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## A Coefficient Inequality for Bazilevič Functions

Nicrúwności na wspólczymniki dla funkeji Bazylewicza
Нерапенства иа коәффициенты для фуннции Бааилевича

Introduction. Sheil-Small [7] has recently chatacterized Bazilevic functions [1] in terms of a certain integral inequality. More specifically, let $f(z)$ be Bazilevič of type $(a, b)$. Then, for each $r(0<r<1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{U_{1}}^{\theta_{2}} \operatorname{Re}\left[1+z f^{\prime \prime}(z) / f^{\prime}(z)+(a-1+i b) z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)\right] d \theta>-\pi \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $\theta_{2}>\theta_{1}$. Conversely, if $f$ is analytic in $|z|<1$, with $f(0)=0$, $f(z) \neq 0(0<|z|<1)$, and $f^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$ for $|z|<1$, and if $f$ satisfies (1) for $0<r<1$ where $a>0, b$ real, then $f$ is Bazilevic of type $(a, b)$.

Let $B(a, b)$ denote the class of normalized functions satisfying (1). For a given complex number $\mu$, we wish to maximize $\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right|$ over a fixed class of functions. We are unable to do this for the entire class $B(a, b)$; this praper is concerned with the solution of the above extremal problem over certain subelasses of $B(a, b)$, which are defined below.

Definition. The normalized univalent function $f$ is said to be $\alpha$-i-spirizl-like, $a \geqslant 0,|\lambda|<\pi / 2$, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left|\left(e^{i \lambda}-a\right) z f^{\prime}(z)\right| f(z)+a\left(1+z f^{\prime \prime}(z) \mid f^{\prime}(z)\right) \mid>0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $|z|<1$. Let $M_{a}^{\lambda}$ denote the class of such functions.
Note that for $a>0,(2)$ is obtained by requiring the integrant in (1) to be positive, replacing $a$ and $b$ by $a^{-1} \cos \lambda$ and $a^{-1} \sin \hat{\lambda}$, respectively, and then multiplying through by $\alpha$. The reason for this parameter change

[^0]is that (2) implies $f$ is $\lambda$-spiral-like [8], and thus we have facilitated comparison with known results.

Sheil-Small [7] has shown that $f \in B(a, 0)$ if and only if there exists a starlike function $g,\left|g^{\prime}(0)\right|=1$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)^{1-a} g(z)^{a}}\right]>0,|z|<1 . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $B(a)$ denote those functions satisfying (3) with a normalized $g$, and let $C$ denote $B(1,0) . C$ is the well known class of close-to-convex functions. In this paper we maximize $\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right|$ over each of the three classes $M_{a}^{\lambda}$, $B(a)$, and $C$. Keogh and Merkes [3] solved the extremal problem (with $\mu$ real) over $B(1)$, and we show that their result holds also for the larger class $C$. In each of the three cases, the method we use, namely, application of the lemma below, is due to Keogh and Merkes [3]. The three results we obtain can be found in Theorems A, B, and C.

Lemma: Let $\omega(z)=\sum_{1}^{\infty} c_{n} z^{n}$ be analytic with $|\omega(z)|<1$ for $|z|<1$. If $v$ is any complex number then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|c_{2}-v c_{1}^{2}\right| \leqslant \max \{1,|v|\} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equality may be attained with the functions $\omega(z)=z^{2}$ and $\omega(z)=z$. For a proof of this we refer the reader to [3].
Theorem A: If $f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \epsilon M_{a}^{\lambda}(a \geqslant 0,|\lambda|<\pi / 2)$ and $\mu$ is any complex number, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leqslant \frac{\cos \lambda}{\left|e^{i \lambda}+2 a\right|} \max \{1,|v|\} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
v=\frac{4 \mu\left(e^{i \lambda}+2 \alpha\right) \cos \lambda+4 e^{i \lambda} \cos \lambda-\left(\alpha+e^{i \lambda}\right)\left(\alpha+e^{i \lambda}+6 \cos \lambda\right)}{\left(\alpha+e^{i \lambda}\right)^{2}} .
$$

For each $\mu$, there exists an $\alpha-\lambda$-spiral-like function for which equality holds in (5).

Proof. If $f(z) \epsilon M_{a}^{\lambda}$, then there exists an analytic function $\omega(z)$ $=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{n} z^{n}$ such that $|\omega(z)|<1 \quad(|z|<1)$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(e^{i \lambda}-\alpha\right) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\alpha\left(\frac{\left(f^{\prime \prime}(z)\right.}{f^{\prime}(z)}+1\right)=\frac{e^{i \alpha}+e^{-i \alpha} \omega(z)}{1-\omega(z)}(|z|<1) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By expanding (6) and equating coefficients we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}=\frac{\left(\alpha+e^{i \lambda}\right)}{2} a_{2} \quad \sec \lambda \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{2}=\left(e^{i \lambda}+2 \alpha\right) \sec \lambda a_{3}+\frac{\left[4 e^{i \lambda} \sec \lambda-\left(\alpha+e^{i \lambda}+6 \cos \lambda\right)\left(\alpha+e^{i \lambda}\right) \sec ^{2} \lambda\right]}{4} a_{2}^{2} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (4), (7) and (8) we obtain (5), where

$$
\mu=\frac{\left(\alpha+e^{i \lambda}\right)\left(\alpha+e^{i \lambda}+6 \cos \lambda\right)+\left(a+e^{i \lambda}\right)^{2} v-4 e^{i \lambda} \cos \lambda}{4\left(e^{i \lambda}+2 \alpha\right) \cos \lambda}
$$

The sharpness of (5) follows from that of (4).
Corollary 1. If $f(z)$ is $a-\lambda-s p i r a l-l i k e ~ t h e n ~$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leqslant \frac{2 \cos \lambda}{\left|\alpha+e^{i \lambda}\right|} .  \tag{9}\\
\left|a_{3}\right| \leqslant \frac{\cos \lambda\left|\left(\alpha+e^{i \lambda}\right)^{2}+2 \cos \lambda\left(e^{i \lambda}+3 a\right)\right|}{\left|\alpha+e^{i \lambda}\right|^{2}\left|e^{i \lambda}+2 a\right|} \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. The inequalities (9) and (10) follow directly from (7) and (5), respectively.

Corollary 2. If $f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ is $\alpha$-convex (i.e., $f \in M_{a}^{0}$ ) and $\mu$ is any complex number, then

$$
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{1+2 \alpha}-\max \left\{1, \frac{|4 \mu(1+2 \alpha)+4-(1+\alpha)(7+\alpha)|}{(1+\alpha)^{2}}\right\}
$$

Proof: This result follows immediately upon substituting $\lambda=0$ in (5). Further, corollary 2 agrees with a result of Szynal [9].

Corollary 3. If $f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ is $\lambda$-spiral-like $(|\lambda|<\pi \mid 2)$ and and $\mu$ is any complex number, then

$$
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leqslant \cos \lambda \max \left\{1,\left|2 \cos \lambda(2 \mu-1)-e^{i \lambda}\right|\right\}
$$

Proof: By substituting $\alpha=0$ in (5) we obtain this result, which is due to Keogh and Merkes [3].

Remarks. The proof of the theorem did not use the fact that $\alpha$ was real. For $a=e^{i \lambda}$ the expression in (2) becomes $e^{i \lambda}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}+1\right)$, and $M_{a}^{\lambda}$ corresponds to the class of analytic functions for which $z f^{\prime}(z)$ is $\lambda$-spi-ral-like. This class was defined by Robertson [6]. Also, by substituting $\alpha=e^{i \lambda}$ in (5) we obtain the following result of Libera and Ziegler [4].

Corollary 4. If $f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ is an analytic function for which $z f^{\prime}(z)$ is $\lambda$-spiral-like $(|\lambda|<\pi / 2)$ and $\mu$ is any complex number, then

$$
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{3} \cos \lambda \max \left\{1,\left|e^{i \lambda}-(3 \mu-2) \cos \lambda\right|\right\} .
$$

Theorem B: If $f \in B(\alpha)$ and $\mu$ is real,

$$
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leqslant \begin{cases}3-4 \mu & \text { if } \mu \leqslant \frac{1}{2+\alpha}  \tag{11}\\ 1+\frac{4 \alpha^{2}}{(1+\alpha)^{2}}\left(\mu_{0}-\mu\right)+\frac{8 \alpha^{2}\left(\mu_{0}-\mu\right)^{2}}{(1+\alpha)^{2}(2 \mu+\alpha-1)} & \text { if } \frac{1}{2+\alpha} \leqslant \mu \leqslant \mu_{0} \\ 1 & \text { if } \mu_{0} \leqslant \mu \leqslant 1 \\ 4 \mu-3 & \text { if } \mu \geqslant 1\end{cases}
$$

where $\mu_{0}=\frac{3+\alpha}{2(2+\alpha)}$. E'ach estimate is sharp.
Proof: We have from (3) the existence of a normalized starlike $g, g(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}$ such that

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)^{a}}{f(z)^{1-a} g(z)^{a}}\right]>0
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f^{\prime}(z)-\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{1-a}\left(\frac{g(z)}{z}\right)^{a}}{f^{\prime}(z)+\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{1-a}\left(\frac{g(z)}{z}\right)^{a}}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e_{n} z^{n} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfies the condition of the Lemma.
By expanding (12) and equating cocfficients, we have

$$
a_{2}=\frac{2 c_{1}}{1+u}+\frac{a}{1+u} b_{2}
$$

and

$$
a_{3}=\frac{2 c_{2}}{2+\alpha}+\frac{(3+\alpha)\left(4 c_{1}^{2}+4 c_{1} b_{2} \alpha+\alpha^{2} b_{2}^{2}\right)}{2(2+\alpha)(1+\alpha)^{2}}+\frac{\alpha\left(b_{3}-\frac{1}{2} b_{2}^{2}\right)}{2+\alpha}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}= & \frac{\alpha}{2+a}\left[b_{8}-\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\alpha(3+\alpha)}{2(1+\alpha)^{2}}+\frac{\mu \alpha(2+\alpha)}{(1+\alpha)^{2}}\right) b_{2}^{2}\right]  \tag{13}\\
+ & \frac{2}{2+\alpha}\left[c_{2}+\left(\frac{3+\alpha}{(1+\alpha)^{2}}-\frac{2 \mu(2+\alpha)}{(1+\alpha)^{2}}\right) c_{1}^{2}\right] \\
& +\frac{4 c_{1} b_{2} \alpha}{(1+\alpha)^{2}}\left(\frac{3+\alpha}{2(2+\alpha)}-\mu\right)
\end{align*}
$$

If $\mu=\mu_{0}$, the third term is zero, and (13) becomes

$$
a_{3}-\mu_{0} a_{2}^{2}=\frac{\alpha}{2+a}\left(b_{3}-\frac{1}{2} b_{2}^{2}\right)+\frac{2}{2+a} c_{2} .
$$

Since $g$ is starlike $\left|b_{3}-\frac{1}{2} b_{2}^{2}\right| \leqslant 1$ [3], and $\left|c_{2}\right| \leqslant 1$ by the Lemma. Hence, $\left|a_{3}-\mu_{0} a_{2}^{2}\right| \leqslant 1$. Also, the area theorem [5] gives $\left|a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}\right| \leqslant 1$. Combining these two inequalities, we have for $\mu_{0} \leqslant \mu \leqslant 1$,

$$
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leqslant \frac{\mu-\mu_{0}}{1-\mu_{0}}\left|a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}\right|+\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu_{0}}\left|a_{3}-\mu_{0} a_{2}^{2}\right| \leqslant 1 .
$$

We now examine $0 \leqslant \mu<\mu_{0}$. Let $\beta$ denote the coefficient of $b_{2}^{2}$ in (13). One easily checks that $\beta \leqslant \frac{1}{2}$ so that the result of Keogh and Merkes [3] applies, giving

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|b_{3}-\beta b_{2}^{2}\right| \leqslant 3-4 \beta=1+\frac{2 \alpha(3+\alpha-4 \mu-2 \mu(\alpha)}{(1+\alpha)^{2}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the facts that $\left|c_{2}\right| \leqslant 1-\left|c_{1}\right|^{2}$ and $\left|b_{2}\right| \leqslant 2$, the sum of the second and third terms of (13) is bounded by

$$
\varphi(r)=\frac{2}{2+a}\left[1+\frac{(2+a)(1-\alpha-2 \mu)}{(1+\alpha)^{2}} r^{2}\right]+\frac{8 \alpha\left(\mu_{0}-\mu\right)}{(1+\alpha)^{2}} r, r=\left|c_{1}\right|
$$

Now, if $2 \mu+\alpha-1>0\left(\right.$ i.e., $\left.\mu>\frac{1-a}{2}\right)$ then $\varphi$ attains its maximum value at $r^{*}(\mu)=2 \alpha\left(\mu_{0}-\mu\right)(2 \mu+\alpha-1)^{-1}$. On the interval $\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{2}, \mu_{0}\right], r^{*}(\mu)$ decreases from $+\infty$ to zero. The requirement $r^{*}(\mu) \leqslant 1$ yields: for $\mu \epsilon$ $\left[\frac{1}{2+\alpha}, \mu_{0}\right],\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right|$ is maximized by using the estimate in (14) on the first term of (13), and then replacing $c_{1}$ by $r^{*}(\mu), c_{2}$ by $1-c_{1}^{2}$, and $b_{2}$ by 2 in the other terms of (13). This bound on $\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right|$ is attained for the function $f$ defined implicity in (12), where $g$ is the Köebe function and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{n} z^{n}$ is defined as $z\left(z+r^{*}(\mu)\right)\left(1+r^{*}(\mu) z\right)^{-1}$.

It remains to consider $0 \leqslant \mu \leqslant(2+a)^{-1}$. From (11)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| & \leqslant(2+\alpha) \mu\left|a_{3}-(2+a)^{-1} a_{2}^{2}\right|+(1-(2+u) \mu)\left|a_{3}\right| \\
& \leqslant(2+\alpha) \mu\left(\frac{2+3 a}{2+a}\right)+3(1-(2+u) \mu)=3-4 \mu .
\end{aligned}
$$

The bounds in (11) for $\mu \phi[0,1]$ are identical with those for the entire class of univalent function [2]. Except for $\mu \in\left[(2+a)^{-1}, \mu_{0}\right]$, the bounds in (11) are attained by a starlike function [3], and the class of starlike functions is contained in each $B(\alpha)$. The proof of Theorem B is complete.

Corollary 5: If $f \in \bigcup_{a \geqslant 0} B(a)$ and $\mu$ is real,

$$
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leqslant \begin{cases}3-4 \mu & \text { if } \mu \leqslant 0 \\ 1+2\left(\frac{3-4 \mu}{3-2 \mu}\right)^{3} & \text { if } 0 \leqslant \mu \leqslant 3 / 4 \\ 1 & \text { if } 3 / 4 \leqslant \mu \leqslant 1 \\ 4 \mu-3 & \text { if } \mu \geqslant 1\end{cases}
$$

For $\mu \notin(0,3 / 4)$ the bound is attained by a starlike function. If $\mu \epsilon(0,3 / 4)$ equality is attained only for a function in $B\left(\frac{3}{\mu}-4\right)$.

We omit the proof of Corollary 5.
Theorem C: If $f_{\epsilon} C$ and $\mu$ is real,

$$
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leqslant \begin{cases}3-4 \mu & \text { if } \mu \leqslant \frac{1}{3}  \tag{15}\\ \frac{1}{3}+\frac{4}{9 \mu} & \text { if } \frac{1}{3} \leqslant \mu \leqslant 2 / 3 \\ 1 & \text { if } \frac{2}{3} \leqslant \mu \leqslant 1 \\ 4 \mu-3 & \text { if } \mu \geqslant 1\end{cases}
$$

For each $\mu$, equality is attained by a function in $B$ (1).
Proof: From (3) there exists a normalized starlike function $g$ such that

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left[e^{i y} \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}\right]>0,|z|<1
$$

for some real $\gamma,|\gamma|<\pi / 2$. Now, if $\mu \notin(0,2 / 3)$ the estimates in (15) are those obtained by Keogh and Merkes [3]. Thus we consider only $0 \leqslant \mu \leqslant 2 / 3$, and we begin with (9) of [3]:

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}=\frac{1}{3}\left(c_{3}-\frac{3}{4} \mu c_{2}^{2}\right) & +\frac{2}{3} \cos \gamma\left[a_{2}+\left(e^{i \gamma}-\frac{3}{2} \mu \cos \gamma\right) a_{1}^{2}\right]  \tag{16}\\
& +\left(\frac{2}{3}-\mu\right) \cos \gamma a_{1} c_{2},
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left\{c_{j}\right\}$ is the coefficient sequence of $g$, and $\left\{a_{j}\right\}$ is the coefficient sequence of the related function $\omega,|\omega| \leqslant 1$. Since $\frac{3}{4} \mu \leqslant \frac{1}{2},\left|c_{3}-\frac{3}{4} \mu c_{2}^{2}\right| \leqslant 3(1-$ $-\mu$ ) [3]. Also, $\left|a_{2}\right| \leqslant 1-\left|a_{1}\right|^{2}$ and $\left|c_{2}\right| \leqslant 2$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leqslant 1-\mu+\frac{2}{3} \cos \gamma\left[1+(|8|-1)\left|a_{1}\right|^{2}\right]+2\left(\frac{2}{3}-\mu\right) \cos \gamma\left|a_{1}\right| . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s=e^{i \gamma}-\frac{3}{2} \mu \cos \gamma$. As a function of $\left|a_{1}\right|$, the right-hand side of (17) is maximized when $\left|a_{1}\right|=\left(1-\frac{3}{2} \mu\right)(1-|8|)^{-1}$. Since we must have $\left|a_{1}\right| \leqslant 1$, this gives $|8| \leqslant 3 / 2 \mu$, or equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos ^{2} \gamma \geqslant\left(1-\frac{9}{4} \mu^{2}\right)\left(3 \mu-\frac{9}{4} \mu^{2}\right)^{-1}, \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each fixed $\mu, \frac{1}{3} \leqslant \mu<2 / 3$. Define $\gamma_{0}(\mu) \epsilon[0, \pi / 2)$ so that equality holds in (18). Then define $q_{\mu}$ on $\left[0, \gamma_{0}\right]$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{\mu}(\gamma)=\cos \gamma\left(1+a(\mu)(1+|8|) \sec ^{2} \gamma\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
a(\mu)=(2-3 \mu)^{2}[3 \mu(4-3 \mu)]^{-1}
$$

Note that, upon replacing $\left|a_{1}\right|$ by $\left(1-\frac{3}{2} \mu\right)(1-|s|)^{-1}$ in the right-hand side of (17), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leqslant 1-\mu+\frac{2}{3} q_{\mu}(\gamma) . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now assert that for $\frac{1}{3} \leqslant \mu<\frac{2}{3}, \max q_{\mu}(\gamma)=q_{\mu}(0)$. To verify this, note that $|s|$ is an increasing function of $\gamma$, so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{\mu}^{\prime}(\gamma) & =\frac{\left.\sin \gamma\left(|8| \mid a(\mu)-\cos ^{2} \gamma\right)+a(\mu)\right)}{|8| \cos ^{2} \gamma} \\
& \leqslant \frac{\sin \gamma}{|8| \cos ^{2} \gamma}\left[\left(1-\frac{3}{2} \mu\right)\left(\frac{-2(2-3 \mu)}{4-3 \mu}\right)+\frac{(2-3 \mu)^{2}}{3 \mu(4-3 \mu)}\right] \\
& =\frac{\sin \gamma(2-3 \mu)^{2}}{|8| \cos ^{2} \gamma(4-3 \mu)}\left(\frac{1}{3} \mu-1\right)<0, \text { for } \frac{1}{3}<\mu<\frac{2}{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

We now must examine, for $\frac{1}{3} \leqslant \mu<\frac{2}{3}$, the case $\gamma_{0} \leqslant \gamma<\pi / 2$. By (18), this is equivalent to $|8| \geqslant 3 / 2 \mu$ which implies the right-hand side of (17) is maximized when $\left|a_{1}\right|=1$. We then have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leqslant 1-\mu+\frac{2}{3} p_{\mu}(\gamma), \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
p_{\mu}(\gamma)=\cos \gamma[|8|+(2-3 \mu)] .
$$

In the same manner as above, $p_{\mu}(\gamma)$ is decreasing on $\left[\gamma_{0}, \pi / 2\right)$, so that $\max \boldsymbol{p}_{\mu}(\gamma)=\boldsymbol{p}_{\mu}\left(\gamma_{0}\right)=q_{\mu}\left(\gamma_{0}\right) \leqslant q_{\mu}(0)$. Thus, from (20), for each $\mu, 1 / 3 \leqslant \mu$ $<2 / 3$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leqslant 1-\mu+\frac{2}{3} q_{\mu}(0)=\frac{1}{3}+\frac{4}{9 \mu} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $0 \leqslant \mu \leqslant \frac{1}{3}$, it follows from (22) that
$\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leqslant 3 \mu\left|a_{3}-\frac{1}{3} a_{2}^{2}\right|+(1-3 \mu)\left|a_{3}\right| \leqslant 3 \mu(5 / 3)+(1-3 \mu) 3=3-4 \mu$.
The fact that, for each $\mu$, equality in (15) is attained by a function in $\mathrm{B}(1)$, is shown in [3]. The proof of Theorem C is complete.

The authors wish to thank Professor Maxwell O. Reade for suggesting this problem.
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## STRESZCZENIE

Przedmiotem pracy jest znalezienie dokładnego oszacowania wyrażenia $\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right|$ w pewnej klasie funkeji Bazylewicza.

## PE:3OME

Предметом заметии является оирсделение точной оценки фуніционала $\left|a_{3}-\mu \Lambda_{2}^{2}\right|$ в ненотором ктассе функциіі Базилевича.
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