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## 1. Introduction

If $f(z)$ is regular in the open unit disk $\Delta, \Delta=\{z:|z|<1\}$, then $f(z)$ is univalent in $\Delta$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \frac{f(z)-f(\zeta)}{z-\zeta}=\sum_{k, h=0}^{\infty} A_{k h} z^{k} \zeta^{h} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is defined and convergent in $\Delta \times \Delta$. This observation has been useful to investigators of Geometric Function Theory, particularly for deriving "Grunsky-type" inequalities for functions which are univalent or carry some similar restriction (see Hummel, [2]); the techniques used and the results so obtained have often been unusually difficult and complicated. Jenkins [3], and other authors [1], [2], simplified and enhanced much of this work by the application of generalized area principles.

In a subsequent paper [4], Jenkins used the area method to derive inequalities for functions which are of the Bieberbach-Eilenberg or similar classes. The purpose of this note is to illustrate applications of these techniques to pairs of univalent functions which are bounded and have non-overlapping domains and to bounded univalent functions; the novelty of these applications stems in part from using the unit circle as a boundary component for the region of integration. Some bounds for functions and their derivatives are obtained as corollaries. These appear to be new; some have meaning for functions considered earlier by Nehari [6].

## 2. One Method

The following notation is used. For a suitable set $S, h[S]$ denotes the image of $\mathbb{S}$ under the function $h(z) . \Delta_{r}$ is the open disk centered at the origin with radius $r$ and $\gamma_{r}$ is its boundary; $\Delta=\Delta_{1}$ and $\gamma=\gamma_{1}$.

Theorem 1. If $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ are regular and univalent in $\Delta ; g(z) \neq 0$, $z \in \Delta ; f[\Delta]$ and $g[\Delta]$ are disjoint and both contained in $\Delta ;(1.1)$ holds alon! with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \left\{\frac{g(z)-g(\zeta)}{z-\zeta}\right\}=\sum_{k, h=0}^{\infty} B_{k h} z^{k} \zeta^{h}, z \in \Delta, \zeta \in \Delta \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \left\{1-\frac{f(z)}{g(\zeta)}\right\}=\sum_{k, h=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{k h} z^{k} \zeta^{h}, z \in \Delta, \zeta \in \Delta \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if for $k=1,2, \ldots, n$ and $j=1,2, \ldots, m, z_{k}$ and $\zeta_{j}$ are arbitrary numbers in $\Delta$ whereas $\alpha_{k}$ and $\beta_{j}$ are any complex numbers such that $\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \beta_{j}=0 ;$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mid \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} A_{k h} z_{j}^{h} & +\left.\sum_{q=1}^{m} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{q} \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{k h} \zeta_{q}^{-h}\right|^{2}+ \\
& +\sum_{h=1}^{\infty} h\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{k h} 2_{j}^{k}+\sum_{q=1}^{m} \beta_{q} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_{k h} \zeta_{q}^{k}\right|^{2}+
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} f\left(z_{j}\right)^{s}+\sum_{q=1}^{m} \beta_{q} g\left(\zeta_{q}\right)^{s}\right|^{2}  \tag{2.3}\\
& \quad \leqslant \sum_{j, k=1}^{n} a_{j} \bar{a}_{k} \log \left(\frac{1}{1-z_{j} \bar{z}_{k}}\right)+\sum_{j, k=1}^{n} \beta_{j} \overline{\beta_{k}} \log \left(\frac{1}{1-\zeta_{j} \bar{\zeta}_{k}}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

To simplify the notation of the proof we let $\Gamma_{r}=f\left[\gamma_{r}\right], \Omega_{\varrho}=g\left[\gamma_{\ell}\right]$ and $D_{r, e}$ be the region in $\Delta$ whose boundary is $\Gamma_{r} \cup \Omega_{e} \cup \gamma$, i.e., $D_{r, e}$ is $\Delta$ without $\overline{f\left[\Delta_{r}\right]}$ and $\overline{g\left[\Delta_{\varrho}\right.}$.

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(w)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j}\left(w-w_{j}\right)^{-1}+\sum_{q=1}^{m} \beta_{q}\left(w-\omega_{q}\right)^{-1} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w_{j}=f\left(z_{j}\right), j=1,2, \ldots, n$ and $\omega_{q}=g\left(\zeta_{q}\right), q=1,2, \ldots, m$ and $r$ and $\varrho$ are taken sufficiently large to make $\Phi(\omega)$ regular in $D_{r, \varrho}$. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{r, e}=\int_{1 r_{r, e}} \int|\Phi(w)|^{2} d A \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is strictly positive for all $r$ and $\varrho$ sufficiently close to and smaller than 1. Because of the restrictions $\Sigma \alpha_{j}=\Sigma \beta_{k}=0$ we can define

$$
\phi(w)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} \log \left(w-w_{j}\right)+\sum_{q=1}^{m} \beta_{q} \log \left(w-\omega_{q}\right)
$$

to be regular in $D_{r, Q}$; and, by an application of Green's Theorem [6] or "integration by parts in the complex plane" [1] we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{r, e}=\frac{1}{2 i}\left\{\int_{\nu} \overline{\Phi(w)} d \phi(w)-\int_{\Gamma_{r}} \overline{\phi(w)} d \phi(w)-\int_{s_{e}} \overline{\phi(w)} d \phi(w)\right\}, \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

the integrals being taken in the positive direction (with respect to their own interiors) in each case.

Again making use of the restrictions on the $\alpha_{j^{\prime}, ~}$ and $\beta_{k^{\prime} 8}$ to eliminate terms containing $\log w$, we have on $\gamma$, with $w=e^{i \theta}$, that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(w) & =\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} \log \left(w-f\left(z_{j}\right)\right)+\sum_{q=1}^{m} \beta_{q} \log \left(w-g\left(\zeta_{q}\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} \log \left(1-\frac{f\left(z_{j}\right)}{w}\right)+\sum_{q=1}^{m} \beta_{q} \log \left(1-\frac{g\left(\zeta_{q}\right)}{w}\right) \\
& =-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j} \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s}\left(\frac{f\left(z_{j}\right)}{w}\right)^{s}-\sum_{q=1}^{m} \beta_{q} \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s}\left(\frac{g\left(\zeta_{q}\right)}{w}\right)^{s} \\
& =-\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} f\left(z_{j}\right)^{*}+\sum_{q=1}^{m} \beta_{q} g\left(\zeta_{q}\right)^{s}\right] w^{-s} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\frac{1}{2 i} \int_{\gamma} \overline{\phi(w)} d \phi(w)=\frac{1}{2 i} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \overline{\phi\left(e^{i \theta}\right)} d \phi\left(e^{i \theta}\right)
$$

$$
=\frac{1}{2 i} \int \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s} \overline{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j} f\left(z_{j}\right)^{s}+\sum_{q=1}^{m} \beta_{q} g\left(\zeta_{q}\right)^{s} \mid e^{-i 60} . . . . ~ . ~}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\sum_{s=1}^{\infty}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} f\left(z_{j}\right)^{s}+\sum_{q=1}^{m} \beta_{q} g\left(\zeta_{q}\right)^{s}\right]\left(-i e^{-i s \theta}\right) d 0  \tag{2.8}\\
=-\pi \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} f\left(z_{j}\right)^{s}+\sum_{q=1}^{m} \beta_{q} g\left(\zeta_{q}\right)^{s}\right|^{2}
\end{array}
$$

Then, if $w$ is on $\Gamma_{r}, w=f(z)$ for $z=r e^{i \theta}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(w)= & \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} \log \left(f(z)-f\left(z_{j}\right)\right)+\sum_{q=1}^{m} \beta_{Q} \log \left(f(z)-g\left(\zeta_{Q}\right)\right) \\
= & \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} \log \left(\frac{f(z)-f\left(z_{j}\right)}{z-z_{j}}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} \log \left(z-z_{j}\right)+ \\
& +\sum_{q=1}^{m} \beta_{q} \log \left(1-\frac{f(z)}{g\left(\zeta_{q}\right)}\right)+\sum_{q=1}^{m} \beta_{Q} \log \left(-g\left(\zeta_{q}\right)\right) \\
= & \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} \sum_{k, h=0}^{\infty} A_{k h} z^{k} z_{j}^{h}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{z_{j}}{z}\right)^{p}+ \\
& +\sum_{q=1}^{m} \beta_{q} \sum_{k, h=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{k h} z^{k} \zeta_{q}^{h}+K .
\end{aligned}
$$

$K$ is a constant which depends on the way in which logarithms are chosen, it disappears in the subsequent operation.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{2 i} \int_{\Gamma_{r}} \overline{\phi(w)} d \phi(w)=\frac{1}{2 i} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \phi \overline{\left(f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right)} d \phi\left(f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right) \\
=\pi\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} A_{k h} z_{j}^{h}+\sum_{q=1}^{m} \beta_{q} \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{k h} \zeta_{q}^{h}\right|^{2} r^{2 k}-\right.  \tag{2.9}\\
\left.-\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} z_{j}^{p}\right|^{2} r^{2 p}\right\} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Finally, on $\Omega_{\varrho}, w=g(z)$ for $z=\varrho e^{\iota \theta}$, therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi(w)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j} \log \left(g(z)-f\left(z_{j}\right)\right)+\sum_{q=1}^{m} \beta_{Q} \log \left(g(z)-g\left(\zeta_{q}\right)\right. \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{j} \log \left(1-\frac{f\left(z_{j}\right)}{g(z)}\right)+\sum_{Q=1}^{m} \beta_{q} \log \left(\frac{g(z)-g\left(\zeta_{q}\right)}{z-\zeta_{q}}\right)+\sum_{Q=1}^{m} \beta_{q} \log \left(z-\zeta_{q}\right)+K \\
& =\sum_{h=1}^{\infty}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{k h} z_{j}^{k}+\sum_{q=1}^{m} \beta_{q} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_{h k} \zeta_{q}^{k}\right\}-\sum_{p=1}^{\infty}\left\{\frac{1}{p} \sum_{q=1}^{m} \beta_{Q} \zeta_{q}^{p}\right\} z^{-p}+K ;
\end{aligned}
$$

and consequently

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2 i} \int_{\Omega_{Q}} \overline{\phi(w)} d \phi(w)=\frac{1}{2 i} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \overline{\phi\left(g\left(\varrho e^{i \theta}\right)\right)} d \phi\left(g\left(\varrho e^{i}\right)\right) \\
& =\pi\left\{\sum_{h=1}^{n} \pi\left|\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{j} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{k h} \nu_{j}^{k}+\sum_{Q=1}^{m} \beta_{Q} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_{h k} \zeta_{q}^{k}\right|^{2} \varrho^{2 h}\right.  \tag{2.10}\\
& \left.\quad-\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p}\left|\sum_{Q=1}^{m} \beta_{q} \zeta_{\varphi}^{\left.\zeta\right|^{2}}\right|^{2} \varrho^{-2 p}\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Now, combining (2.5), (2.7), (2.8), (2.9) and (2.10) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{A}_{r, \mathrm{e}}= & \pi\left\{-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \mid \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j} \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} A_{k h} z_{j}^{k}+\sum_{q=1}^{m} \beta_{Q} \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{k h} h_{q}^{h /\left.\right|^{2}} r^{2 k}\right. \\
& -\sum_{h=1}^{\infty} h\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{k h} z_{j}^{k}+\sum_{q=1}^{m} \beta_{q} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_{h k} \zeta_{q}\right|^{2} e^{2 h} \\
& +\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j} z_{j}^{p}\right|^{2} r^{-2 p}+\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p}\left|\sum_{q=1}^{m} \beta_{q} \zeta_{q}\right|^{2} e^{2} e^{-2 p}  \tag{2.11}\\
& \left.-\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} f\left(z_{j}\right)^{s}+\sum_{q=1}^{m} \beta_{q} g\left(\zeta_{q}\right)^{s}\right|^{2}\right\}>0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Then letting $r \rightarrow 1$ and $\varrho \rightarrow 1$ and observing that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} z_{j}^{p}\right|^{2}=-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{n=1}^{n} a_{j} \overline{a_{h}} \log \left(1-z_{j} \overline{z_{h}}\right), \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

we see that (2.11) implies the conclusion of the theorem, (2.3).
Corollary 1.1. If along with the conditions of Theorem 1 we suppose that $x_{k}$ and $y_{j}$ are generic points in $\Delta$, for $k=1,2, \ldots, N$ and $j=1,2, \ldots, M$, and $\sum_{j=1}^{M} \delta_{j}=\sum_{j=1}^{M} \varepsilon_{k}=0$ for arbitrary complex numbers $\delta_{k}$ and $\varepsilon_{j}$, then

$$
\frac{\left\lvert\, \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{h=1}^{N} a_{j} \delta_{h} \log \left\{\frac{f\left(x_{h}\right)-f\left(\zeta_{j}\right)}{x_{h}-\zeta_{j}}\right\}+\sum_{q=1}^{m} \sum_{h=1}^{N} \beta_{q} \delta_{h} \log \left\{1-\frac{f\left(x_{h}\right)}{g\left(\zeta_{q}\right)}\right\}\right.}{-\sum_{n, i=1}^{M} \delta_{h} \bar{\delta}_{l} \log \left(1-x_{h} \bar{x}_{l}\right)}
$$

$$
+\frac{\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{h=1}^{M} \alpha_{j} \varepsilon_{h} \log \left\{1-\frac{f\left(z_{j}\right)}{g\left(y_{k}\right)}\right\}+\sum_{q=1}^{m} \sum_{h=1}^{M} \beta_{q} \varepsilon_{h} \log \left\{\frac{g\left(y_{k}\right)-g\left(\zeta_{q}\right)}{y_{h}-\zeta_{Q}}\right\}\right|^{2}}{-\sum_{h, i=1}^{M} \varepsilon_{h} \bar{\varepsilon}_{l} \log \left(1-y_{h} \bar{y}_{\ell}\right)}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{8}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} f\left(z_{j}\right)^{s}+\sum_{q=1}^{m} \beta_{Q} g\left(\zeta_{q}\right)^{s}\right|^{2}  \tag{2.13}\\
& \leqslant-\sum_{j, h=1}^{n} a_{j} \overline{\alpha_{h}} \log \left(1-z_{j} \overline{z_{h}}\right)-\sum_{j, h=1}^{m} \beta_{j} \overline{\beta_{h}} \log \left(1-\zeta_{j} \overline{\zeta_{h}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

This is obtained by showing that the first two terms in (2.13) do not exceed those in (2.3). Making use of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{h=1}^{N} a_{j} \delta_{h} \log \left\{\frac{f\left(x_{h}\right)-f\left(\zeta_{j}\right)}{x_{h}-\zeta_{j}}\right\}+\sum_{a=1}^{m} \sum_{h=1}^{N} \beta_{q} \delta_{h} \log \left\{1-\frac{f\left(x_{h}\right)}{g\left(\zeta_{q}\right)}\right\}\right|^{2} \\
& =\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{h=1}^{N} a_{j} \delta_{h} \sum_{k, s=1}^{\infty} A_{k s} x_{h}^{k} z_{j}^{s}+\sum_{q=1}^{m} \sum_{h=1}^{N} \beta_{q} \delta_{h} \sum_{k, s=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{k s} x_{h}^{k} \zeta_{q}^{s}\right|^{2}  \tag{2.14}\\
& =\left|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{h=1}^{N} \delta_{h} x_{h}^{k}\right) \cdot k^{1 / 2}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j} \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} A_{k s} z_{j}^{s}+\sum_{Q=1}^{m} \beta_{Q} \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{k s} \zeta_{q}^{s}\right\}\right|^{2} \\
& \leqslant \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{N} \delta_{h} x_{h}^{k}\right|^{2} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j} \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} A_{k s} z_{j}^{s}+\sum_{q=1}^{m} \beta_{Q} \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{k s} \zeta_{Q}^{s}\right|^{2} \\
& =-\sum_{h, t=1}^{N} \delta_{h} \bar{\delta}_{\ell} \log \left(1-x_{h} \overline{x_{k}}\right) \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j} \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} A_{k s} z_{j}^{s}+\sum_{q=1}^{m} \beta_{q} \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{k s} \zeta_{q}^{s}\right|^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

A similar calculation enables us to compare the second terms of (2.3) and (2.13), respectively.

For specific choices of the parameters appearing in (2.13) we may obtain inequalities which are more easily interpreted. For example, choosing $m=n=N=M=2, \quad a_{1}=\delta_{1}=\varepsilon_{1}=\beta_{1}=1, \quad a_{2}=\beta_{2}=\delta_{2}$ $=\varepsilon_{2}=-1, x_{2}=\zeta_{2}=z_{2}=y_{2}=0, x_{1}=\zeta_{1}=z$ and $z_{1}=y_{1}=\zeta$, gives

$$
\frac{\left\lvert\, \log \left\{\frac{z^{2} f^{\prime}(z) f^{\prime}(0) \mid}{(f(z)-f(0))^{2}}\right\}+\log \frac{\left.\left\lvert\, \frac{(g(z)-f(z))(g(0)-f(0))}{\mid g(z)-f(0)) \mid(g(0)-f(z) \mid}\right.\right\}}{-\log \left(1-|z|^{2}\right)}\right.}{\text { | }}
$$

$+\left|\frac{\left.\log \left\{\frac{(g(\zeta)-f(\zeta))(g(0)-f(0))}{\{g(\zeta)-f(0))(g(0)-f(\zeta))}\right\}+\log \left\{\left.\frac{(g(z)-g(\zeta))}{\{(g(z)-g(0))} \right\rvert\, \frac{\zeta z}{z-\zeta}\right) \frac{g^{\prime}(0)}{(g(\zeta)-g(0))}\right\}}{-\log \left(1-|\zeta|^{2}\right)}\right|^{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s}\left|\left(f(\zeta)^{s}-f(0)^{s}\right)+\left(g(z)^{s}-g(0)^{s}\right)\right|^{2} \leqslant-\log \left(1-|\zeta|^{2}\right)-\log \left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now if the first term in (2.15) is dropped and we let $f(0)=0$ and $z=0$, then we can conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\log \left\{\frac{g(\zeta)-f(\zeta)}{g(0)-f(\zeta)} \cdot \frac{g(0)}{g(\zeta)}\right\}\right|^{2} \leqslant \log \left(\frac{1-|f(\zeta)|^{2}}{1-|\zeta|^{2}}\right) \cdot \log \left(\frac{1}{1-|\zeta|^{2}}\right) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\zeta$ in $\Delta$. Taking the square root of both sides in (2.16) and making use of the relation $2 a b \leqslant a^{2}+b^{2}$ which holds for any real numbers $a$ and $b$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\log \left\{\frac{g(\zeta)-f(\zeta)}{g(0)-f(\zeta)} \cdot \frac{g(0)}{g(\zeta)}\right\}\right| \leqslant \log \left\{\frac{\sqrt{1-|f(\zeta)|^{2}}}{\left(1-|\zeta|^{2}\right)}\right\} . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

In summary we have the following.
Corollary 1.2. If $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ are univalent in $\Delta, f[\Delta]$ and $g[\Delta]$ are non-intersecting and both contained in $\Delta$, and $f(0)=0$, then for any $\zeta$ in $\Delta$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1-|\zeta|^{2}}{\sqrt{1-|f(\zeta)|^{2}}} \leqslant\left|\frac{g(\zeta)-f(\zeta)}{g(0)-f(\zeta)} \cdot \frac{g(0)}{g(\zeta)}\right| \leqslant \frac{\sqrt{1-|f(\zeta)|^{2}}}{1-|\zeta|^{2}} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
(2.19) $\log \frac{1-|\zeta|^{2}}{\sqrt{1-|f(\zeta)|^{2}}} \leqslant \arg \left\{\frac{g(\zeta)-f(\zeta)}{g(0)-f(\zeta)} \cdot \frac{g(0) \mid}{g(\zeta)} \left\lvert\, \leqslant \log \frac{\sqrt{1-|f(\zeta)|^{2}}}{1-|\zeta|^{2}}\right.\right.$.

Different choices of terms and variables in (2.15) and of the parameters in (2.13) will yield other bounds on $f(z)$ and $g(z)$, however no further illustrations will be given here. It should be observed that some of the preceding methods and results may be extended to the case when there are more than two bounded univalent functions with non--overlapping images. The case where we have a single function is sufficiently important to be considered separately.

Theorem 2. If $f(z)$ is regular and univalent in $\Delta$ and $f[\Delta] \subset \Delta$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} A_{k \hbar} z_{j}^{h}\right|^{2} \leqslant \sum_{j, h=1}^{n} a_{j} \overline{a_{h}} \log \left\{\frac{1-f\left(z_{j}\right) \overline{f\left(z_{h}\right)}}{1-z_{j} \bar{z}_{h}}\right\} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $A_{k h}$ are defined by (1.1), $z_{j} \in \Delta$ for all $j$ and the numbers $a_{j}$ are restricted only by $\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j}=0$.

Using the notation defined above we let $D_{r}$ be the region bounded by $\gamma \cup I_{r}^{\prime}$ with $r$ chosen sufficiently large to insure that $v_{j} \notin D_{r}$, and $v_{j}=f\left(t_{j}\right)$ $j=1,2, \ldots, n$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{\prime}(w)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j}\left(w-w_{j}\right)^{-1} \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{D_{r}} \int\left|\psi^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} d A=\frac{1}{2 i}\left[\int_{\gamma} \psi(w) d \psi(w)-\int_{I_{r}^{\prime}} \overline{\psi(w)} d \psi(w)\right]  \tag{2.22}\\
&=-\pi\left(\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{8}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} f\left(z_{j}\right)^{s}\right|^{2}\right)-\pi\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k\left|\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{j} \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} A_{k h} z_{j}^{k}\right|^{2} r^{2 k}\right. \\
&\left.-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} z_{j}^{k}\right|^{2} r^{-2 k}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

which is non-negative. Letting $r \rightarrow 1$ and making use of (2.12) gives (2.20).
Choosing $\delta_{h}$ and $x_{h}$ as in Corollary 1.1 we may write

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mid \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{h=1}^{N} \alpha_{j} \delta_{h} \log \left\{\frac{f\left(x_{h}\right)-f\left(z_{j}\right)}{x_{h}-z_{j}}\right\}^{z}  \tag{2.23}\\
&=\left|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{h=1}^{N} \delta_{h} x_{h}^{k}\right) k^{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} A_{k p} z_{j}^{p}\right)\right|^{2} \\
& \leqslant \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k}\left|\sum_{h=1}^{N} \delta_{h} x_{h}^{k}\right|^{2} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_{k h} z_{j}^{h}\right|^{2},
\end{align*}
$$

having made use of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Using (2.12), (2.20) nad (2.23) give the following results.

Corollary 2.1. If in addition to the conditions of Theorem 2, numbers $x_{j}$ and $\delta_{j}, j=1,2, \ldots, N$ are chosen so that $x_{j} \in \Delta$ for each $j$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{N} \delta_{j}=0$,
then

$$
\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{h=1}^{N} a_{j} \delta_{h} \log \left(\frac{f\left(x_{h}\right)-f\left(z_{j}\right)}{x_{h}-z_{j}}\right)\right|^{2}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\leqslant\left\{\sum_{j=h=1}^{N} \delta_{j} \overline{\delta_{h}} \log \left(\frac{1}{1-x_{j} \overline{x_{h}}}\right)\right\}\left\{\sum_{j=h=1}^{n} a_{j} \overline{a_{h}} \log \left(\frac{1-f\left(z_{j}\right) \overline{f\left(z_{h}\right)}}{1-z_{j} \overline{z_{h}}}\right)\right\} \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choosing $n=N=2, \quad x_{1}=z_{1}=z, \quad x_{2}=z_{2}=0, \quad \alpha_{1}=\delta_{1}=1$ and $\delta_{2}=a_{2}=-1$ in (2.24) yields

$$
\left\lvert\, \log \left\{\frac{z^{2} f^{\prime}(z) f^{\prime}(0)}{[f(z)-f(0)]^{2}}\right\}^{2} \leqslant \log \left(\frac{1}{1-|z|^{2}}\right) \log \left\{\frac{1-|f(z)|^{2}}{1-|z|^{2}} \cdot \frac{1-|f(0)|^{2}}{|1-f(z) f(0)|^{2}}\right\} .\right.
$$

If for simplicity, wo let $f(0)=0$ and again make use of the relation $2 a b \leqslant a^{2}+b^{2}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\log \left(\frac{z^{2} f^{\prime}(z) f^{\prime}(0)}{f(z)^{2}}\right)\right| \leqslant \log \frac{\sqrt{1-|f(z)|^{2}}}{1-|z|^{2}} \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Scparating real and imaginary parts on the left of (2.25) gives the following interesting bounds.

Corollary 2.2. If $f(z)$ is univalent in $\Delta, f(0)=0$ and $f[\Delta] \subset \Delta$, then for any $z$ in $\Delta$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \frac{1-|z|^{2}}{\sqrt{1-|f(z)|^{2}}} \leqslant \arg \left(\frac{z^{2} f^{\prime}(z) f^{\prime}(0)}{f(z)^{2}}\right) \leqslant \log \frac{\sqrt{1-|f(z)|^{2}}}{1-|z|^{2}} \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{f(z)}{z}\right|^{2} \frac{1-|z|^{2}}{\sqrt{1-|f(z)|^{2}}} \leqslant\left|f^{\prime}(z) f^{\prime}(0)\right| \leqslant\left|\frac{f(z)}{z}\right|^{2} \frac{\sqrt{1-|f(z)|^{2}}}{1-|z|^{2}} . \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

These results can be generalized easily by choosing $x_{2}=\tilde{z}_{2}=\zeta$ in the substitutions following Corollary 2.1 and in this case (2.25) is replaced by an inequality which relates the values of $f(z)$ and its derivative at two distinct points of the disk $\Delta$. Choosing values of $n$ and $N$ excecding 2 or non-real values for the $a_{j}$ 's and $\delta_{k}$ 's in (2.24) will of course give new inequalities, they are, however, extremely cumbersome and difficult to interpret.

## 3. A Second Method

In this section we apply the method of Section 2 replacing $\gamma$ as a component of the boundary of the region of integration with the image of $\gamma_{r}$ under $1 / f(z)$, when $f(0)=0$. The calculations are similar to those above.

Theorem 3. If $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ are regular and univalent in $\Delta, f[\Delta] \subset \Delta$; $g[\Delta] \subset \Delta ; f[\Delta] \cap g[\Delta]=\Phi ; f(0)=0$; (1.1) and (2.1) hold;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log (1-f(z) g(\zeta))=\sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ h=0}}^{\infty} u_{k h} z^{k} \zeta^{h} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, for $k=1,2, \ldots, n$ and $j=1,2, \ldots, m, z_{k}$ and $\zeta_{j}$ are in $\Delta$ and $\alpha_{k}$ and $\beta_{j}$ are complex numbers such that $\sum_{j=1}^{m} \beta_{j}=0$; then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j} \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} A_{k h} z_{j}^{h}+\sum_{q=1}^{m} \beta_{q} \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} u_{k h} \zeta_{q}^{h}\right|^{2}+  \tag{3.2}\\
&+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j} \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} u_{h k} z_{j}^{h}+\sum_{q=1}^{m} \beta_{q} \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} b_{k h} \zeta_{q}\right|^{2} \\
& \leqslant \sum_{j, h=1}^{n} \alpha_{j} \overline{\alpha_{h}} \log \left(\frac{1}{1-z_{j} \overline{z_{h}}}\right)+\sum_{, h=1}^{m} \beta_{j} \bar{\beta}_{h} \log \left(\frac{1}{1-\zeta_{j} \zeta_{h}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The method of proof is similar to that of Theorem 1. Let $I_{r}^{* *}$ be the closed Jordan curve defined by the set of points $1 / f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right), 0 \leqslant \theta \leqslant 2 \pi$, which has positive direction with respect to its own interior; and let $A_{r}$ be the annular region bounded by $\Gamma_{r}^{*} \cup \Omega_{r}, 0<r<1, \Omega_{r}=g\left[\gamma_{r}\right]$. Choose $r$ sufficiently large so that

$$
\chi^{\prime}(w)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{-\alpha_{j} w_{j}}{\left(1-w w_{j}\right)}+\sum_{q=1}^{m} \frac{\beta_{q}}{\left(w-\omega_{q}\right)}
$$

$w_{j}=f\left(z_{j}\right), j=1,2, \ldots, n$ and $\omega_{q}=g\left(\zeta_{q}\right), q=1,2, \ldots, m$ is regular in $A_{r}$; this can be done since $\chi^{\prime}(w)$ has only simple poles at the points $1 / f\left(z_{j}\right)$ and $g\left(\zeta_{q}\right)$. Letting

$$
\chi(w)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j} \log \left(1-w w_{j}\right)+\sum_{q=1}^{M} \beta_{q} \log \left(w-\omega_{q}\right)
$$

we have

$$
\int_{\dot{A}_{r}} \int\left|\chi^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} d A=\frac{1}{2 i}\left\{\int_{r_{r}^{*}} \overline{\chi(w)} d \chi(w)-\int_{D_{r}} \overline{\chi(w)} d \chi(w)\right\} .
$$

Carrying out these calculations as was done in Section 2 gives (3.2).
Comparison of (2.3) and (3.2) suggests that (2.3) may be stronger due to the presence of the additional term on the left side of (2.3). However, the significant feature of (3.2) is that the numbers $\alpha_{j}$ may be chosen without restriction, whereas in (2.3) their sum must be zero. This leads, for example, to bounds on the Grunsky-type coefficients defined in (1.1)
and (3.1). Choosing $\zeta_{Q}=0$ all $q, a_{1}=1, z_{1}=z$ and $n=1$ in (3.2) yields the following.

Corollary 3.1. If the conditions of Theorem 3 are fulfilled, then for $z$ in $\Delta$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k\left(\left|\sum_{h=1}^{\infty} A_{k h} z^{h}\right|^{2}+\left|\sum_{h=1}^{\infty} u_{k h} z^{z^{\mid}}\right|^{2}\right) \leqslant \log \left(\frac{1}{1-|z|^{2}}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again choosing $\zeta_{q}=0$ in (3.1) and using the methods of Section 2, we can derive inequalities like those in (2.13).

Corollary 3.2. If along with the conditions of Theorem 3 we have $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j}$ $=\sum_{h=1}^{N} \delta_{h}=0$, for complex numbers $a_{j}$ and $\delta_{h}$, and $x_{h}$ is in $\Delta$, for $h=1,2, \ldots N$, then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{h=1}^{N} a_{j} \delta_{h} \log \left\{\frac{f\left(x_{h}\right)-f\left(z_{j}\right)}{x_{h}-z_{j}}\right\}\right|^{2}+\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{h=1}^{N} a_{j} \delta_{h} \log \left(1-f\left(x_{h}\right) g\left(z_{j}\right)\right)\right|^{2}  \tag{3.4}\\
\leqslant \sum_{h, j=1}^{N} \delta_{h} \overline{\delta_{t}} \log \left(\frac{1}{1-x_{h} \overline{x_{h}}}\right) \cdot \sum_{h, j=1}^{n} a_{j} \overline{a_{h}} \log \left(\frac{1}{1-z_{j} \overline{z_{h}}}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

Now, choosing $a_{1}=\delta_{1}=1, a_{2}=\delta_{2}=-1, z_{1}=x_{1}=z, z_{2}=x_{2}=0$ and $n=N=2$ in (3.4) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\log \left(\frac{z^{2} f^{\prime}(0) f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)^{2}}\right)_{\mid}^{2}+\left|\log \left(\frac{1-f(z) g(z)}{1-f(z) g(0)}\right)\right|^{2} \leqslant\left(\log \left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\right)^{2}\right. \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This can be reduced further to give bounds like those in (2.18) and (2.19).
In conclusion we will apply the method of the last theorem to the case of a single univalent function.

Theorem 4. If $f(z)$ is univalent in $\Delta, f(0)=0, f[\Delta] \subset \Delta$, and (1.1) holds along woith

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log (1-f(z) f(\zeta))=\sum_{k, h=0}^{\infty} v_{k h} z^{k} \zeta^{h} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} v_{k h} z_{j}^{h}+\sum_{q=1}^{m} \beta_{q} \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} A_{k h} \zeta_{q}\right|^{2}+  \tag{3.7}\\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} A_{k h} z_{j}^{h}+\sum_{q=1}^{m} \beta_{q} \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} v_{k h} \zeta_{q}^{h}\right|^{2} \\
& \quad \leqslant \sum_{j, k=1}^{n} a_{j} \bar{\alpha}_{k} \log \left(\frac{1}{1-z_{j} \overline{z_{k}}}\right)+\sum_{j, k=1}^{m} \beta_{j} \overline{\beta_{k}} \log \left(\frac{1}{1-\zeta_{j} \overline{\zeta_{k}}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for complex numbers $a_{j}$ and $\beta_{j}$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j}=0$ and $z_{j}$ and $\zeta_{Q}$ are in $\Delta$.
Using the notation defined above we let $B_{r}$ be the annular region bounded by $T_{r} \cup T_{r}^{*}$ for $0<r<1$. And, let $w_{j}=f\left(z_{j}\right), j=1,2, \ldots, n$ and $W_{j}=f\left(\zeta_{j}\right), j=1,2, \ldots, m$. Then for $r$ sufficiently large

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Lambda^{\prime}(w) & =\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j}\left(w-w_{j}\right)^{-1}+\sum_{Q=1}^{m} \beta_{q}\left(1-w W_{q}\right)^{-1} \text { and } \\
\Lambda(w) & =\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j} \log \left(w-w_{j}\right)+\sum_{q=1}^{m} \beta_{q} \log \left(1-w W_{q}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

are regular in $B_{r}$ and

$$
\left.\iint_{A_{r}} \int^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} d A=\frac{1}{2 i}\left[\int_{r_{r}^{*}} \overline{\Lambda(w)} d \Lambda(w)-\int_{\Gamma_{r}} \overline{\Lambda(w)} d \Lambda(w)\right]
$$

is non-negative. Carrying through the calculations as above gives (3.7).
To illustrate an application of (3.7) we first choose $\zeta_{Q}=0$ for all $q$ in (3.7); this gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} v_{k q} z^{q}\right|^{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} A_{k q} z_{j}^{q}\right|^{2}  \tag{3.8}\\
& \leqslant \sum_{j, k=1}^{n} a_{j} \overline{\alpha_{k}} \log \left(\frac{1}{1-z_{j} \overline{z_{k}}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Making calculations similar to (2.12) and (2.14) we can obtain the following from (3.8).

Corollary 4.1. If along with the conditions of Theorem 4 we assume that $x_{k} \in \Delta, k=1,2, \ldots, N$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{N} \delta_{k}=0$ for generic complex numbers $\delta_{k}$, then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{N} a_{j} \delta_{h} \log \left\{\frac{f\left(x_{k}\right)-f\left(z_{j}\right)}{x_{k}-z_{j}}\right\}^{2}+\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{N} a_{j} \delta_{k} \log \left\{1-f\left(x_{k}\right) f\left(z_{j}\right)\right\}\right|^{2}\right.  \tag{3.9}\\
\leqslant \sum_{k, j=1}^{N} \delta_{k} \overline{\delta_{j}} \log \left(1-x_{k} \overline{x_{j}}\right) \cdot \sum_{k, j=1}^{n} a_{k} \overline{a_{j}} \log \left(1-z_{k} \bar{z}_{j}\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

As an example, we choose $n=N=2, a_{1}=\delta_{1}=1, x_{1}=z_{1}=z$ and $x_{2}=z_{2}=0$. The result can be rephrased as follows.

Corollary 4.2. If $f(z)$ is regular and univalent in $\Delta, f(0)=0$ and $|f(z)|<1, z \in \Delta$, then, for $z$ in $\Delta$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\log \left\{\frac{z^{2} f^{\prime}(0) f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)^{2}}\right\}\right|^{2}+\left|\log \left\{1-f(z)^{2}\right\}\right|^{2} \leqslant\left\{\log \left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\right\}^{2} . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Dropping the first term on the left of (3.10) reduces it to the Schwarz Lemma, whereas dropping the next term gives

$$
\left|\frac{z^{2} f^{\prime}(0) f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)^{2}}\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{1-|z|^{2}},
$$

or

$$
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right| \leqslant \frac{|f(z)|}{\left|z f^{\prime}(0)\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\right|}
$$
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## STRESZCZENIE

W pracy tej stosujo się metodę nierównósci Grunsky'ego do par funkcji jednolistuych i ograniczonych, majacych rozlaczne zbiory przyjmowanych wartosci. Otrzymano w ten sposób pewne nowe oszacowania dla funkeji jednolistnych i ograniczonych.

## РЕЗЮME

В настоящей работе применяется метод неравенств Грунского для пар однолистных и ограниченных, которые принимают значения из непересекающихся областей.

Получены некоторые новые оценки для однолистных и ограниченных функций.

