## ANNALES UNIVERSITATIS MARIAE CURIE-SKLODOWSKA

## LUBLIN-POLONIA

VOL. XLVI, 7

SECTIO A

1992

# Jan G. KRZYŻ (Lublin)

### Some Remarks on the Maxima of Inner Conformal Radius

Abstract. If  $f(x)=x+a_2x^3+a_3x^3+...$  is univalent in the unit disk D then  $a_2=0$  and  $|a_3|\leq 1/3$  is necessary, whereas  $a_2=0$  and  $|a_3|<1/3$  is sufficient for the inner conformal radius R(w,f(D)) to have a local maximum at w=0. The case  $|a_3|=1/3$  is investigated. Moreover, a sufficient condition for R(w,f(D)) to have a unique global maximum at w=0 is given.

1. Preliminaries. Suppose G is a simply connected domain of hyperbolic type in the finite plane C. If  $w \in G$  and  $\varphi$  maps G conformally onto the disk  $\{z : |z| < R\}$ so that w and z = 0 correspond and  $|\varphi'(w)| = 1$  then R = R(w, G) is a well defined continuous real-valued function of  $w \in G$  called *inner conformal radius* of G at the point  $w \in G$ . The function R(w, G) plays an important role in the geometric function theory, in particular  $\rho(w) = 1/R(w, G)$  is the density of hyperbolic metric  $\rho(w)|dw|$ in G.

Let f be a conformal mapping of the unit disk D onto G. Then obviously

(1) 
$$R(w,G) = (1-|z|^2)|f'(z)|, \quad w = f(z).$$

Hence

(2) 
$$u(z) := \log R(w,G) = \log(1-z\overline{z}) + \operatorname{Re}\log f'(z) .$$

Since

(3) 
$$\nabla_z u := u_{zz} + u_{yy} = 4\partial^2 u / \partial z \partial \overline{z} = -4(1 - z\overline{z}))^{-2} < 0$$

u(z) is superharmonic as a function of  $z \in D$  and also of  $w \in G$ , in view of the equality  $\Delta_w u = |dz/dw|^2 \Delta_z u$ . This implies that any critical point of u, and also of R, is either a saddle point, or a local maximum.

The problem, how do the geometrical properties of G affect the set of local maxima was investigated by many authors. Interesting results in this direction, as well as a fairly complete list of references can be found in [6].

Some properties of R(w,G) can be immediately obtained in an elementary way: (i) if  $G_1 \subseteq G_2$  then  $R(w,G_1) < R(w,G_2)$ ;

(ii) if  $\tilde{G}$  is the image domain of G under a conformal mapping  $\varphi$  and  $\tilde{w} = \varphi(w)$  then  $R(\tilde{w}, \tilde{G}) = |\varphi'(w)| R(w, G)$  which means conformal invariance of hyperbolic metric;

(iii) if  $d(w) = \operatorname{dist}(w, \mathbb{C} \setminus G)$  then  $d(w) \le R(w, G) \le 4d(w)$ .

A non-elementary but very important property is the following:

(iv) If  $G^{\bullet}$  is obtained from G by Steiner (or circular) symmetrization with respect to an axis passing through w (or a ray emanating from w) then  $R(w, G) \leq R(w, G^{\bullet})$ , cf. [2], [7]. The sign of equality occurs iff  $G = G^{\bullet}$  (Steiner symmetrization), or  $G^{\bullet} = aG, w = 0, |a| = 1$  (circular symmetrization), cf. [3].

The property (iii) immediately implies the following: R(w, G) is bounded in G if, and only if, d(w) is bounded. Moreover, (ii) and (iv) imply that, for  $G = \{w : |\text{Im } w| < \pi/4\}$ , any point w on the real axis provides a local maximum of R(w, G). If

(4) 
$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2} \log(1+z)/(1-z) = z + \frac{1}{3} z^3 + \frac{1}{5} z^5 + \dots$$

then  $f(\mathbf{D}) = G$  and, by (1), we obtain R(x, G) = 1 for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

However, even for a bounded R(w,G) the set of local maxima may be empty. To this end consider the function

(5) 
$$g(z) = -z + \log(1+z)/(1-z), z \in \mathbb{D}$$

We have Re  $g'(z) = \operatorname{Re}(1+z)/(1-z) > 0$  which implies univalence of g in D. The domain  $G = g(\mathbb{D})$  is symmetric w.r.t. the real axis, its boundary consisting of the curve  $w(\theta) = \log \cot \theta/2 - \cos \theta + i(\pi/2 - \sin \theta), 0 < \theta < \pi$ , and its reflection in the real axis. By (iv) R(w, G) attains a maximal value at  $w = u_0$  if  $w = u_0 + iv \in G$ and  $u_0$  is fixed. Then by (1)  $R(u_0, G) = 1 + r^2$ , where  $r = g^{-1}(u_0)$ , and consequently R(w, G) increases strictly on the real axis as |w| increases. Moreover, R(w, G) < 1for all  $w \in G$ . On the imaginary axis  $R(iv, G) = (1 - y^2)^2/(1 + y^2)$  strictly decreases to 0 as  $|y| \to 1$ . Therefore w = 0 is a saddle point. Using the characteristic equation (8) for critical points we arrive, after rejecting the case r = 0, at the equation  $r^4\eta^4 + 2(1 - r^2)\eta^2 - 1 = 0$ , where  $\eta = z/r$ ,  $|\eta| = 1$ . Hence  $\eta^2$  must be real, i.e.  $\eta^2 = \pm 1$ which shows to be impossible.

This means there exist no critical points apart from w = 0. Thus R(w, G) being bounded has no local maximum and only one critical point.

The absence of local maxima is possible only if the area  $|G| = +\infty$ . This follows from the

**Proposition 1.** If G is a simply connected domain of finite area then there exists  $w_0 \in G$  such that  $R(w_0, G) \ge R(w, G)$  for all  $w \in G$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $G = f(\mathbf{D})$ , where f is holomorphic in **D** and the area  $|f(\mathbf{D})|$  is finite. Then, as it is well known,  $\lim_{r\to 1}(1-r)M(r, f') = 0$ , where  $M(r, f') = \sup\{|f'(re^{i\theta})| : \theta \in \mathbb{R}\}$ , cf. e.g. [4]. This implies, in view of (1), that  $R(w, G) \to 0$ , as  $w \to \partial G$  in spherical metric. If  $R(w_1, G) = d$  for some  $w_1 \in G$ , then  $\{w \in G : R(w, G) \ge d\}$  is a non-empty compact subset of G and R(w, G), being continuous, attains its maximal value on this subset at some  $w_0 \in G$ , and this ends the proof.

In what follows we prove two lemmas which give necessary and sufficient conditions for a point  $w \in G$  to be a local maximum of R(w, G). Our approach is slightly different from that in [1] and [6], where analogous results appear. Note first that critical points of R(w, G) coincide with critical points of u(z). We obtain from (2)

(6) 
$$r\frac{\partial}{\partial r} u(re^{i\theta}) = r\frac{\partial}{\partial r} [\log(1-r^2) + \operatorname{Re}\log f'(re^{i\theta})] \\ = -2r^2/(1-r^2) + \operatorname{Re}\{zf''(z)/f'(z)\}, \quad z = re^{i\theta}$$

on the radii  $\theta = \text{const.}$ 

On the other hand, we have on circles |z| = r > 0

(7) 
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} u(re^{i\theta}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \operatorname{Re} \log f'(re^{i\theta}) = -\operatorname{Im} \{ z f''(z) / f'(z) \} .$$

Hence we obtain

Lemma 1. If f is univalent in D, and G = f(D), then the point  $w = f(re^{i\theta})$ , r > 0, is critical for R(w, G) if, and only if,

(8) 
$$zf''(z)/f'(z) = 2r^2/(1-r^2), \quad z = re^{i\theta}$$

Due to the formula (1) and the property (ii) we may assume that the function f mapping D onto G belongs to the familiar class S, so that

(9) 
$$f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + \dots, \quad z \in \mathbf{D},$$

and  $R(0, f(\mathbf{D})) = 1$ .

We shall establish in terms of  $a_2, a_3$  necessary and sufficient conditions for  $R(0, f(\mathbf{D}))$  to be a local maximum.

**Lemma 2.** If R(w, f(D)) has a local maximum at w = 0, then  $a_2 = 0$ ,  $|a_3| \le 1/3$ . Conversely, if  $a_2 = 0$ ,  $|a_3| < 1/3$ , then R(w, f(D)) has a strict local maximum at w = 0.

**Proof.** Due to (2) we may preferably consider u(z) instead of R(w, f(D)). We have

$$\log f'(z) = \log[1 + (2a_2z + 3a_3z^2 + \dots)] = 2a_2z + (3a_3 - 2a_2^2)z^2 + O(z^3)$$

and hence, using (2), we obtain for  $z = re^{i\theta}$ :

(10) 
$$u(re^{i\theta}) = (a_2e^{i\theta} + \overline{a}_2e^{-i\theta})r + \frac{1}{2}[(3a_3 - 2a_2^2)e^{2i\theta} + (3\overline{a}_3 - 2\overline{a}_2^2)e^{-2i\theta} - 2]r^2 + O(r^3).$$

If  $R(0, f(\mathbf{D})) = 1$  (or u(0) = 0) is a local maximum then obviously  $a_2 = 0$  and  $3a_3e^{2i\theta} - 3\overline{a}_3e^{-2i\theta} - 2 \le 0$  for all  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$  which means that  $|a_3| \le 1/3$ . Conversely, if  $a_2 = 0$  and  $|a_3| < 1/3$  then, as readily seen from (10),  $R(w, f(\mathbf{D}))$  has a strict local maximum at w = 0.

brobyndi In

2. Some applications and remarks. Lemma 2 leaves the case  $a_2 = 0$ ,  $|a_3| = 1/3$  open. We may obviously assume that  $a_3 = 1/3$ . We will give examples showing that all three possibilities can occur.

(I) w = 0 is a strict local maximum.

To this end consider  $f(z) = z + \frac{1}{3} z^3$ . We have Re  $f'(z) = \operatorname{Re}(1 + z^2) > 0$  in D and therefore  $f \in S$ . The domain G is symmetric w.r.t. the real axis and hence, due to (iv),  $R(u_0 + iv, G) < R(u_0, G)$  for any  $u_0 + iv \in G$  ( $u_0, v \in \mathbb{R}, v \neq 0$ ). This implies R(iv, G) < R(0, G) = 1; for  $u_0 \neq 0$ ,  $r = f^{-1}(u_0)$  we have  $R(u_0 + iv, G) < R(u_0, G) =$  $(1 - r^2)(1 + r^2) = 1 - r^4 < 1$  which proves (I). By means of (8) one verifies easily that w = 0 is the only critical point of R(w, G) and consequently it attains its global maximum at w = 0.

(II) w = 0 is a weak local maximum.

This obviously occurs for f as in formula (4).

(III) w = 0 is a saddle point.

Consider the function  $f \in S$  satisfying  $f'(z) = p(z) = (1+\omega(z))/(1+\omega(z))$ , where  $\omega(z) = z^2(1+2z)/(2+z) = z^2(2-\frac{3}{2}(1+\frac{1}{2}z)^{-1}) = \frac{1}{2}z^2 + \frac{3}{4}z^3 - \frac{3}{8}z^4 + O(z^5)$ . Obviously  $|\omega(z)| \leq |z|^2$  in D and hence Re f'(z) > 0. We have  $f'(z) = 1+2\omega(z)+2(\omega(z)^2+\cdots = 1+z^2+\frac{3}{2}z^3-\frac{1}{4}z^4+O(z^5)$ . Since f has real coefficients, G is symmetric w.r.t. the real axis and by (iv) we have R(iv, G) < R(0, G) = 1. On the other hand, on the real axis

$$R(u,G) = (1-x^2)(1+x^2+\frac{3}{2}x^3+O(x^4)) = 1+\frac{3}{2}x^3+O(x^4)$$

which is > 1 for x > 0 sufficiently small and < 1 for small negative x and this proves (III).

In [1] the author proved that, for convex domains, apart from the strip  $\{w : |\text{Im } w| < 1\}$  and its images under similarity, there exists at most one maximum of R(w, G). A very simple proof of this result is given in [6], while in [5] a converse statement is disproved, i.e. a non-convex Jordan domain G with exactly one maximum of R(w, G) has been found. The domain G in (I) has also the same properties, however, in both cases  $\partial G$  is a piecewise analytic curve. The function g in the formula (5) enables us to construct a non-convex Jordan domain with analytic boundary, one maximum and no other critical points of R(w, G).

**Proposition 2.** If  $2\rho^2 = 1$  and G = h(D), where

$$h(z) = -z + \rho^{-1} \log(1 + \rho z) / (1 - \rho z) = z + \frac{2}{3} \rho^2 z^3 + \frac{2}{5} \rho^4 z^5 + \dots$$

then R(w,G) has only one critical point w = 0 being a strict local maximum and  $h(\partial D)$  is a non-convex analytic curve symmetric w.r.t. both coordinate axes.

**Proof.** Obviously  $h(z) = \rho^{-1}g(\rho z)$ , with g defined by (5), belongs to S. If |z| = r then  $R(w,G) \leq (1-r^2)(1+\rho^2r^2)/(1-\rho^2r^2) \leq 1$  since  $2\rho^2r^2 = r^2 \leq r^2+\rho^2r^4$ , with the sign of equality for r = 0 only. Thus R(w,G) has a global maximum at w = 0. We have  $\log h'(z) = \log(1+\rho^2z^2) - \log(1-\rho^2z^2)$ , and hence

$$\operatorname{Im}\{zh''(z)/h'(z)\} = 4\rho^2(1-\rho^4r^4)|1-\rho^4z^4|^{-2}\operatorname{Im}(z^2) = 0$$

only for z on coordinate axes. Thus, by (7), critical points may be situated on coordinate axes only. However, on both coordinate axes R(w, G) tends monotonically to

zero as |w| increases. Hence no critical points  $w \neq 0$  do exist. We have  $zh''(z)/h'(z) = 4\rho^2 z^2 (1-\rho^4 z^4)^{-1}$  and we now prove that  $\varphi(z) = 1+4\rho^2 z^2 (1-\rho^4 z^4)^{-1}$  is not in the familiar Caratheodory class. With z = i,  $2\rho^2 = 1$  we obtain  $\varphi(i) = -5/3 < 0$  and this proves that  $h(\partial D)$  is a non-convex analytic Jordan curve.

We state now a simple sufficient condition for R(w,G) to have only one local maximum.

**Theorem**. If f maps the unit disk D conformally onto G and R(w,G) has a strict local maximum at w = 0, then

(11) Re 
$$zf''(z)/f'(z) \le 2|z|^2(1-|z|^2)^{-1}$$
 for all  $z \in D$ 

implies that R(w,G) has only one local maximum w = 0.

**Proof.** By (2) and (6) we have  $\frac{\partial}{\partial r} u(re^{i\theta}) \leq 0$  for  $\theta = \text{const}$  with  $\frac{\partial}{\partial r} u(re^{i\theta})$  being real-analytic in a neighbourhood of the ray  $\theta = \text{const}$ . Therefore possible zeros of  $\partial u/\partial r$  from a discrete set  $\{r_k e^{i\theta}\}$  and so  $\partial u/\partial r < 0$  in any interval  $(r_k, r_{k+1})$ . Hence u is strictly decreasing for  $\theta$  fixed and r ranging over (0, 1). The same is true if  $\partial u/\partial r$  has at most one zero in (0, 1). Hence  $u(re^{i\theta})$  and also R(w, G),  $w = f(re^{i\theta})$ , strictly decrease as  $\theta$  is fixed and r ranges over (0, 1). This ends the proof.

#### REFERENCES

- Haegi, H.R., Extremalprobleme und Ungleichumgen konformer Gebietsgrössen, Comp. Math. 8 (1950), 81-111.
- [2] Hayman, W.K., Multivalent functions, Cambridge University Press 1958.
- [3] Jenkins, J.A., Univalent functions and conformal mappings, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg 1958.
- [4] Krzyż, J.G., On the derivative of bounded p-valent functions, Ann. Univ. Marine Curie-Skłodowska Sect. A 12 (1958), 23-28.
  - [5] Kühnau, R., Zum konformen Radius bei nullwinkligen Kreisbogendreiecken, Mitt. Math. Sem. Giessen No 211 (1992), 19-24.
- [6] Kühnau, R., Maxima beim konformen Radius einfach zusammenhängender Gebiete, this volume, 63–73.
- [7] Pólya, G., Szegő, G., Isoperimetrical inequalities in mathematical physics, Princeton University Press 1951.

Instytut Matematyki UMCS Plac M. Curie Skłodowskiej 1 20-031 Lublin, Poland (received May 24, 1993)