

Instytut Matematyki, Uniwersytet Marii Curie-Skłodowskiej, Lublin

WOJCIECH ZYGMUNT

## The Generic Property of Differential Equations with Compact Convex Valued Solutions

Własność generyczna równań różniczkowych, których rozwiązaniami są zbiory zwarte i wypukłe

Общее свойство уравнений в выпуклых компактных контингенциях

### Introduction

Let  $R^n$  be the real  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space with the usual norm  $|\cdot|$ . By  $C$  we denote the family of all nonempty compact convex subsets of  $R^n$  endowed with the Hausdorff metric  $d$  generated by the norm  $|\cdot|$ . It is known (see [4]) that  $(C, d)$  is a complete metric space. We shall denote by capital letters  $X, Y, Z, \dots$  elements of  $C$ . In  $C$  we introduce the usual algebraic operations:

addition:  $X + Y = \{x + y: x \in X, y \in Y\}$ ;

multiplication by nonnegative scalars  $\lambda$ :  $\lambda X = \{\lambda x: x \in X\}$ .

The following properties hold (see [2]):

$$\begin{aligned}
 X + \{\theta\} &= \{\theta\} + X = X & 1 \cdot X &= X \\
 X + (Y + Z) &= (X + Y) + Z & \lambda(X + Y) &= \lambda X + \lambda Y \\
 X + Y &= Y + X & \lambda(\mu X) &= (\lambda\mu)X \\
 (*) & & (\lambda + \mu)X &= \lambda X + \mu X \\
 d(X + U, Y + V) &\leq d(X, Y) + d(U, V) \\
 d(X + U, Y + U) &= d(X, Y) \\
 d(\lambda X, \lambda Y) &= \lambda d(X, Y) \\
 d(\lambda X, \mu Y) &\leq \beta d(X, Y) + |\lambda - \mu| (d(X, \{\theta\}) + d(Y, \{\theta\}))
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\beta = \max(\lambda, \mu)$ ,  $\lambda, \mu$  are nonnegative real numbers,  $\theta$  is the origin of  $R^n$  (i.e.  $\theta = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$ ) and  $\{\theta\}$  denotes the set, whose unique element is  $\theta$ .

Let  $I = [0, 1]$  be an unit interval of the real line  $R$ . We shall say that a mapping  $F: I \times C \rightarrow C$  is bounded if there is a positive number  $M$  such that  $d(F(t, X), \{0\}) \leq M$  for each  $(t, X) \in I \times C$ .

Let us denote by  $\mathcal{F}$  the collection of all continuous bounded maps  $F: I \times C \rightarrow C$ . For  $F, G \in \mathcal{F}$  we put

$$\text{Dist}(F, G) = \sup \{d(F(t, X), G(t, X)) : (t, X) \in I \times C\}.$$

Then the space  $(\mathcal{F}, \text{Dist})$  is a complete metric space.

In the present note we shall deal with the following differential problem of the type

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{X}(t) = F(t, X(t)), \\ \dot{X}(0) = X_0, \quad X_0 \in C \end{cases}$$

where  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\dot{X}$  denotes the Hukuhara derivative (see [5]) of the set valued function  $X: I \rightarrow C$ . By a solution of this problem we mean any continuous function  $X$  which satisfies (1) on  $I$ .

Using the Costello technique [3] we shall show that the set of mappings  $F$  for which the problem (1) has not an unique solution is a set of the first category in the space  $(\mathcal{F}, \text{Dist})$  (this property is called generic). We recall that a set is said to be of the first category if it is the countable union of nowhere dense and closed sets.

### Main Theorem

Let  $(1, F)$  denote the problem (1) with the right hand side  $F$ . Consider the set  $\mathcal{X}$  defined by

$$\mathcal{X} = \{F \in \mathcal{F} : (1, F) \text{ has nonunique solutions}\}.$$

$\mathcal{X}$  consists of all mappings in  $\mathcal{F}$  for which (1) has at least two solutions.

**Theorem.** *The set  $\mathcal{X}$  is of the first category in  $\mathcal{F}$ .*

Before proving this theorem we shall state two lemmas that will be used in the proof.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  and let  $\delta > 0$  be given. Then there exists a locally Lipschitz mapping  $G \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $\text{Dist}(F, G) < \delta$ .*

A mapping  $G: I \times C \rightarrow C$  is called locally lipschitzean if for each point  $p \in I \times C$  there is open neighbourhood  $\mathcal{O}_p$  of  $p$  and  $L_p$  such that  $d(G(t, X), G(s, Y)) \leq L_p \varrho((t, X), (s, Y))$  for all  $(t, X), (s, Y) \in \mathcal{O}_p$  where  $\varrho((t, X), (s, Y)) = \max(|t-s|, d(X, Y))$ . Since the proof of this lemma is essentially the same as the proof of Lemma 1 in [6] given by A. Lasota and J. Yorke, we only sketch it briefly here.

Define

$$N(\delta, (t, X)) = \{(s, Y) \in I \times C: \varrho((t, X), (s, Y)) < 1 \text{ and} \\ d(F(t, X), F(s, Y)) < \delta\}.$$

There is a locally finite refinement  $\{Q_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$  of  $\{N(\delta/2, (t, X)): (t, X) \in I \times C\}$  where each  $Q_\alpha$  is nonempty and open.

For  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$  we define  $\mu_\alpha: I \times C \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ ,  $p_\alpha: I \times C \rightarrow I$  as follows

$$\mu_\alpha(t, X) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (t, X) \notin Q_\alpha \\ \inf_{(s, Y) \in \partial Q_\alpha} \varrho((t, X), (s, Y)) & \text{if } (t, X) \in Q_\alpha \end{cases}$$

$\partial Q_\alpha$  denotes the boundary of  $Q_\alpha$ ,

$$p_\alpha(t, X) = \mu_\alpha(t, X) \left( \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{A}} \mu_\beta(t, X) \right)^{-1}.$$

Then each  $p_\alpha$  is locally lipschitzian.

Let  $\{(t_\alpha, X_\alpha)\}$  be a set of points such that  $(t_\alpha, X_\alpha) \in Q_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha$ .

Define now  $G: I \times C \rightarrow I$  by

$$G(t, X) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} p_\alpha(t, X) \cdot F(t_\alpha, X_\alpha).$$

It is easy to verify that  $G$  is well defined, because  $\{Q_\alpha\}$  is locally finite, and locally lipschitzian in view of formulas (\*).

For each  $(t, X) \in I \times C$  we have

$$d(F(t, X), G(t, X)) = d\left(\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} p_\alpha(t, X) F(t, X), \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} p_\alpha(t, X) F(t_\alpha, X_\alpha)\right) \\ \leq \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} p_\alpha(t, X) d(F(t, X), F(t_\alpha, X_\alpha)) \leq \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} (p_\alpha(t, X) \cdot \delta) = \delta.$$

Hence it follows that  $\text{Dist}(F, G) < \delta$ .

**Lemma 2.** *If  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  is locally lipschitzian, then the problem (1) has exactly one solution.*

To prove this lemma, let us recall the fact the space  $(C, d)$  may be embedded as a closed positive convex cone of a Banach space  $(\mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|)$  (see [1]) in such way that the embedding  $J$  is an isometric isomorphism, i.e.

$$J(\lambda X + \mu Y) = \lambda J(X) + \mu J(Y) \quad \lambda, \mu \geq 0$$

and

$$\|J(X) - J(Y)\| = d(X, Y), \quad \text{where } X, Y \in C.$$

From that, using the embedding of equation (1) in the Banach space we obtain, in our hypotheses, the existence and uniqueness of the solution  $X: I \rightarrow C$ .

Proof of the theorem. By De Blasi and Iervolino Theorem (see [2]) each  $(1, F)$  has at least one solution. Define

$$\gamma(F) = \sup_{t \in I} \{ \sup d(X_1(t), X_2(t)) : X_1, X_2 \text{ solutions of } (1, F) \}$$

and

$$T_n = \left\{ F \in \mathcal{F} : \gamma(F) \geq \frac{1}{n} \right\}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Then we have  $\mathcal{X} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n$ .

It is easy to verify that each  $T_n$  is a closed set in  $\mathcal{F}$ . Now we shall show that each  $T_n$  is also a nowhere dense set. Let  $F \in T_n$  be arbitrary. Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  arbitrarily and consider a neighborhood  $\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon(F)$  of  $F$  of radius  $\varepsilon$ . By lemma 1 there is a locally Lipschitz mapping  $G_\varepsilon$  such that  $G_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon(F)$ . This implies that each neighbourhood of  $F$  contains a mapping locally lipschitzean. In view of lemma 2,  $(1, G_\varepsilon)$  has the unique solution. Hence no point of  $T_n$  has a neighbourhood contained in  $T_n$ , that is,  $T_n$  is a nowhere dense set in  $\mathcal{F}$ .

So  $\mathcal{X}$  is a set of the first category in  $\mathcal{F}$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] Banks H.T., Jacobs M.Q., *A differential calculus for multifunctions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 29, 2 (1970), 246-272.
- [2] De Blasi F.S., Iervolino F., *Equazioni differenziali con soluzioni a valore compatto convesso*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital., S. IV, 2, 4-5 (1969), 491-501.
- [3] Hukuhara M., *Integration des applications mesurables dont la valeur est un compact convexe*, Funkcial. Ekvac., 10 (1967), 205-223.
- [3] Costello T., *Generic properties of differential equations*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 4, 2 (1973), 245-249.
- [4] Hukuhara M., *Sur l'application semicontinue dont la valeur est un compact convexe*, Funkcial. Ekvac., 10 (1967), 43-66.
- [5] „ „, *Integration des applications mesurables dont la valeur est un compact convexe*, ibidem, 10 (1967), 205-223.
- [6] Lasota A., Yorke J.A., *The Generic Property of Existence of Solutions of Differential Equations in Banach Space*, J.D.E., 13, 1 (1973), 1-11.

## STRESZCZENIE

W pracy udowodniono, że zbiór tych przekształceń  $F$ , dla których problem

$$(1) \quad X(t) = F(t, X(t)),$$

gdzie  $\dot{X}$  oznacza pochodną w sensie Hukuhary funkcji wieloznacznej  $X: I \rightarrow C$  ma przynajmniej dwa rozwiązania, jest zbiorem pierwszej kategorii w przestrzeni  $(\mathcal{F}, \text{Dist})$ .

## РЕЗЮМЕ

В работе доказано, что множество тех отображений  $F$ , для которых проблема

$$\begin{cases} \dot{X}(t) = F(t, X(t)) \\ X(0) = X_0, X_0 \in C, \end{cases}$$

где  $\dot{X}$  обозначает производную по Фукухару многозначной функции  $X: I \rightarrow C$  имеет неединственное решение, является множеством первой категории в пространстве  $(\mathcal{F}, \text{Dist})$ .

