/, /3/, а также  $E \circ E = 0$ .

С помощью обобщения теоремы Рао-Митры доказывается несуществование такой квази-связности.

