

Instytut Matematyki
Uniwersytet Marii Curie-Skłodowskiej

Józef ZAJĄC

The Ahlfors class N and its connection
with Teichmüller quasiconformal mappings of an annulus

Klasa N Ahlforsa i jej związek z odwzorowaniami
quasikonforemnymi Teichmüllera w pierścieniu

Класс N Альфорса и его связь с квазиконформными отображениями
Тейхмюллера в круговом кольце

Ahlfors [1] investigated the class N of complex-valued L^∞ functions ν in the unit disc for which the antilinear part of variation of quasiconformal mappings vanishes, where the mappings are generated by dilatation of the form $t\nu$, t being a real parameter. He gave two important characterizations of the class N .

Reich and Strobel proved (1968) that the class N contains functions of the form $\bar{\phi}/|\phi|$, where ϕ is holomorphic in the unit disc. The present author obtains analogues of these results in the case of annuli.

Introduction and preliminaires. Let μ be a complex - valued measurable function in an annulus $\Delta_r = \{z : r \leq |z| \leq 1\}$, $0 < r < 1$, which satisfies

$$\|\mu\|_\infty = \inf_E \sup_{z \in \Delta_r \setminus E} |\mu(z)| < 1$$

where the infimum is taken over all sets E with the plane measure zero.

It is well known that there exists exactly one number R , $0 < R < 1$, and one Q - quasiconformal mapping f of the annulus Δ_r onto Δ_R which satisfies the Beltrami equation

$$(1) \quad f_{\bar{z}} = \mu f_z$$

with $f(1) = 1$, where $Q = (1 + \|\mu\|_\infty)/(1 - \|\mu\|_\infty)$.

Here by a normalized quasiconformal mapping we mean any quasiconformal mapping $f : \Delta_r \rightarrow \Delta_R$ which satisfies $f(1) = 1$.

Suppose that $\mu = \mu(t)$ depends analytically on a real parameter t when regarded as an element of $L^\infty(\Delta_r)$. It has been proved [1] that f depends analytically on t for every fixed z and also that $\partial/\partial t$ commutes almost everywhere with $\partial/\partial z$ and $\partial/\partial \bar{z}$.

We confine ourselves to the case $\mu(t) = t\nu$, where $\|\nu\|_\infty < +\infty$, $0 < t < 1$, and denote explicitly the dependence of f on ν : $f(z, t) = f[\nu](z, t)$, $r < |z| < 1$.

Let

$$(2) \quad f[\nu](z) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} 1/t [f[\nu](z, t) - z].$$

This expression is well defined and depends linearly on ν [1]. From $f[\nu]_{\bar{z}} = t\nu f[\nu]_z$ it follows that

$$(3) \quad \dot{f}[\nu]_{\bar{z}} = \nu.$$

It is well known that (3) is satisfied only if

$$(4) \quad \dot{f}[\nu](\zeta) = 1/\pi \iint_{\Delta_r} \frac{\nu(z)}{\zeta - z} dx dy + F(\zeta)$$

with holomorphic F , where $\iint_{\Delta_r} = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \iint_{\Delta_r \setminus \delta \epsilon(\zeta)}$ and $\Delta^\epsilon(\zeta) = \{z : |\zeta - z| < \epsilon\}$.

Thus we have ([4], p. 33):

$$(5) \quad \dot{f}[\nu](\zeta) = \frac{\zeta}{2\pi} \iint_{\Delta_r} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[\frac{\nu(z)}{z^2} \left(\frac{\zeta + r^{2k} z}{\zeta - r^{2k} z} - \frac{1 + r^{2k} z}{1 - r^{2k} z} \right) - \frac{\overline{\nu(z)}}{\bar{z}^2} \left(\frac{1 + r^{2k} \zeta \bar{z}}{1 - r^{2k} \zeta \bar{z}} - \frac{1 + r^{2k} \bar{z}}{1 - r^{2k} \bar{z}} \right) \right] dx dy,$$

where the notation $\dots + a_{-1} + a_0 + a_1 + \dots$ is applied instead of $a_0 + (a_{-1} + a_1) + \dots$ provided that the last series converges.

We see that f is a continuous linear operator which maps every $\nu \in L^\infty(\Delta_r)$ on a function $f[\nu]$. In addition, the relations $|f[\nu](z, t)| = 1$ for $|z| = 1$ and $|f[\nu](z, t)| = R[\nu](t)$ for $|z| = r$ yield

$$(6) \quad \operatorname{Re} [\bar{z} \dot{f}[\nu](z)] = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|f[\nu](z, t) - z\|^2}{t z f[\nu](z, t)} = 0 \quad \text{for } |z| = 1$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re} [\bar{z} \dot{f}[\nu](z)] = r \operatorname{Re} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{r}{t z} \frac{f[\nu](z, t)}{R[\nu](t)} - \frac{z}{r} + \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} [R[\nu](t) - r] = r\rho$$

for $|z| = r$,

where $\rho = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} 1/t [R[\nu](t) - r]$. In analogy to the above we verify that

$$(8) \quad \operatorname{Re}[\bar{z}f[i\nu](z)] = 0 \quad \text{for } |z| = 1$$

and

$$(9) \quad \operatorname{Re}[\bar{z}f[i\nu](z)] = r\rho^* \quad \text{for } |z| = r$$

where $\rho^* = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} 1/t [R[i\nu](t) - r]$.

Finally we need the following result due to Ławrynowicz [3]:

$$(10) \quad \rho = \frac{r}{2\pi} \iint_{\Delta_r} \left[\frac{\nu(z)}{z^2} + \frac{\nu(\bar{z})}{\bar{z}^2} \right] dx dy,$$

which yields

$$(11) \quad \rho^* = \frac{ir}{2\pi} \iint_{\Delta_r} \left[\frac{\nu(z)}{z^2} - \frac{\nu(\bar{z})}{\bar{z}^2} \right] dx dy.$$

By a Teichmüller mapping of Δ_r onto Δ_R we mean any quasiconformal mapping f whose complex dilatation μ has a.e. (= almost everywhere) the form $t\bar{\phi}/|\phi|$, where $0 \leq t < 1$, and ϕ is a function meromorphic in $\operatorname{int} \Delta_r$, whose only singularities may be poles of the first order. By a normalized Teichmüller mapping we mean any Teichmüller mapping f which satisfies the condition $f(1) = 1$.

We prove first.

Theorem 1. *Let f be a teichmüller mapping in Δ_r . Then*

$$(12) \quad r \log r^2 \leq \rho \leq r \log r^{-2},$$

where the equality is attained for $f_1(z) = e^{i\theta} z |z|^{-2t/(1+t)}$, $z \in \Delta_r$, on the left-hand side and for $f_2(z) = e^{i\theta} z^{2t/(1-t)}$, $z \in \Delta_r$, on the right-hand side, where θ is a real parameter.

Proof. From the geometric definition of quasiconformal mappings (cf. e.g. [2], p. 31) it follows that

$$\frac{1-t}{1+t} \leq \log R(t)/\log r \leq \frac{1+t}{1-t}$$

where $R(t) = R(\bar{\phi}/|\phi|)(r)$

Since $0 < r < 1$, then

$$\log r \frac{1+t}{1-t} \leq \log R(t) \leq \log r \frac{1-t}{1+t},$$

i.e.

$$\frac{r \frac{1+t}{1-t} - r}{t} \leq \frac{R(t) - r}{t} \leq \frac{r \frac{1-t}{1+t} - r}{t}$$

But $\frac{d}{dt} r \frac{1+t}{1-t} \Big|_{t=0} = -\frac{d}{dt} r \frac{1-t}{1+t} \Big|_{t=0} = 2r \log r$. Therefore (12) follows.

1. The Ahlfors class N for an annulus Δ_r . Now we shall define the Ahlfors class N_r for an annulus Δ_r and study some properties of this class. To this end let us decompose the variation $\dot{f}[\nu]$ defined by (2) as follows:

$$(13) \quad \dot{f}[\nu] = 1/2[\dot{f}[\nu] + i\dot{f}[i\nu]] + 1/2[\dot{f}[\nu] - i\dot{f}[i\nu]],$$

where the first part is antilinear and the second part is linear with respect to the complex multipliers. By the definition of $\dot{f}[\nu]$ and (3) we see that $[\dot{f}[\nu] + i\dot{f}[i\nu]]_{\bar{z}} = 0$ i.e.

$$(14) \quad \Phi[\nu] = \dot{f}[\nu] + i\dot{f}[i\nu]$$

is always a holomorphic function. The antilinearity is expressed by $\Phi[i\nu] = -i\Phi[\nu]$. We denote by N_r the subspace of $L^\infty(\Delta_r)$ which is formed by all ν with $\Phi[\nu] = 0$. It is a complex linear subspace of $L^\infty(\Delta_r)$.

The following characterization of N_r is important.

Lemma 1. *An element ν of $L^\infty(\Delta_r)$ belongs to N_r if and only if $\dot{f}[\nu]$ satisfies the condition*

$$(15) \quad \dot{f}[\nu](z) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } |z| = 1 \\ \frac{z}{\pi} \iint_{\Delta_r} \frac{\nu(\xi)}{\xi^2} d\xi d\eta & \text{for } |z| = r \end{cases}$$

where $\xi = \zeta + i\eta$.

Proof. By (14) we have

$$\operatorname{Re}[\bar{z} \Phi[\nu](z)] = \operatorname{Re}[\bar{z} \dot{f}[\nu](z)] - \operatorname{Im}[\bar{z} \dot{f}[i\nu](z)],$$

and, analogously,

$$\text{Im}[\bar{z} \Phi[\nu](z)] = \text{Im}[\bar{z} \hat{f}[\nu](z)] + \text{Re}[\bar{z} \hat{f}[i\nu](z)].$$

By (6) and (7) this yields

$$\text{Re}[\bar{z} \phi[\nu](z)] = \begin{cases} -\text{Im}[\bar{z} \hat{f}[i\nu](z)] & \text{for } |z| = 1, \\ r\rho - \text{Im}[\bar{z} \hat{f}[i\nu](z)] & \text{for } |z| = r, \end{cases}$$

and, by (9),

$$\text{Im}[\bar{z} \phi[\nu](z)] = \begin{cases} \text{Im}[\bar{z} \hat{f}[\nu](z)] & \text{for } |z| = 1, \\ r\rho^* + \text{Im}[\bar{z} \hat{f}[\nu](z)] & \text{for } |z| = r. \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$(16) \quad \bar{z} \hat{f}[\nu](z) = \begin{cases} i \text{Im}[\bar{z} \phi[\nu](z)] & \text{for } |z| = 1, \\ r(\rho - i\rho^*) + i \text{Im}[\bar{z} \phi[\nu](z)] & \text{for } |z| = r. \end{cases}$$

Therefore $\Phi = 0$ implies (15) by virtue of (10) and (11).

Conversely, if $\hat{f}[\nu]$ satisfies (15), we see that the function $z \rightarrow \bar{z} \Phi[\nu](z)$ has real values on $\partial \Delta_r$. Since $\bar{z} \Phi[\nu](z) = |z|^2 z^{-1} \Phi[\nu](z)$, then the holomorphic function $z \rightarrow z^{-1} \Phi[\nu] \cdot (z)$ has real values on $\partial \Delta_r$ as well and it is continuous on Δ_r . It can easily be seen that this function must be constant beign real in Δ_r . But $\Phi[\nu](1) = 0$, whence $\Phi[\nu](z) = 0$ in Δ_r . This completes the proof.

As an immediate consequence of this Lemma we obtain.

Theorem 2. *If ν belongs to N_r , then*

$$(17) \quad \iint_{\Delta_r} \nu(z) g(z) dx dy = \frac{i}{2} \iint_{\Delta_r} \frac{\nu(\xi)}{\xi^2} d\xi d\eta \cdot \int_{|z|=r} z g(z) dz$$

for all g holomorphic in $\text{int } \Delta_r$ with $\iint_{\Delta_r} |g(z)| dx dy < +\infty$, where $\xi = \xi + i\eta$.

Proof. Suppose that g is a holomorphic function in $\text{int } \Delta_r$ with finite L^1 -norm in Δ_r . Since $\|\nu\|_\infty < +\infty$ then, by (3), and by Green's formulae in the generalized form (see [2], p. 148) we have

$$\iint_{\Delta_r} \nu(z) g(z) dx dy = -i/2 \int_{|z|=1} \hat{f}[\nu](z) g(z) dz + i/2 \int_{|z|=r} \hat{f}[\nu](z) g(z) dz$$

which, by (15) yields (17) and this completes the proof. In the case $R = r$ we may show, using the technique of Ahlfors [1], that the condition (17) is also sufficient.

2. Relationship between the Ahlfors class N_r and Teichmüller mappings of an annulus. Recall that in the case of the unit disc the Ahlfors class N is defined like in the case of the annulus Δ_r (see [1]). Suppose that f is a Teichmüller mapping generated by the complex dilatation of the form $t\bar{\phi}/|\phi|$, where ϕ is holomorphic in Δ and $0 \leq t < 1$. If we assume in addition, that f keeps the boundary points fixed for a sequence of values t tending to zero, then $\bar{\phi}/|\phi| \in N$. This result has been obtained by Reich and Strebel [5].

In the case of an annulus we are going to prove.

Theorem 3. *Suppose that:*

- (i) f is a Teichmüller mapping generated by the complex dilatation of the form $t\bar{\phi}/|\phi|$, where ϕ is holomorphic in Δ_r and $0 \leq t < 1$,
- (ii) f maps Δ_r onto $\Delta_{R(t)}$ and satisfies: $f(e^{i\theta}, t) = e^{i\theta}$, $f(re^{i\theta}, t) = R(t)e^{i\theta(r, t)}$, $0 \leq t < 1$, $-\pi < \theta \leq \pi$ with $\theta(r, t) = \arg f(re^{i\theta}, t)$,
- (iii) we have

$$(18) \quad \theta_r(r, 0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \iint_{\Delta_r} \left[\frac{\overline{\phi(\zeta)}}{|\phi(\zeta)|} \frac{1}{\zeta^2} - \frac{\phi(\zeta)}{|\phi(\zeta)|} \frac{1}{\zeta^2} \right] d\zeta d\eta$$

Then $\bar{\phi}/|\phi| \in N_r$.

Proof. Suppose that f is a mapping which satisfies the hypotheses of the theorem. Then f keeps the points of $\{z : |z| = 1\}$ fixed for every $0 \leq t < 1$ and we see that $f[\bar{\phi}/|\phi|](z) = 0$ for $|z| = 1$, so we arrive at the first condition in (15). In order to verify the second condition in (15) let us note that

$$(19) \quad f[\bar{\phi}/|\phi|](z) = z[\rho/r + i\theta_r(r, 0)] \quad \text{for } |z| = r$$

Therefore, by (10) and (18), we obtain

$$f[\bar{\phi}/|\phi|](z) = z/\pi \iint_{\Delta_r} \frac{\overline{\phi(\zeta)}}{|\phi(\zeta)|} \frac{d\zeta d\eta}{\zeta^2} \quad \text{for } |z| = r$$

By Lemma 1 this complete the proof.

3. Corollary. First we give.

Corollary 1. *If in Theorem 2 we additionally assume that g has holomorphic extension to $\{z : |z| \leq 1\}$, then*

$$(20) \quad \iint_{\Delta} \nu(z) g(z) dx dy = 0$$

Proof. By a well known Cauchy's theorem the relationship (17) reduces to (20) because

$$\int_{|z|=r} z \cdot g(z) dz = 0$$

and this suffices to conclude the proof.

The relationship mentioned in (20) is the so called relation of orthogonality in the weak sense (see [6], p. 5). It plays an important role in the parametrical method for quasiconformal mapping of the unit disc.

Corollary 2. Let f be a Teichmüller mapping generated by the complex dilatation of the form $t\bar{\phi}/|\phi|$, where ϕ is holomorphic and $0 \leq t < 1$, which maps Δ_r onto $\Delta_{R(t)}$ and satisfies: $f(e^{i\theta}, t) = e^{i\theta}$, $f(re^{i\theta}, t) = R(t)e^{i\theta}$, $0 \leq t < 1$, $-\pi < \theta < \pi$. Then $\bar{\phi}/|\phi| \in N_r$.

Proof. Suppose that f is a mapping which satisfies the hypotheses of the Corollary. By Theorem 3 we obtain the first condition in (15). The second condition in (15) is even simpler because in this case $\theta_r(r, 0) = 0$ and this, by Lemma 2, completes the proof.

As an immediate consequence of (5) we shall give.

Corollary 3. An element ν of $L^\infty(\Delta_r)$ belongs to N_r if and only if

$$(21) \quad f'[\nu](\xi) = \frac{\xi}{2\pi} \iint_{\Delta_r} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\nu(z)}{z^2} \left(\frac{\xi + r^{2k} z}{\xi - r^{2k} z} - \frac{1 + r^{2k} z}{1 - r^{2k} z} \right) dx dy$$

for $r < |\xi| < 1$.

These results have natural analogues in the case $r = 0$, i.e. for the mapping in Δ with an additional invariant point 0.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ahlfors, L. V., *Some remarks on Teichmüller space of Riemann surface*, Ann. of Math. 74 (1961), 171-191.
- [2] Lehto, O. and Virtanen, K. I., *Quasiconformal mappings in the plane*, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York 1973.
- [3] Ławrynowicz, J., *On the parametrization of quasiconformal mappings with invariant boundary points in the unit disc*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Ser. Math. Astronom. Phys., 9 (1973), 739-741.
- [4] Ławrynowicz, J., *On the parametrization of quasiconformal mappings in an annulus*, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska, Sect. A, 18 (1964), 23-52.

- [5] Reich, E. and Strebel, K., *On quasiconformal mappings which keep the boundary points fixed*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 138 (1969), 211–222.
- [6] Reich, E. und Strebel, K., *Einige Klassen Teichmüllerscher Abbildungen, die die Randpunkte festhalten*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I, 457 (1970), 19.

STRESZCZENIE

Autor rozważa klasę N_r Ahlforsa w pierścieniu, podaje jej charakteryzację oraz związek z odwzorowaniami quasikonforemnymi Teichmüllera.

РЕЗЮМЕ

Автор рассматривает класс N_r Альфorsa в круговом кольце, представляет его характеристику и связь с квазиконформными отображениями Тейхмюллера.

