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## Estimates of Constants Connected with Linearly Invariant Families of Functions


#### Abstract

In this note we present estimates of some constants connected with linearly invariant families of functions. We estimate the constant sup cos $_{\rho}$ : $f=\log h^{\prime}$, ord $\left.h=\alpha\right\}$, where $c$, is defined by (1.1). Moreover, we extend a result of Pfaltzgraff on the univalence of a certain integral. We also establish some results concerning the coefficients of Bloch functions.


## 1. Estimates of constants

Let $\mathbb{D}$ denote the open unit disc in the complex plane. For an analytic function $f$ on $\mathbb{D}$ we set

$$
\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}=\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| .
$$

The Bloch space $\mathcal{B}$ is the set of all analytic functions $f$ on $\mathbb{D}$ for which $\|f\|_{B}<\infty$. The quantity $|f(0)|+\|f\|_{B}$ defines a norm of the linear space $\mathcal{B}$ which, equipped with this norm, is a Banach space (see, e.g. [1], [7]).

Let

$$
\mathcal{B}(0)=\{f: f \in \mathcal{B}, f(0)=0\}
$$
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and

$$
\mathcal{B}_{1}=\left\{f: f \in \mathcal{B}(0),\|f\|_{B} \leq 1\right\} .
$$

As usual, let $S$ denote the class of functions $g(z)=z+\cdots$ that are analytic and univalent in $\mathbb{D}$. J.M. Anderson, J. Clunie and Ch. Pommerenke ([1]) put the following problem:

Find the best universal constant $c, c>0$ in the representation

$$
f(z)-f(0)=c \log g^{\prime}(z)
$$

where $f \in \mathcal{B}$ and $g \in S$. Of course, it suffices to solve this problem for the class $\mathcal{B}(0)$. Thus, if $f \in \mathcal{B}(0)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{f}=\inf \left\{c: f=c \log g^{\prime}, g \in S\right\} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we are interested in finding

$$
C=\sup _{f \in \mathcal{B}(0)} c_{f}
$$

Let us note that $\sup \left\{c: f=c \log g^{\prime}, g \in S\right\}=\infty$.
For $a \in \mathbb{D}$ let the Möbius function $\Phi_{a}: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be defined by the formula

$$
\Phi_{a}(z)=\frac{a+z}{1+\tilde{a} z} e^{i \theta}, \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

If $f$ is a function locally univalent in $\mathbb{D}$ then the order of $f$ is defined in the following way

$$
\operatorname{ord} f=\sup _{a \in D}\left|\left\{\frac{f\left(\Phi_{a}(z)\right)-f(a)}{f^{\prime}(a)\left(1-|a|^{2}\right)}\right\}_{2}\right|,
$$

where $\{h(z)\}_{2}$ denotes the second Taylor coefficient of the function $h(z)=z+\cdots$.

The universal linearly invariant (or universal Möbius invariant) family $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}$ (see [6]) is the class of all functions $f(z)=z+\cdots$ analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ such that
$1^{0} f^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{D}$,
$2^{\circ}$ ord $f \leq \alpha$.

The authors proved the following result.
Lemma 1.1 [4]. $f \in \mathcal{B}$ if and only if there exists a function $h \in \bigcup_{a<\infty} \mathcal{U}_{\alpha}$ such that $f(z)-f(0)=\log h^{\prime}(z)$.

This Lemma allows us to obtain some properties of Bloch functions in terms of the order of a corresponding function from $\bigcup_{\alpha<\infty} \mathcal{U}_{\alpha}$.

Let us foliate the class $\mathcal{B}(0)$ with respect to parameters $\alpha$ and consider the problem of finding

$$
C_{\alpha}=\sup \left\{c_{f}: f=\log h^{\prime}, \text { ord } h=\alpha\right\}
$$

where $c_{f}$ is defined by (1.1).
Theorem 1.1. For each $\alpha \in[1, \infty)$

$$
2 \alpha \geq C_{\alpha} \geq\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\alpha-1, & \text { if } & \alpha \in[1,2] \\
(\alpha+1) / 3, & \text { if } & \alpha \in[2, \infty)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. Let $\alpha \in[1, \infty)$ be fixed and let $h$ be an arbitrary, locally univalent function of order $\alpha$. Then there exist a constant $c>0$ and a function $g \in S$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log h^{\prime}=c \log g^{\prime}=f \in \mathcal{B} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If for a fixed $h$ we put in (1.2) $c=c_{f}-\varepsilon, \varepsilon>0$, then the function $g$ cannot be univalent. Therefore

$$
g(z)=\int_{0}^{z}\left(h^{\prime}(\zeta)\right)^{1 /\left(c_{f}-\varepsilon\right)} d \zeta \notin S .
$$

Thus, by a result of Pfaltzgraff ([5]) we get

$$
\frac{1}{c_{f}-\varepsilon}>\frac{1}{2 \alpha} .
$$

Since $\varepsilon$ arbitrary, we get

$$
c_{\alpha} \leq 2 \alpha .
$$

Moreover, it follows from (1.2), cf. [6], that

$$
\alpha=\operatorname{ord} h=\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}}\left|\frac{g^{\prime \prime}(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)} \frac{1-|z|^{2}}{2} c-\bar{z}\right|=
$$

$\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}}\left|\left(\frac{g^{\prime \prime}(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)} \frac{1-|z|^{2}}{2}-\bar{z}\right) c+(c-1) \bar{z}\right| \leq \operatorname{cord} g+|c-1| \leq 2 c+|c-1|$.
Let us consider two cases.
(i) If $c \geq 1$, then $c \geq(\alpha+1) / 3$. Thus

$$
c \geq \max \{1,(\alpha+1) / 3\}
$$

(ii) If $0<c<1$, then $c \geq \alpha-1$. It follows from (i) and (ii) that

$$
c \geq\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\alpha-1, & \text { if } & \alpha \in[1,2] \\
(\alpha+1) / 3, & \text { if } & \alpha \in[2, \infty)
\end{array}\right.
$$

The above inequality is true for $c_{f}$ (thus for $C_{f}$, too), because in (1.2) we can choose $c$ sufficiently close to $c_{f}$.

Now, observe that it follows from the definition of the constant $C$ that $C \geq C_{\alpha}$ for all $\alpha \in[1, \infty)$. So, using Theorem 1.1 we get $C=\infty$.

Let us remark that for $\alpha=1$ Theorem 1.1 gives a lower estimate of $C_{\alpha}$. There exists a function $f_{0} \in \mathcal{B}(0)$ such that $c_{f_{0}}=0$. Indeed, if $h_{0}(z)=g_{0}(z)=z$, then $f_{0}(z)=c \log g_{0}^{\prime}(z)$ for all $c>0$. Thus $c_{f_{0}}=0$.

For each complex number $\lambda$ the non-linear operator $\mathbf{T}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$,

$$
\mathbf{T}_{\lambda}(f)(z)=\int_{0}^{z}\left(f^{\prime}(\zeta)\right)^{\lambda} d \zeta
$$

maps the class of functions $f(z)=z+\cdots$ analytic and locally univalent in $\mathbb{D}$ into itself. J.A. Pfaltzgraff ([5], also see [3] ) showed that $T_{\lambda}(f)$ is univalent, if $f \in \mathcal{U}_{\alpha}$ and $|\lambda| \leq 1 /(2 \alpha)$. W.C. Royster ([8], also see [3]) has shown that $\mathbf{T}_{\lambda}(S) \not \subset S$ for each complex $\lambda \neq 1$ in the range $|\lambda|>1 / 3$. The next theorem extends the above results result.

Theorem 1.2. For all $\alpha>1$ and each complex $\mu \neq 1$ and $|\mu|>2[3(\alpha-1)]^{-1}$ :

$$
\mathbf{T}_{\mu}\left(\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}\right) \not \subset S
$$

Proof. W.C. Royster ([8], also see [3]) considered the function

$$
F(z)=\exp [\nu \log (1-z)], \quad \nu \neq 0
$$

which is univalent in $\mathbb{D}$ for $|\nu+1| \leq 1$ or $|\nu-1| \leq 1$. Thus the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z)=\frac{F(z)-F(0)}{F^{\prime}(0)} \in S \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

He proved that for these functions the integral $\int_{0}^{z}\left(g^{\prime}(\zeta)\right)^{\lambda} d \zeta$ does not belong to $S$, if $|\lambda|>1 / 3, \lambda \neq 1$.

Let us denote by $g_{-}$the function of the form (1.3) with the parameter $\nu=-1$ and let

$$
h_{\gamma}(z)=\int_{0}^{z}\left(g_{-}^{\prime}(\zeta)\right)^{\gamma} d \zeta, \quad \gamma<0 .
$$

For the function $h_{\gamma}$ we have ([6])

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { ord } h_{\gamma}=\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}}\left|\frac{1-|z|^{2}}{2} \gamma \frac{g_{-}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{g_{-}^{\prime}(z)}-\bar{z}\right|=\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}}\left|\frac{1-|z|^{2}}{1-z} \gamma-\bar{z}\right|= \\
2|\gamma|+1=1-2 \gamma .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $\alpha=1-2 \gamma$. Then

$$
h_{(1-\alpha) / 2} \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{a}}
$$

for all $\alpha>1$. Now, let us consider the function

$$
h_{\mu(1-\alpha) / 2}(z)=\int_{0}^{z}\left(g_{-}^{\prime}(\zeta)\right)^{\mu(1-\alpha) / 2} d \zeta=\int_{J_{0}}^{z}\left(h_{(1-\alpha) / 2}^{\prime}(\zeta)\right)^{\mu} d \zeta,
$$

where $\mu \in \mathbf{C}$. By the result of W.C. Royster ([8]) we get $h_{\mu(1-a) / 2} \notin$ $S$, if $\mu(1-\alpha) / 2 \neq 1$ and $|\mu(1-\alpha)| / 2>1 / 3$.

## 2. Coefficients of Bloch functions

In this section we deal with coefficients of functions from the class $\mathcal{B}_{1}$. F.G. Avhadiev and I. Kayumov ([2]) gave the following result

Theorem AK. If $f \in \mathcal{B}_{1}$ then for every non-increasing sequence $\delta_{n} \geq 0$ we have

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k\left|a_{k}\right|^{2} \delta_{k} \leq e \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_{k}
$$

The following theorem improves the above result.

## Theorem 2.1. If $f \in \mathcal{B}_{1}$ then

(i)

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{2}\left|a_{k}\right|^{2}\left(\sum_{l=2}^{\infty} \frac{\delta_{l}}{l^{2}+l}\left(\frac{l-1}{l+1}\right)^{(k-1) / 2}\right) \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \delta_{k},
$$

for $\delta_{k} \geq 0$,
(ii)

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k\left|a_{k}\right|^{2} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{l}{l+1}\right)^{k}\left(\delta_{l}-\delta_{l+1}\right) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \dot{\delta}_{k}
$$

for $\delta_{k} \geq 0$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_{k}<\infty$,
(iii) $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k\left|a_{k}\right|^{2}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{n}\left(\frac{l}{l+1}\right)^{n}\left(\delta_{l}-\delta_{l+1}\right)+\delta_{n+1}\left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^{k}\right) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n} \delta_{k}$,
for all positive $n$ and real $\delta_{k}$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \delta_{k} \geq 0$.
Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{B}_{1}$. By the Parseval formula we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2} d \theta=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{2}\left|a_{k}\right|^{2} r^{2(k-1)} \leq \frac{1}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{2}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $r \in[0,1)$. Now, put $t=1 /\left(1-r^{2}\right) \in[1, \infty)$. Let us consider a function $g, g(t)=\delta_{n}$ for $t \in[n, n+1)$. The series

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{2}\left|a_{k}\right|^{2}\left(\frac{t-1}{t}\right)^{k-1} \frac{g(t)}{t^{2}}
$$

is uniformly convergence on all compact subsets of $[1, \infty)$. By (2.1), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k^{2}\left|a_{k}\right|^{2} \int_{1}^{n+1}\left(\frac{t-1}{t}\right)^{k-1} \frac{g(t)}{t^{2}} d t \leq \int_{0}^{n+1} g(t) d t=\sum_{l=1}^{n} \delta_{l} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover we have

$$
\int_{1}^{n+1} g(t)\left(1-\frac{1}{t}\right)^{k-1} \frac{d t}{t^{2}}=\sum_{l=1}^{n} \delta_{l} \int_{l}^{l+1}\left(1-\frac{1}{t}\right)^{k-1} \frac{d t}{t^{2}}=
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{1}{k} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \delta_{l}\left[\left(\frac{l}{l+1}\right)^{k}-\left(\frac{l-1}{l}\right)^{k}\right] \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The arithmetic - geometric means inequality for $0<a<b$ gives

$$
b^{k}-a^{k} \geq k(b-a) a^{(k-1) / 2} b^{(k-1) / 2}
$$

Therefore

$$
\left(\frac{l}{l+1}\right)^{k}-\left(\frac{l-1}{l}\right)^{k} \geq k \frac{1}{l(l+1)}\left(\frac{l-1}{l+1}\right)^{(k-1) / 2}
$$

Thus it follows from (2.2) that

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{2}\left|a_{k}\right|^{2}\left(\sum_{l=2}^{n} \frac{\delta_{l}}{l(l+1)}\left(\frac{l-1}{l+1}\right)^{(k-1) / 2}\right) \leq \sum_{l=1}^{n} \delta_{l} .
$$

For $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get the inequality (i).

Now, using (2.3) we obtain

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k\left|a_{k}\right|^{2}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{n}\left(\frac{l}{l+1}\right)^{k}\left(\delta_{l}-\delta_{l+1}\right)+\delta_{n+1}\left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^{k}\right) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n} \delta_{k}
$$

If $n \rightarrow \infty$ then
$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k\left|a_{k}\right|^{2} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{l}{l+1}\right)^{i k}\left(\delta_{l}-\delta_{l+1}\right)+\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k\left|a_{k}\right|^{2}\left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^{k} \leq$

$$
\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_{k}
$$

We will show that the above limit is equal 0 .
Let us observe that

$$
A_{f}(r)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{||z|<r}\left|f^{\prime}\left(x e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2}|d z|=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k\left|a_{k}\right|^{2} r^{2 k} \leq \frac{r^{2}}{1-r^{2}}
$$

Then

$$
\delta_{n} \cdot A_{f}(\sqrt{1-1 / n}) \leq \delta_{n} \cdot(n-1)
$$

Because the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_{n}$ is convergent we have $\delta_{n} \cdot(n-1) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k\left|a_{k}\right|^{2}\left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^{k}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_{n} A_{f}(\sqrt{1-1 / n}=0
$$

and we get the inequality (ii). The proof of the inequality (iii) is analogous.
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