

Instytut Kształcenia Nauczycieli  
Piotrków Trybunalski

T. POREDA

**On the Univalent Holomorphic Maps of the Unit Polydiscs in  $\mathbb{C}^n$   
Which Have the Parametric Representation  
I - the Geometrical Properties**

O odwzorowaniach jednokrotnych policyindra jednostkowego w  $\mathbb{C}^n$   
mających przedstawienie parametryczne I - własności geometryczne

In this paper we consider univalent holomorphic maps of the unit polydisc in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  into  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , having the parametric representation. It is shown that this class of functions have basic geometrical properties analogous to those of the class of univalent functions of one variable.

Let  $\mathbb{C}^n$  denote the space of  $n$  complex variables  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ ,  $z_j \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . For  $(z_1, \dots, z_n) = z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , define  $\|z\| = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |z_j|$ . Let  $P^n(r) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n; \|z\| < r\}$  and  $P^n = P^n(1)$ . We shall denote by  $I$  the identity map on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . The class of holomorphic maps of a domain  $\Omega$  (contained in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ) into  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is denoted by  $H(\Omega)$ .

Let  $M(P^n(r))$  be the class of maps  $h: P^n(r) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  which are holomorphic and satisfy the following conditions:  $h(0) = 0$ ,  $Dh(0) = I$  and  $\operatorname{re}(h_j(z)/z_j) \geq 0$  when  $\|z\| = |z_j| > 0$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n$ ), where  $h = (h_1, \dots, h_n)$  (see [6], [11]).

A mapping  $v \in H(P^n)$  is called a Schwarz function if  $v(0) = 0$  and  $\|v(z)\| \leq \|z\|$  for  $z \in P^n$ .

We shall say that the function  $f$  from  $[s, \infty)$  (where  $s \geq 0$ ) into  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is almost absolutely continuous on  $[s, \infty)$  if it is absolutely continuous on every bounded closed interval contained in  $[s, \infty)$ .

By  $\delta P^n(r)$  we denote the boundary of the polydisc  $P^n(r)$ .

**Lemma 1.** If  $h \in M(P^n)$ , then

$$\|h(z) - z\| \leq \frac{2\|z\|^2}{1 - \|z\|} \quad \text{for } z \in P^n.$$

**Proof.** Let  $h \in M(P^n)$ . Then by the definition of the class  $M(P^n)$ , we have that  $h(0), Dh(0) = I$  and  $\operatorname{re}(h_k(z)/z_k) \geq 0$  when  $z \in P^n$  and  $\|z\| = |z_k| > 0$  ( $1 \leq k \leq n$ ), where  $h = (h_1, \dots, h_n)$ . Denote by

$$E_k^n = \{z \in P^n; \|z\| \leq |z_k|, \text{ where } z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)\}$$

for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

Fix  $k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . Let  $F_k(z) = \frac{h_k(z)}{z_k}$  for  $z \in E_k^n - \{0\}$ . It is easy to see that  $\operatorname{re} F_k(z) \geq 0$  for  $z \in E_k^n - \{0\}$ . Now, we define a function  $H_k$  in the following way:

$$H_k(t_1, \dots, t_n) = F_k(t_1 t_k, \dots, t_{k-1} t_k, t_k, t_{k+1} t_k, \dots, t_n t_k)$$

for all  $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in P^n$  such that  $t_k \neq 0$ . Since  $h_k$  is a holomorphic function on  $P^n$  and  $Dh_k(0) = I$ , therefore we can represent it in the form of the absolutely convergent power series

$$h_k(z) = z_k + \sum_{\substack{|\nu| > 1 \\ \nu \in \mathbb{N}^n}} \alpha_\nu^{(k)} z^\nu \quad \text{for } z \in P^n$$

(compare [2], chapter IX). Using this representation, we obtain that

$$H_k(t) = 1 + \sum_{\substack{|\nu| > 1 \\ \nu \in \mathbb{N}^n}} \alpha_\nu^{(k)} \cdot t_1^{\nu_1} \cdot \dots \cdot t_{k-1}^{\nu_{k-1}} \cdot t_{k+1}^{\nu_{k+1}} \cdot \dots \cdot t_n^{\nu_n} \cdot t_k^{|\nu|-1},$$

(where  $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n)$ ,  $|\nu| = \nu_1 + \dots + \nu_n$ ), for all  $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in P^n$  such that  $t_k \neq 0$ .

Let us extend the function  $H_k$  to the entire polydisc  $P^n$  by putting, for  $t = (t_1, \dots, t_{k-1}, 0, t_{k+1}, \dots, t_n) \in P^n$ ,  $H_k(t) = 1$ . It is obvious that  $H_k$  is holomorphic on  $P^n$  and satisfies the following conditions:  $H_k(0) = 1$ ,  $\operatorname{re} H_k(t) \geq 0$  for  $t \in P^n$ . Taking the function  $H_k$  as a function of one complex variable  $t_k$  (with other variables fixed) we obtain by Herglotz formula (see [9], theorem 2.4) following inequality

$$|H_k(t_1, \dots, t_n) - 1| \leq \frac{2|t_k|}{1 - |t_k|} \quad \text{for } (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in P^n.$$

Now, let  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$  be any fixed point of  $E_k^n - \{0\}$ . Put  $t_i^0 = \frac{z_i}{z_k}$  for  $i \neq k$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and  $t_k^0 = z_k$ . It is obvious that  $t^0 = (t_1^0, \dots, t_n^0) \in P^n$  and, since  $H_k(t^0) = F_k(z)$ , therefore

$$|F_k(z) - 1| \leq \frac{2|z_k|}{1 - |z_k|}.$$

By the free choice of  $z$ , we obtain that this inequality takes place for all  $z \in E_k^n - \{0\}$ . This implies that

$$|h_k(z) - z_k| \leq \frac{2|z_k|^2}{1 - |z_k|} \quad \text{for } z \in E_k^n - \{0\}.$$

Further, observe that  $(r e^{i\varphi_1}, \dots, r e^{i\varphi_n}) \in E_k^n - \{0\}$  for any  $r \in (0, 1)$  and  $\varphi_m \in [0, 2\pi]$ ,  $m = 1, \dots, n$ . Hence, we have

$$|h_k(r e^{i\varphi_1}, \dots, r e^{i\varphi_n}) - r e^{i\varphi_k}| \leq \frac{2r^2}{1 - r}$$

for any  $r \in (0, 1)$  and  $\varphi_m \in [0, 2\pi]$ ,  $m = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

Considering the form of the Bergman-Silov boundary for the polydisc  $P^n$ , we obtain

$$|h_k(z) - z_k| \leq \frac{2\|z\|^2}{1 - \|z\|} \quad \text{for } z \in P^n.$$

From the arbitrariness of  $k$  ( $1 \leq k \leq n$ ) we have

$$\|h(z) - z\| \leq \frac{2\|z\|^2}{1 - \|z\|} \quad \text{for } z \in P^n.$$

From the above lemma immediately arises

**Corollary 1.** If  $h \in M(P^n)$ , then

$$\|h(z)\| \leq \|z\| \frac{1 + \|z\|}{1 - \|z\|} \quad \text{for } z \in P^n.$$

**Lemma 2.** Let  $h = h(z, t)$  be a function from  $P^n \times [0, \infty)$  into  $\mathbb{C}^n$  such that

(i) for every  $t \in [0, \infty)$ ,  $h(\cdot, t) \in M(P^n)$ ,

(ii) for every  $z \in P^n$ ,  $h(z, \cdot)$  is a measurable function on  $[0, \infty)$ .

Then for any  $s \geq 0$  and  $z \in P^n$  the equation

$$(1) \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = -h(v, t) \quad \text{for a.e. } t \geq s, \quad v(s) = z$$

possesses exactly one almost absolutely continuous solution  $v = v(z, s, \cdot)$  on interval  $[s, \infty)$ . Moreover, for any  $t \geq s$ , the function  $v(\cdot, s, t)$  is a univalent Schwarz function on  $P^n$  and  $Dv(0, s, t) = e^{s-t}I$ .

**Proof.** After introduction of semi-inner product in space  $\mathbb{C}^n$  (for definition of semi-inner product see [6]) and after using lemma 1.3 from [7] and corollary 1, the proof of this lemma runs similarly as that of the theorem 2.1 from [8].

With the assumption of lemma the following corollary is true.

**Corollary 2.** If  $v = v(z, s, t)$  for  $z \in P^n$ ,  $0 \leq s \leq t < \infty$  satisfies equation (1) then the following inequalities take place

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{e^t \|v(z, s, t)\|}{(1 - \|v(z, s, t)\|)^2} \leq \frac{e^s \|z\|}{(1 - \|z\|)^2} \\ \frac{e^s \|z\|}{(1 + \|z\|)^2} \leq \frac{e^t \|v(z, s, t)\|}{(1 + \|v(z, s, t)\|)^2} \end{cases}$$

for  $z \in P^n$  and  $0 \leq s \leq t < \infty$ .

Using lemma 4 from [6] the proof of this corollary runs similarly to that of lemma 2.2 from [8].

**Lemma 3.** Let  $h = h(z, t)$  be a function from  $P^n \times [0, \infty)$  into  $C^n$ , which satisfies assumptions (i)–(ii) from lemma 2. Then there exists a limit

$$(3) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^t v(z, t) = f(z, s), \quad \text{for } z \in P^n, s \geq 0,$$

where  $v = v(z, s, t)$ , for  $z \in P^n$  and  $0 \leq s \leq t$ , is a solution of equation (1) such that for any  $z \in P^n$  and  $s \geq 0$  the function  $v(z, s, \cdot)$  is almost absolutely continuous on  $[s, \infty)$  and for any  $s \geq 0$  the function  $f(\cdot, s)$  is holomorphic and univalent on  $P^n$ , and  $Df(0, s) = e^s I$ .

**Proof.** The fact that for any  $s \geq 0$  the function  $f(\cdot, s)$  is holomorphic on  $P^n$  can be proved similarly as in the theorem 2 from [10].

From lemma 2 it follows that  $Df(0, s) = e^s I$  for  $s \geq 0$ .

Since for any  $t \geq s$  ( $s \geq 0$ ) the function  $v(\cdot, s, t)$  is univalent and holomorphic on  $P^n$  and  $Df(0, s) = e^s I$ , therefore the map  $f(\cdot, s)$  is biholomorphic as the limit of biholomorphisms (compare [5], theorem 20.2, p. 333).

**Definition 1.** We say that  $f \in S(P^n)$  if and only if  $f \in H(P^n)$ ,  $f(0) = 0$ ,  $Df(0) = I$  and  $f$  is univalent on  $P^n$ .

**Definition 2.** We say that  $f \in S^0(P^n)$  if and only if there exists a function  $h = h(z, t)$  from  $P^n \times [0, \infty)$  into  $C^n$  which satisfies conditions

- (i) for every  $t \in [0, \infty)$ ,  $h(\cdot, t) \in M(P^n)$
- (ii) for every  $z \in P^n$ ,  $h(z, \cdot)$  is a measurable function on  $P^n$  such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^t v(z, t) = f(z) \quad \text{for } z \in P^n$$

where  $v = v(z, t)$  (for  $z \in P^n$ ,  $t \geq 0$ ) is such a solution of the equation

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = -h(v, t) \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [0, \infty), \quad v(z, 0) = z$$

that for every  $z \in P^n$ ,  $v(z, \cdot)$  is an almost absolutely continuous function on  $[0, \infty)$ .

**Remark 1.** The correctness of definition 2 follows from lemma 3.

**Remark 2.** The class  $S^0(P^n)$  will be called the class of functions which have the parametric representation.

**Remark 3.** It is obvious that  $S^0(P^n) \subset S(P^n)$ .

**Remark 4.** On account of theorem 6.1 and 6.3 from [9] for  $n = 1$  we have

$$S^0(P^1) = S(P^1).$$

The example, which is in the latter part of this paper, shows that for  $n \geq 2$ , the class  $S^0(P^n)$  is a proper subclass of the class  $S(P^n)$ .

**Theorem 1.** If  $f \in S^0(P^n)$  then

$$(4) \quad \frac{\|z\|}{(1 + \|z\|)^2} \leq \|f(z)\| \leq \frac{\|z\|}{(1 - \|z\|)^2} \quad \text{for } z \in P^n.$$

**Proof.** If  $f \in S^0(P^n)$ , then there exists a map  $h = h(z, t)$  from  $P^n \times [0, \infty)$  into  $\mathbb{C}^n$  satisfying conditions (i)-(ii) of definition 2. Hence  $f(z) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^t v(z, t)$ , for  $z \in P^n$ , where  $v = v(z, t)$ , for  $z \in P^n$  and  $t \geq 0$ , is a solution of the equation

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(z, t) = -h(v(z, t), t) \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [0, \infty), \quad v(z, 0) = z.$$

By corollary 2 we have the following inequalities

$$(5) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{e^t \|v(z, t)\|}{(1 - \|v(z, t)\|)^2} \leq \frac{\|z\|}{(1 - \|z\|)^2} \\ \frac{e^t \|v(z, t)\|}{(1 + \|v(z, t)\|)^2} \geq \frac{\|z\|}{(1 + \|z\|)^2}, \end{cases}$$

for  $z \in P^n$  and  $t \geq 0$ . Since  $\|v(z, t)\| \leq 1$  for  $z \in P^n$  and  $t \geq 0$ , therefore from above inequalities we obtain that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|v(z, t)\| = 0$ . Taking this fact and inequalities (5) into account we get that

$$\frac{\|z\|}{(1 + \|z\|)^2} \leq \|f(z)\| \leq \frac{\|z\|}{(1 - \|z\|)^2}.$$

Now we shall prove a theorem which, with regard to remark 4, is a generalization of Koebe theorem (compare [3], theorem 2.3).

**Theorem 2.** *If  $f \in S^0(P^n)$ , then  $P^n(\frac{1}{4}) \subset f(P^n)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $f \in S^0(P^n)$ . Then from theorem 1 it follows that

$$(6) \quad \liminf_{\|z\| \rightarrow 1} \|f(z)\| \geq \frac{1}{4}.$$

Let  $\eta$  be a fixed number from  $(0, \frac{1}{4})$ . By (6) we get that there exists  $\rho \in (0, 1)$  such that for  $w \in f(\delta P^n(\rho))$ ,  $\|w\| > \eta$ . Since  $\delta P^n(\rho)$  cuts  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , therefore also  $f(\delta P^n(\rho))$  cuts  $\mathbb{C}^n$  in two disjoint parts - one which is bounded and the other which is not bounded, and  $f(\delta P^n(\rho))$  is the boundary of these parts (see [4]). As  $f(P^n(\rho))$  is a connected set with the boundary  $f(\delta P^n(\rho))$ , so far any  $w$  such that  $\|w\| = \eta$  the segment  $[0, w]$  does not cut  $f(\delta P^n(\rho))$ . The point  $0 \in f(P^n(\rho))$ , hence  $P^n(\eta) \subset f(P^n)$  for any  $\eta \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$ . As a consequence we obtain that  $P^n(\frac{1}{4}) \subset f(P^n)$ .

The next theorem will be preceded by following lemmas.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $f = f(z, s)$  for  $z \in P^n$  and  $s \geq 0$  be a map defined as that in lemma 3. Then for any  $z \in P^n$ ,  $f(z, \cdot)$  is an almost absolutely continuous function on  $[0, \infty)$ . Moreover,*

$$(7) \quad f(z, s) = f(v(z, s, \tau), \tau) \quad \text{for } z \in P^n, \quad \tau \geq s \geq 0,$$

where  $v$  fulfils the assumptions of lemma 3.

**Proof.** Equality (7) can be proved similarly to that in theorem 3 from [10].

Now, let  $z_0$  be a fixed point of polydisc  $P^n$ , and  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2$  - be any positive numbers. We can assume that  $\sigma_1 \leq \sigma_2$  (in the contrary case the proof runs likewise). By the definition of the function  $v$  we have

$$v(z_0, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) - z_0 = - \int_{\sigma_1}^{\sigma_2} h(v(z_0, \sigma_1, t), t) dt.$$

This and corollary 1 imply that

$$(8) \quad \|v(z_0, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) - z_0\| \leq |\sigma_2 - \sigma_1| \|z_0\| \frac{1 + \|z_0\|}{1 - \|z_0\|}.$$

Since  $\|f(z_0, \theta)\| \leq \frac{e^\theta \|z_0\|}{(1 - \|z_0\|)^2}$  for  $\theta \geq 0$ , therefore by the Cauchy formula and by the mean-value theorem it is not difficult to show that for every  $T > 0$  and  $r \in (0, 1)$  there exists  $L > 0$  such that

$$(9) \quad \|f(z_1, \theta) - f(z_2, \theta)\| \leq L \|z_1 - z_2\|$$

for any  $z_1, z_2 \in P^n(r)$  and  $\theta \in [0, T]$ .

Next, notice that from (7), (8) and (9) it follows

$$\|f(z_0, \sigma_1) - f(z_0, \sigma_2)\| \leq L \|z_0\| \frac{1 + \|z_0\|}{1 - \|z_0\|} |\sigma_1 - \sigma_2|$$

for any  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in [0, T]$ .

From the above inequality it appears at once that for any fixed  $z \in P^n$  the function  $f(z, \cdot)$  is absolutely continuous on  $[0, T]$ , where  $T$  is any positive number. Hence for any  $z \in P^n$ ,  $f(z, \cdot)$  is an almost absolutely continuous function on  $[0, \infty)$ .

**Lemma 5.** If  $h \in M(P^n)$ , then

$$(10) \quad \left\| \frac{1}{2!} D^2 h(0)(z, z) \right\| \leq 2 \quad \text{for } z \in P^n.$$

**Proof.** Let  $z$  be any fixed point of  $P^n$ . Let us define a function  $H_z$  in the following way:

$$H_z(\lambda) = h(\lambda z) - \lambda z \quad \text{for } |\lambda| < 1.$$

Such defined function is holomorphic in unit ball and

$$(11) \quad H_z''(0) = D^2 h(0)(z, z).$$

By theorem 5.2 from [1] it follows that

$$(12) \quad H_z''(0) = \frac{2!}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{H_z(\lambda)}{\lambda^3} d\lambda,$$

where  $C_r$  ( $0 < r < 1$ ) is positively directed circle with center 0 and radius  $r$ . From lemma 1 we have

$$\|H_z(\lambda)\| \leq \frac{2|\lambda|^2 \|z\|^2}{1 - |\lambda| \|z\|} \quad \text{for } |\lambda| < 1.$$

Taking this inequality and equality (12) into account we get that

$$\|H_z''(0)\| \leq 2! \frac{2\|z\|^2}{1 - r\|z\|} \quad \text{for } r \in (0, 1).$$

This immediately implies that  $\|\frac{1}{2!} H_z''(0)\| \leq 2$ .

Hence from (11) and by the free choice of  $z$  we get inequality (10).

**Theorem 3.** *If  $f_0 \in S^0(P^n)$ , then*

$$(13) \quad \left\| \frac{1}{2!} D^2 f_0(0)(z, z) \right\| \leq 2 \quad \text{for } \|z\| \leq 1.$$

**Proof.** By the definition of the class  $S^0(P^n)$  it follows that there exists a function  $h$  from  $P^n \times [0, \infty)$  into  $\mathbb{C}^n$  which fulfils assumption (i)-(ii) from lemma 2 and such that

$$f_0(z) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^t v_0(z, t) \quad \text{for } z \in P^n,$$

where  $v_0$  is a solution of the equation

$$\frac{\partial v_0}{\partial t}(z, t) = -h(v_0(z, t), t) \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [0, \infty), v_0(z, 0) = z.$$

Let us observe that in accordance with lemma 2 for any  $s \geq 0$  and  $z \in P^n$  the equation

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = -h(v, t) \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [0, \infty), v(s) = z$$

possesses exactly one almost absolutely continuous solution  $v = v(z, s, t)$  on interval  $[s, \infty)$ . Next, let the function  $f = f(z, s)$  for  $(z, s) \in P^n \times [0, \infty)$  be defined as that in lemma 3. By lemma 4 the function  $f$  is differentiable with respect to the variable  $s$  for almost all  $s \in [0, \infty)$ . Differentiating equality (7) and considering that  $v(z, s, s) = z$ , we get

$$(14) \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial s}(z, s) = Df(z, s) \circ h(z, s)$$

for  $z \in P^n$  and a.e.  $s \geq 0$ .

Let  $T$  be any positive number. Then we can write equality (14) in the form

$$(15) \quad f(z, T) - f(z, 0) = \int_0^T Df(z, s) \circ h(z, s) ds \quad \text{for } z \in P^n.$$

Now, let us introduce two functions  $G_{z_0}(\lambda) = f(\lambda z_0, T) - f(\lambda z_0, 0)$  and  $H_{z_0}(\lambda) = \int_0^T Df(\lambda z_0, \theta) \circ h(\lambda z_0, \theta) d\theta$  for  $|\lambda| < 1$ , where  $z_0$  is a fixed point of polydisc  $P^n$ . Such defined functions are holomorphic and map unit ball into  $C^n$ ; besides considering (15)  $G_{z_0} = H_{z_0}$ . Hence by lemma 3, corollary 1 and the theorem about the differentiation of integrals dependent on parameter we obtain

$$H_{z_0}''(0) = \int_0^T [2D^2 f(0, \theta)(z_0, z_0) + e^\theta D^2 h(0, \theta)(z_0, z_0)] d\theta.$$

Hence at once we get

$$D^2 f(0, T)(z_0, z_0) - D^2 f(0, 0)(z_0, z_0) = \int_0^T [2D^2 f(0, \theta)(z_0, z_0) + e^\theta D^2 h(0, \theta)(z_0, z_0)] d\theta.$$

By simple transformations this equality takes form

$$(16) \quad e^{-2T} D^2 f(0, T)(z_0, z_0) - D^2 f(0, 0)(z_0, z_0) = \int_0^T e^{-\theta} D^2 h(0, \theta)(z_0, z_0) d\theta.$$

In virtue of corollary 2 and lemma 3 we have the inequality

$$\|f(z, T)\| \leq \frac{e^T \|z\|}{(1 - \|z\|)^2} \quad \text{for } z \in P^n,$$

hence using the Cauchy formula it is not difficult to show that  $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} e^{-2T} D^2 f(0, T)(z_0, z_0) = 0$ . Next, making use of the inequality

$$\left\| \frac{1}{2!} D^2 h(0, \theta)(z_0, z_0) \right\| \leq 2 \quad \text{for } \theta \geq 0$$

(compare lemma 5) and considering the fact that  $f(z, 0) = f_0(z)$  for  $z \in P^n$  and equality (16) we obtain that

$$\left\| \frac{1}{2!} D^2 f_0(0)(z_0, z_0) \right\| \leq 2.$$

By the free choice of  $z_0$  it follows inequality (13).

**Example.** Let  $n \geq 2$  and  $f : P^n \rightarrow C^n$  be defined by formula

$$f(z) = (z_1 + 3z_2^2, z_2, \dots, z_n) \quad \text{for } z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in P^n.$$

It is easy to see that  $f \in S(P^n)$ . We shall show that  $f \notin S^0(P^n)$ . Let us observe that  $\left\| \frac{1}{2!} D^2 f(0)(z_0, z_0) \right\| = 3$  for  $z_0 = (0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ , hence the function  $f$  does not satisfy the necessary condition, so it does not belong to  $S^0(P^n)$ . Hence for  $n \geq 2$  the class  $S(P^n)$  is essentially wider than the class  $S^0(P^n)$ .



## REFERENCES

- [1] Alexiewicz, A. , *Analiza funkcyjna* , PWN, Warszawa 1969.
- [2] Dieudonné, J. , *Foundations of modern analysis* , Academic Press, New York, London 1960.
- [3] Duren, P.L. , *Univalent Functions* , Springer-Verlag, New York 1983.
- [4] Engelking, R. , Sieklucki, K. , *Geometria i topologia* , part II, PWN, Warszawa 1980.
- [5] Fuks, B. A. , *Special chapters in the theory of analytic functions of several complex variables*, (Russian), Fizmatgiz, Moscow 1963.
- [6] Gurganus, K. R. ,  $\Phi$ -like holomorphic functions in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and Banach spaces , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 205 (1975), 389-406.
- [7] Kato, T. , *Nonlinear semigroups and evolution equations* , J. Math. Soc. Japan 19 (1967), 508-520.
- [8] Pfaltzgraff, J. A. , *Subordination chains and univalence of holomorphic mappings in  $\mathbb{C}^n$* , Math. Ann. 210 (1974), 55-68.
- [9] Pommerenke, J. Ch. , *Univalent Functions* , Vandenhoeck and Ruprecht, Göttingen 1975.
- [10] Poreda, T. , *On the univalent subordination chains of holomorphic mappings in Banach spaces* , Comment. Math. Prace Mat., (to appear).
- [11] Suffridge, T. J. , *Starlike and convex maps in Banach spaces* , Pacific J. Math. 46 (1973).

## STRESZCZENIE

W pracy tej wyróżnione zostały jednokrotne odwzorowania holomorficzne policylindra jednostkowego  $P^n$  w  $\mathbb{C}^n$  mające przedstawienie parametryczne. Okazuje się, że ta klasa funkcji ma podstawowe własności geometryczne analogiczne jak klasa funkcji jednokrotnych jednej zmiennej zespolonej.

## SUMMARY

The author considers univalent holomorphic mappings of the unit polydisc in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  into  $\mathbb{C}^n$  which have the parametric representation. He points out an analogy between these mappings and the univalent functions of one complex variable.

