

Instytut Matematyki, Uniwersytet Marii Curie-Skłodowskiej, Lublin

WOJCIECH ZYGMUNT

On the Full Solution of the Functional-Paratingent Equation

O pełnym rozwiązaniu równania paratyngensowo-funkcjonalowego

О полном решении функционально-паратингентного уравнения

This note concerns the existence of a full solution of the functional-paratingent equation. We present the generalisation of our earlier notes [3] and [4] in which we considered the problem of existence of a solution for a paratingent equation with deviated argument.

I. Notations and definitions

Let us accept the following symbols.

$p < 0$ is a fixed number belonging to the real line R . $R^+ = [0, \infty) \subset R$. R^m denotes a m -dimensional Euclidean space with the norm $|x| = \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} |x_i|$, where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$.

$\text{Conv } R^m$ is the family of all convex compact and nonempty subsets of R^m with the distance between them being understood in the Hausdorff sense.

C is the space of all continuous functions $g: [p, \infty) \rightarrow R^m$ with topology defined by an almost uniform convergence. It is well-known that C is a metrizable locally convex linear topological space. $[\varphi]_t$, $t \geq p$, denotes the function φ which is localized within the interval $[p, t]$ and $\|\varphi\|_t = \max_{p \leq s \leq t} \varphi(s)$ (i.e. $[\varphi]_t$ is the best non-decreasing majorant of φ on $[p, t]$).

\mathfrak{C} is the space of all functions $[\varphi]_t$, where $\varphi \in C$ and $t \geq p$, with the metric being understood as a distance of graph (the graph being a subset of $R \times R^m$) of these functions in the Hausdorff sense (a so-called graph topology).

Having a function $\varphi \in C$ and $t \geq p$ the set of all limit points

$$x = \frac{\varphi(t_i) - \varphi(s_i)}{t_i - s_i}$$

where $s_i, t_i \geq p, s_i \rightarrow t, t_i \rightarrow t$ and $s_i \neq t_i, i = 1, 2, \dots$ will be called paratingent of φ at the point t and denoted by $(P\varphi)(t)$.

Taking only the limit points for which $t \leq s_i, t \leq t_i$ and $t_i \rightarrow t, s_i \rightarrow t, t_i \neq s$ one obtains the right-hand paratingent $(P^+ \varphi)(t)$ of φ at the point t .

Let $F: R^+ \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \text{Conv} R^m$ be a continuous mapping, let $\nu: R^+ \rightarrow R^+$ be a continuous function such that $\nu(t) > t$ and let $[\xi]_0 \in \mathbb{C}$. We shall deal with the functional-paratingent equation

$$(1) \quad (Px)(t) \subset F(t, [x]_{\nu(t)}), \quad t \geq 0$$

with the initial condition

$$(2) \quad x(t) = \xi(t), \quad p \leq t \leq 0.$$

By the full solution of (1), which satisfies the condition (2), we mean any function $\varphi \in C$ such that

$$(P\varphi)(t) = F(t, [\varphi]_{\nu(t)}), \quad t > 0$$

$$(P^+ \varphi)(0) = F(0, [\varphi]_{\nu(0)})$$

and

$$\varphi(t) = \xi(t), \quad p \leq t \leq 0.$$

Put $\|F(t, [x]_v)\| = \sup\{|z|: z \in F(t, [x]_v), (t, [x]_v) \in R^+ \times \mathbb{C}\}$

and let α and A be fixed constants such that $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $A \geq \max[1, [\xi]_0]$.

II. Theorem. *If the mapping F satisfies the condition*

$$(3) \quad \|F(t, [x]_v)\| \leq M(t) + N(t)([x]_v)^\alpha,$$

where the functions M and N are non-negative and continuous, and if the function ν satisfies the inequality

$$(4) \quad \alpha A(\nu(t)) \leq A(t) + e^{-1} \quad \text{for } t \in R^+$$

where

$$A(t) = \int_0^t [M(s) + N(s)] ds,$$

then there exists a full solution of equation (1) which satisfies the initial condition (2). Moreover this solution satisfies the inequality

$$(5) \quad |\varphi(t)| \leq A \exp[eA(t)] \quad \text{for } t \in R^+.$$

First we shall prove some Lemmas.

III. Lemma 1. *Suppose that the function $f: R^+ \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow R^m$ satisfies the following conditions*

- (i) for each fixed $t \in R^+, f$ is continuous in respect to $[x]_v, [x]_v \in \mathbb{C}$,
- (ii) for each fixed $[x]_v \in \mathbb{C}, f$ is Lebesgue measurable with respect to $t \in R^+,$
- (iii) $|f(t, [x]_v)| \leq M(t) + N(t)([x]_v)^\alpha$ for each $(t, [x]_v) \in R^+ \times \mathbb{C}$.

Then there exists at least one solution in the Caratheodory sense of the equation

$$(6) \quad x'(t) = f(t, [x]_{v(t)}), \quad t \geq 0$$

which satisfies the initial condition (2) for $p \leq t \leq 0$ and, moreover, the inequality (5) for $t \geq 0$.

(By solution in the Caratheodory sense of (6) we mean any absolutely continuous function $\varphi: R^+ \rightarrow R^m$ satisfying (6) almost everywhere in R^+).

Proof. Let K denote a family of all functions belonging to C and satisfying the following three conditions

$$(7) \quad |\varphi(t)| \leq A \exp[eA(t)] \quad \text{for } t \geq 0,$$

$$(8) \quad |\varphi(t+h) - \varphi(t)| \leq A \int_t^{t+h} \{\exp[eA(s)]\} ds \quad \text{for } t \geq 0,$$

and $h > 0$,

$$(9) \quad \varphi(t) = \xi(t) \quad \text{for } p \leq t \leq 0.$$

We see at once that this family is a nonempty compact and convex subset of the space C .

Let us consider the operator $D: C \rightarrow C$ defined by formula

$$(D\varphi)(t) = \begin{cases} \xi(t) & \text{for } p \leq t \leq 0, \\ \xi(0) + \int_t^0 f(s, [\varphi]_{v(s)}) ds & \text{for } t \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

At first we shall show that D is continuous. Let $\varphi, \varphi_i \in C$ and $\varphi_i \rightarrow \varphi$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$. Let us fix $T \geq 0$. Then the sequence $\{\varphi_i\}$ is uniformly convergent to a function φ on the interval $[0, T^*]$, where $T^* = \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} v(t)$.

Let us denote

$$B = \sup_i (\|\varphi\|_{T^*})^\alpha$$

$$w_i(t) = f(t, [\varphi_i]_{v(t)}), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T$$

$$v(t) = M(t) + BN(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

Each of the functions w_i is integrable on $[0, T]$.

Furthermore, for each $t \in [0, T]$ we have

$$|w_i(t)| \leq v(t)$$

and

$$w_i(t) \rightarrow w(t) = f(t, [\varphi]_{v(t)}), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

Therefore, in view of well known theorems in the theory of real functions,

$$\int_0^T |w_i(t) - w(t)| dt \rightarrow 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

On the other hand for each $t \in [0, T]$

$$\begin{aligned} |(D\varphi_i)(t) - (D\varphi)(t)| &\leq \int_0^t |w_i(s) - w(s)| ds \\ &\leq \int_0^T |w_i(t) - w(t)| dt \rightarrow 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Hence it follows that the sequence $\{D\varphi_i\}$ uniformly converges to a function $D\varphi$ on $[0, T]$. Since T was arbitrary and $(D\varphi_i)(t) = \xi(t)$ for $p \leq t \leq 0$, the sequence of functions $\{D\varphi_i\}$ is uniformly convergent to $D\varphi$ on each compact subinterval of interval $[p, \infty)$. Thus $D\varphi_i \rightarrow D\varphi$ in the space C . This means that the operator D is continuous. Besides D maps the set $K \subset C$ into itself.

Indeed, if $\varphi \in K$, then firstly $(D\varphi)(t) = \xi(t)$ for $p \leq t \leq 0$, and by conditions (3), (8) and (4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(D\varphi)(t+h) - (D\varphi)(t)| &\leq \int_t^{t+h} |f(s, [\varphi]_{v(s)})| ds \leq \\ &\leq \int_t^{t+h} [M(s) + N(s)([\varphi]_{v(s)})^n] ds \leq \int_t^{t+h} \{M(s) + A^n N(s)(\exp[e\Lambda(v(s))])^n\} ds \leq \\ &\leq A \int_t^{t+h} \{L(s) \exp[ae\Lambda(v(s))]\} ds \leq \int_t^{t+h} \{L(s) \exp[e\Lambda(s) + 1]\} ds \\ &= A \int_t^{t+h} \{\exp[e\Lambda(s)]\}' ds \quad \text{for } t \geq 0 \text{ and } h > 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $L(s) = M(s) + N(s)$.

Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |(D\varphi)(t)| &\leq |(D\varphi)(0)| + A \int_0^t \{\exp[e\Lambda(s)]\}' ds \leq \\ &\leq A + A \{\exp[e\Lambda(t)] - 1\} = A \exp[e\Lambda(t)] \quad \text{for } t \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently $D\varphi \in K$.

So we see that the operator D fulfills all the hypotheses of the well-known Schauder's-Tichonov's theorem on a fixed point. Therefore, there exists a function $\varphi \in K$ such that $\varphi = D\varphi$ what means that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'(t) &= f(t, [\varphi]_{t(t)}) && \text{for almost every } t \geq 0 \\ \varphi(t) &= \xi(t) && \text{for } p \leq t \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and obviously

$$|\varphi(t)| \leq A \exp[eA(t)] \quad \text{for } t \geq 0.$$

Our lemma is thus proved.

Lemma 2. *There exists a sequence of sets $A \subset R$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ such that*

$$(10) \quad A \cap A = \emptyset \quad \text{if } i \neq j,$$

$$(11) \quad \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n = R^+$$

$$(12) \quad \bigwedge_{(a,b) \subset R^+} \mu((a,b) \cap A_n) > 0 \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

μ being the Lebesgue measure.

Proof. By lemma 1 in [3] there exists a sequence of sets $B_n \subset (0,1)$ such that

$$a) \quad B_i \cap B_j = \emptyset \quad \text{if } i \neq j,$$

$$b) \quad \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n = [0, 1)$$

$$c) \quad \bigwedge_{(\alpha,\beta) \subset [0,1)} \mu[(\alpha,\beta) \cap B_n] > 0 \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Now let us put

$$A_n = \eta(B_n), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots,$$

where $\eta: [0, 1) \rightarrow R^+$ is a function defined by $\eta(t) = \operatorname{tg} \frac{\pi}{2} t$ for $t \in [0, 1)$.

Taking advantage of the properties a) – c) it can easily be shown that the sets A_n satisfy (10) – (12).

Indeed:

$$A_i \cap A_j = \eta(B_i) \cap \eta(B_j) = \eta(B_i \cap B_j) = \eta(\emptyset) = \emptyset \quad \text{if } i \neq j,$$

$$\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \eta(B_n) = \eta\left(\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n\right) = \eta([0, 1)) = R^+.$$

To prove (12) let us notice that for arbitrary interval $(a, b) \subset R^+$ there exists exactly one interval $(\alpha, \beta) \subset [0, 1]$ such that $\eta((\alpha, \beta)) = (a, b)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu((a, b) \cap A_n) &= \mu(\eta((\alpha, \beta)) \cap \eta(B_n)) = \mu(\eta[(\alpha, \beta) \cap B_n]) \\ &= \int_{(\alpha, \beta) \cap B_n} \eta'(s) ds > 0, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \end{aligned}$$

as $\mu[(\alpha, \beta) \cap B_n] > 0$, which completes the proof of the lemma.

Lemma 3. If the absolutely continuous function $g: R^+ \rightarrow R^m$ satisfies the condition

$$(13) \quad g'(t) \subset F(t, [g]_{v(t)}) \text{ for almost every } t \geq 0$$

then

$$(14) \quad (Pg)(t) \subset F(t, [g]_{v(t)}) \text{ for every } t > 0$$

and

$$(15) \quad (P^+g)(0) \subset F(0, [g]_{v(0)}).$$

The proof is omitted because it is analogical to the proof of the Lemma 2 in [3].

IV. The proof of the theorem. Let A_n , $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, be a sequence of sets satisfying (10) – (12). By lemma 5.2 in [1] there exists a sequence of continuous selections $f_n: R^+ \rightarrow R^m$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, such that $f_n(t, [x]_v) \in \epsilon F(t, [x]_v)$ for every $(t, [x]_v) \in R^+ \times \mathfrak{C}$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, and the set $\{f_n(t, [x]_v)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is dense in $F(t, [x]_v)$ for each $(t, [x]_v) \in R^+ \times \mathfrak{C}$.

Let us put

$$f(t, [x]_v) = f_n(t, [x]_v) \quad \text{if} \quad (t, [x]_v) \in A_n \times \mathfrak{C}.$$

The function f has the following properties

- a) for each fixed $t \in R^+$, f is continuous in $[x]_v$, $[x]_v \in \mathfrak{C}$,
- b) for each fixed $[x]_v \in \mathfrak{C}$, f is Lebesgue measurable with respect to $t \in R^+$,
- c) $|f(t, [x]_v)| \leq M(t) + N(t)([x]_v)$ for every $(t, [x]_v) \in R^+ \times \mathfrak{C}$.

The properties a) and c) do not need to be explained. To shown b) let us notice that f can be written in the following form

$$f(t, [x]_v) = \sup_n g_n(t, [x]_v) + \inf_n g_n(t, [x]_v),$$

where

$$g_n(t, [x]_v) = \begin{cases} f_n(t, [x]_v), & \text{if } t \in A_n, \\ 0, & \text{if } t \notin A_n, \end{cases}$$

and $\sup_n g_n(\cdot) = (\sup_n g_n^1(\cdot), \dots, \sup_n g_n^m(\cdot))$ (analogically inf).

Now it is easy to see that f is measurable because all the functions g_n are measurable.

Therefore, by lemma 1, there exists a function $\varphi \in C$ such that

$$\varphi'(t) = f(t, [\varphi]_{\nu(t)}) \quad \text{a.e. in } R^+$$

and

$$\varphi(t) = \xi(t) \quad \text{for } p \leq t \leq 0.$$

Hence

$$\varphi'(t) \in F(t, [\varphi]_{\nu(t)}) \quad \text{a.e. in } R^+$$

and, as before,

$$\varphi(t) = \xi(t) \quad \text{for } p \leq t \leq 0.$$

By lemma 3 we have

$$(P\varphi)(t) \in F(t, [\varphi]_{\nu(t)}) \quad \text{for every } t > 0$$

and

$$(P^+\varphi)(0) \in F(0, [\varphi]_{\nu(0)}).$$

Now we shall prove that $F(t, [\varphi]_{\nu(t)}) \subset (P\varphi)(t)$, $t > 0$,

$$F(0, [\varphi]_{\nu(0)}) \subset (P^+\varphi)(0).$$

To do this let us fix $\bar{t} \geq 0$ and choose arbitrary $z \in F(\bar{t}, [\varphi]_{\nu(\bar{t})})$. Since the set $\{f_n(\bar{t}, [\varphi]_{\nu(\bar{t})})\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is dense in $F(\bar{t}, [\varphi]_{\nu(\bar{t})})$ we can choose a subsequence f_{n_k} , $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, such that

$$(16) \quad |f_{n_k}(\bar{t}, [\varphi]_{\nu(\bar{t})}) - z| < 2^{-k}.$$

On the other hand, from the continuity of the functions f_n and measurable density of the sets A_n (cf. (12)) it follows that there exists a sequence $t_k \in R^+$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, satisfying the following conditions

$$t_k \in A_k, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_k = \bar{t}$$

$$(17) \quad \varphi'(t_k) = f_{n_k}(t_k, [\varphi]_{\nu(t_k)})$$

and

$$(18) \quad |f_{n_k}(t_k, [\varphi]_{\nu(t_k)}) - f_{n_k}(\bar{t}, [\varphi]_{\nu(\bar{t})})| < 2^{-k}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Now in view of (17) we can choose another sequence $s_k \in R^+$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, such that $|s_k - t_k| < 2^{-k}$, $s_k \neq t_k$ and

$$\left| \frac{|\varphi(s_k) - \varphi(t_k)|}{s_k - t_k} - f_{n_k}(t_k, [\varphi]_{\nu(t_k)}) \right| < 2, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

From (16) and (18) we shall obtain

$$\left| \frac{\varphi(s_k) - \varphi(t_k)}{s_k - t_k} - z \right| < 3 \cdot 2^{-k}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

which means that $z \in (P\varphi)(\bar{t})$ (or $z \in (P^+\varphi)(0)$ if $\bar{t} = 0$).

Thus $F(\bar{t}, [\varphi]_{r(\bar{t})}) \subset (P\varphi)(\bar{t})$ (or $F(0, [\varphi]_{r(0)}) \subset (P^+\varphi)(0)$).

Since \bar{t} was arbitrary, we have

$$F(t, [\varphi]_{r(t)}) \subset (P\varphi)(t), \quad t > 0$$

$$F(0, [\varphi]_{r(0)}) \subset (P^+\varphi)(0).$$

Finally there is

$$(P\varphi)(t) = F(t, [\varphi]_{r(t)}) \quad \text{for } t > 0,$$

$$(P^+\varphi)(0) = F(0, [\varphi]_{r(0)})$$

and obviously

$$\varphi(t) = \xi(t) \quad \text{for } p \leq t \leq 0,$$

which completes the proof of our theorem.

REFERENCES

- [1] Michael E., *Continuous selections I*, Ann. of Math. ser. 2, 63, Nr 2 (1956), 361-382.
- [2] Ważewski T., *Sur une condition equivalente a l'equation an contingent*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Sér. sci. math. astr. et phys., 9 (1961), 865-867.
- [3] Zygmunt W., *On the full solution of the paratingent equations*, Ann. Univ. M. Curie-Skłodowska, Sect. A, 26 (1972), 103-108.
- [4] Zygmunt W., *On a certain paratingent equation with deviated argument*, ibidem, Sect. A, 28 (1974), 127-135

STRESZCZENIE

W pracy udowodniono twierdzenie o istnieniu pełnego rozwiązania równania paratyngensowego z przesuniętym argumentem

$$(1) \quad (Px)(t) \subset F(t, [x]_{r(t)}), \quad 0 \leq t,$$

z warunkiem początkowym

$$(2) \quad x(t) = \xi(t), \quad p \leq t \leq 0.$$

РЕЗЮМЕ

В работе доказана теорема о существовании полного решения паратингентного уравнения с отклоняющим аргументом

$$(1) \quad (Px)(t) \subset F(t, [x]_{r(t)}), \quad 0 \leq t,$$

с начальным условием

$$(2) \quad x(t) = \xi(t), \quad p \leq t \leq 0.$$

Полным решением уравнения (1), удовлетворяющим условию (2) называем каждую функцию $\varphi \in C$ такую, что $(P\varphi)(t) = F(t, [\varphi]_{r(t)})$, $0 \leq t$.