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A Modulus for the Nearly Uniform Convexity


#### Abstract

In this paper we define an "outside" modulus for the nearly uniform convexity and study its properties useful in fixed point theory for nonexpansive mappings. Moreover, we calculate this modulus in separable Hilbert spaces.


0. Introduction. In the geometric theory of Banach spaces the notion of modulus of convexity plays a very significant role. It allows us to classify Banach spaces from the point of view of their geometrical structure. In this context, the classical Clarkson modulus of convexity defined by Day [D] while considering the definition of uniform convexity due to Clarkson [C], is a useful tool in the fixed point theory. A lot of facts concerning this notion and its applications may be found in [GK], [ADL] and [O], for example.

Recently, K. Goebel, T. Sȩkowski, J. Banaś et al. [GS], [B], [DL] proposed several generalizations of the notion of modulus of convexity using some measures of noncompactness. With these moduli (so called moduli of noncompact convexity), they proved several interesting facts concerning the

[^0]geometric theory of Banach spaces. Moreover, these moduli are suitable for the nearly uniformly convex spaces introduced in [H] in the same sense as the classical modulus of convexity of Clarkson is suitable for the uniformly convex spaces. In $[\mathrm{Ku}]$ a characterization of the nearly uniform convexity is proved considering sets which lie outside of the unit ball, instead of sets in the ball as in the original definition. The aim of this paper is to introduce an "outside"modulus of nearly uniform convexity and to prove its usefulness to the geometric theory of Banach spaces and to the theory of nonexpansive mappings. In the first section we shall show the relationship between this mapping and the moduli of noncompact convexity, deriving a fixed point result. In section 2 we compute the new modulus in separable Hilbert spaces.

1. Notations, definitions and first results. Let $X$ be an infinite dimensional Banach space with closed unit ball $B_{X}$ and unit sphere $S_{X}$. Let $B(x, r)$ denote the closed ball centered at $x$ and of radius $r$ and for any $A \subset X, \bar{A}$ and $\operatorname{co}(A)$ will denote the closure and the convex hull of $A$, respectively. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the family of bounded subsets of $X$. A map $\mu: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ is called a measure of noncompactness defined on $X$ if $\mu(A)=0$ if and only if $A$ is a precompact set. The first measure of noncompactness (set-measure, denoted by $\alpha(A)$ ) was defined by Kuratowski in $[K]$ as $\inf \{\varepsilon \geq 0: A$ can be covered by finitely many sets with diameter $\leq \varepsilon\}$. Another measure of noncompactness (ball-measure, denoted by $\chi(A)$ ) was introduced by several authors (see [GGM] or [S]) as $\inf \{\varepsilon \geq 0: A$ can be covered by finitely many balls with diameter $\leq \varepsilon\}$. In [WW, page 91] another measure of noncompactness is defined by $\beta(A)=\sup \left\{\varepsilon \geq 0\right.$ : there exists a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $A$ with $\left.\operatorname{sep}\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right)>\varepsilon\right\}$, where $\operatorname{sep}\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right)=\inf \left\{\left\|x_{n}-x_{m}\right\|, n \neq m\right\}$. It is easy to prove that $\chi(A) \leq \beta(A) \leq \alpha(A) \leq 2 \chi(A)$ for every bounded subset $A$ of $X$. Throughout this paper we denote by $\mu$ any of these measures of noncompactness. The main properties of these measures can be found in [AKPRS] or [ADL].

We will use in this paper the following:
(1) $\mu(A)=\mu(\bar{A})$,
(2) $\mu(A \cup B)=\max \{\mu(A), \mu(B)\}$,
(3) $\mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$ if $A \subset B$,
(4) $\mu(t A)=|t| \mu(A)$,
(5) $\mu(c o(A))=\mu(A)$.

Associated with these measures of noncompactness, the following modulus of noncompact convexity for a Banach space $X$ was considered in [GS] (with $\mu=\alpha$ ), [B] (with $\mu=\chi$ ) and [DL] (with $\mu=\beta$ ):
$\Delta_{X, \mu}:\left[0, \mu\left(B_{X}\right)\right] \rightarrow[0,1] ;$

$$
\Delta_{X, \mu}(\varepsilon)=1-\sup \left\{\inf \{\|x\|: x \in A\}: A=\operatorname{co}(A) \subset B_{X}, \mu(A)>\varepsilon\right\} .
$$

Let us remember that $\alpha\left(B_{X}\right)=2$ and $\chi\left(B_{X}\right)=1$ on every Banach space. However, $\beta\left(B_{X}\right)$ is a real number in the interval $[1,2]$ which depends on the space $X$. For example, if $1<p<+\infty$ then $\beta\left(B_{\ell p}\right)=2^{1 / p}$ (see [ADL]).

This modulus measures the rotundity of the unit ball in similar way, as the classical Clarkson modulus of convexity given by $\delta_{X}:[0,2] \rightarrow[0,1]$ :

$$
\delta_{X}(\varepsilon)=\inf \left\{1-\left\|\frac{x+y}{2}\right\|:\|x\|=\|y\|=1,\|x-y\| \geq \varepsilon\right\} .
$$

The coefficient of convexity of $X$ is defined by

$$
\delta_{0}(X)=\sup \left\{\varepsilon \geq 0: \delta_{X}(\varepsilon)=0\right\}
$$

and the space $X$ is said to be uniformly convex if and only if $\delta_{0}(X)=0$. Analogously we can define the coefficient of noncompact convexity of $X$ as $\Delta_{0, \mu}(X)=\sup \left\{\varepsilon \geq 0: \Delta_{X, \mu}(\varepsilon)=0\right\}$.

A Banach space $X$ is said to be nearly uniformly convex if and only if $\Delta_{0, \mu}(X)=0$. This property was originally introduced in [ H ] and was intensively studied in the last years (see [P], [KL1], [KL2], [Ku], [ADL] and references therein).

Let $X$ be a Banach space. The drop $D_{x}$ defined by an element $x \in X \backslash B_{X}$ is the set $\operatorname{co}\left(\{x\} \cup B_{X}\right)$, and we set $R_{x}=D_{x} \backslash B_{X}$. In [Ku] the following useful characterization of the nearly uniform convexity was given:

Proposition 1.1. A Banach space $X$ is nearly uniformly convex if and only if for each $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $\delta>0$ such that if $x \in X$ with $1<\|x\|<1+\delta$, then $\sup \left\{\mu(C): C \subset R_{x}, C\right.$ convex $\}<\varepsilon$.

In order to simplify the notation, if $A$ is a bounded subset of $X$ we write $\tilde{\mu}(A)=\sup \{\mu(C): C \subset A, C$ convex $\}$. Obviously $\tilde{\mu}$ is not in general a measure of noncompactness. For example, if $X=\ell^{p}$ and $A=\left\{e_{n}: n \in \mathbf{N}\right\}$ where $e_{n}=\left(0,0, \ldots, 1^{n}, 0, \ldots\right)$, then $\left\|e_{n}-e_{m}\right\|=2^{1 / p}$ for every $n \neq m$ and so $\alpha(A)=2^{1 / p}$. However, $\tilde{\alpha}(A)=0$ because $A$ does not contain any convex set with more than one point.

We are going to define a new modulus for the near uniform convexity using this characterization. We shall need the following lemma.

Lemma 1.2. Let $X$ be a Banach space and $x \in X \backslash B_{X}$. Then

$$
\frac{\mu\left(B_{X}\right)(\|x\|-1)}{\|x\|} \leq \bar{\mu}\left(R_{x}\right) \leq \mu\left(B_{X}\right) .
$$

Proof. Since $R_{x} \subset \operatorname{co}\left(\{x\} \cup B_{X}\right)$, we deduce from the properties of $\mu$ that $\tilde{\mu}\left(R_{x}\right) \leq \mu\left(B_{X}\right)$.

In order to prove the second inequality, we consider two arbitrary real numbers $\delta$ and $d$ such that $\delta>0$ and $0<d<1$. For every $y \in S_{X}$ we define the set $M(d y, \delta)=B(d y, 1-d+\delta) \backslash B_{X}$. Observe that $\delta \mu\left(B_{X}\right) \leq$ $\check{\mu}(M(d y, \delta))$.

Indeed, consider the closed ball $B(y, \delta)$ and let $z \in B(y, \delta)$. Then

$$
\|z-d y\|=\|z-(y-(1-d) y)\|=\|(1-d) y+z-y\| \leq 1-d+\delta .
$$

Therefore $B(y, \delta) \subset B(d y, 1-d+\delta)$. Let $f$ be a linear functional in the dual space $X^{*}$ such that $\|f\|=f(y)=1$. Then

$$
F=\{x \in B(y, \delta): f(x)>1\} \subset M(d y, \delta) .
$$

Since $\mu(F)=\delta \mu\left(B_{X}\right)$ and $F$ is convex, we deduce that

$$
\delta \mu\left(B_{X}\right) \leq \tilde{\mu}(M(d y, \delta)) .
$$

Let now $t>1$ and $0<\delta<(t-1) / t$. Choosing $d=t \delta /(t-1)$, we obtain $0<\delta<d<1$ and $t=d /(d-\delta)$. Using this and [KP, Proposition 1] we conclude that $M(d y, \delta) \subset R_{t y}$ and so $\delta \mu\left(B_{X}\right) \leq \tilde{\mu}(M(d y, \delta)) \leq \tilde{\mu}\left(R_{t y}\right)$.

Since $\delta$ is arbitrary up to the condition $0<\delta<(t-1) / t$, we obtain $\mu\left(B_{X}\right)(t-1) / t \leq \tilde{\mu}\left(R_{t y}\right)$, which is the required inequality for every $x \in X \backslash B_{X}$.

Corollary 1.3. For every $\varepsilon \in\left[0, \mu\left(B_{X}\right)\right)$ and $y \in S_{X}$ there exists $t>1$ such that

$$
\tilde{\mu}\left(R_{t y}\right) \geq \frac{\mu\left(B_{X}\right)(t-1)}{t}>\varepsilon
$$

Let us define now the following function:
Definition 1.4. Let $X$ be a Banach space. We define the following modulus associated to the measure of noncompactness $\mu: D_{X, \mu}:\left[0, \mu\left(B_{X}\right)\right) \rightarrow$ $[0,+\infty)$ given by

$$
D_{X, \mu}(\varepsilon)=\inf \left\{\|x\|-1: x \in X \backslash B_{X}, \tilde{\mu}\left(R_{x}\right) \geq \varepsilon\right\} .
$$

The coefficient of noncompact convexity of $X$ corresponding to this modulus is the number $D_{0, \mu}(X)=\sup \left\{\varepsilon \geq 0: D_{X, \mu}(\varepsilon)=0\right\}$. From Proposition 1.1 we conclude that a Banach space $X$ is nearly uniformly convex if and only if $D_{0, \mu}(X)=0$.

Proposition 1.5. Let $X$ be a Banach space. The mapping $D_{X, \mu}$ has the following properties:
(a) $D_{X, \mu}$ is nondecreasing in $\left[0, \mu\left(B_{X}\right)\right)$ and $D_{X, \mu}(0)=0$.
(b) For every $\varepsilon \in\left[0, \mu\left(B_{X}\right)\right)$ we have $0 \leq D_{X, \mu}(\varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon /\left(\mu\left(B_{X}\right)-\varepsilon\right)$.
(c) $D_{X, \mu}$ is continuous at zero.
(d) For every $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \mu\left(B_{X}\right) / 2\right)$ we have $D_{X, \mu}(\varepsilon)<2 \varepsilon / \mu\left(B_{X}\right)$.

## Proof.

(a) It is a trivial consequence of the definition.
(b) Let $\varepsilon \in\left[0, \mu\left(B_{X}\right)\right)$ and $x \in X \backslash B_{X}$ such that $\|x\|>\mu\left(B_{X}\right) /\left(\mu\left(B_{X}\right)-\varepsilon\right)$.

Then $\varepsilon<\mu\left(B_{X}\right)(\|x\|-1) /\|x\| \leq \tilde{\mu}\left(R_{x}\right)$, and so

$$
\inf \left\{\|x\|: \ddot{\mu}\left(R_{x}\right) \geq \varepsilon\right\}=1+D_{X, \mu}(\varepsilon) \leq \frac{\mu\left(B_{X}\right)}{\mu\left(B_{X}\right)-\varepsilon}
$$

Hence $D_{X, \mu}(\varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon /\left(\mu\left(B_{\boldsymbol{X}}\right)-\varepsilon\right)$.
(c) It follows from (b).
(d) If $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \mu\left(B_{X}\right) / 2\right)$ then $\mu\left(B_{X}\right)-\varepsilon>\mu\left(B_{X}\right) / 2$ and the result follows from (b).

The following theorem shows the relationship between the new modulus defined above and the noncompact modulus of convexity in the general class of all Banach spaces for the measure of noncompactness $\beta$.

Theorem 1.6. Let $X$ be a Banach space. Then $\Delta_{0, \beta}(X) \leq 2 D_{0, \beta}(X) \leq$ $4 \Delta_{0, \beta}(X)$.

Proof. In order to obtain the first inequality, it suffices to prove that

$$
D_{X, \beta}(\varepsilon) \leq 2\left(D_{X, \beta}(\varepsilon)+2\right) \Delta_{X, \beta}(\varepsilon) \text { for all } \varepsilon \in\left[0, \frac{\mu\left(B_{X}\right)}{2}\right)
$$

Since the inequality is obvious if $D_{X, \beta}(\varepsilon)=0$, we can suppose that $D_{X, \beta}(\varepsilon)=s>0$. Let $r$ be a real number such that $0<r<s$ and $A$ a convex subset of $B_{X}$ with $\beta(A)>2 \varepsilon$. Let us consider a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $A$ with $\operatorname{sep}\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right) \geq 2 \varepsilon$. We are proving by contradiction that

$$
\operatorname{co}\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right) \cap\left(1-\frac{r}{s+2}\right) B_{X} \neq \emptyset .
$$

To reach a contradiction we take $y=(1+r) x_{1}, y_{n}=\left(y+x_{n}\right) / 2, n \in \mathbf{N}$. Observe that $1<\|y\|<1+s$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\} \subset R_{y}$. Indeed,

$$
\|y\|=(1+r)\left\|x_{1}\right\|<1+s
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|y\|> & (1+r)\left(1-\frac{r}{s+2}\right)=1+\frac{r(1+s-r)}{s+2}>1 \\
\left\|y_{n}\right\| & =\left\|\left(1+\frac{r}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1+r}{2+r} x_{1}+\frac{1}{2+r} x_{n}\right)\right\| \\
& >\left(1+\frac{r}{2}\right)\left(1-\frac{r}{s+2}\right)=1+\frac{r(s-r)}{2(s+2)}>1
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\left\{y_{n}\right\} \subset R_{y}$. Actually $\operatorname{co}\left(\left\{y_{n}\right\}\right) \subset R_{y}$. Indeed, for any choice $y_{n_{i}}, i=1,2, \ldots, k$ and positive coeffcients $\gamma_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, k, \sum_{i=1}^{k} \gamma_{i}=1$, we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k} \gamma_{i} y_{n_{i}}=\left(1+\frac{r}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1+r}{2+r} x_{1}+\frac{1}{2+r} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \gamma_{i} x_{n_{i}}\right)
$$

Thus $\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{k} \gamma_{i} y_{n_{i}}\right\|>1$ and so $\operatorname{co}\left(\left\{y_{n}\right\}\right) \subset R_{y}$. Since $\bar{\beta}\left(R_{y}\right)<\varepsilon$, we deduce that $\operatorname{sep}\left(\cos \left(\left\{y_{n}\right\}\right)<\varepsilon\right.$ and so $\operatorname{sep}\left(\left\{y_{n}\right\}\right)<\varepsilon$.

It follows that $\operatorname{sep}\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right)<2 \varepsilon$ and this contradicts our assumption. Therefore, there exists $z \in \operatorname{co}\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right)$ such that

$$
\|z\| \leq 1-\frac{r}{s+2}
$$

Hence, we have proved that if $A$ is a convex set contained in $B_{X}$ with $\beta(A)>2 \varepsilon$, we have $\inf \{\|x\|: x \in A\} \leq 1-r /(s+2)$ and so

$$
\sup \left\{\inf \{\|x\|: x \in A\}: A \subset B_{X}, A \text { convex, } \beta(A)>2 \varepsilon\right\} \leq 1-\frac{r}{s+2}
$$

It follows that $r \leq(s+2) \Delta_{X, \beta}(2 \varepsilon)$ and since $r$ was chosen arbitrarily smaller than $s=D_{X, \beta}(\varepsilon)$, we can conclude the required inequality.

In order to obtain the second inequality we are proving that

$$
\Delta_{X, \beta}(\varepsilon) \leq 2 \lim _{\varepsilon^{\prime} \rightarrow \varepsilon^{+}} D_{X, \beta}\left(2 \varepsilon^{\prime}\right) \text { for all } \varepsilon \in\left[0, \beta\left(B_{X}\right) / 2\right] .
$$

Suppose $\Delta_{X, \beta}(\varepsilon)>0$. Let $x \in X \backslash B_{X}$ such that $1<\|x\|<1+\Delta_{X, \beta}(\varepsilon) / 2$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset R_{x}$ such that $\operatorname{co}\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right) \subset R_{x}$. Then if $\gamma_{i} \geq 0, i=1,2, \ldots, k$; $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \gamma_{i}=1$, we have $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \gamma_{i} x_{n_{i}} \in R_{x}$ and so

$$
1<\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{k} \gamma_{i} x_{n_{i}}\right\|<1+\frac{\Delta_{X, \beta}(\varepsilon)}{2} .
$$

For every $n \in \mathrm{~N}$ we define $y_{n}=\left(1-\frac{\Delta_{x, s}(\varepsilon)}{2}\right) x_{n}$. Then

$$
\left\|y_{n}\right\| \leq\left(1-\frac{\Delta_{X, \beta}(\varepsilon)}{2}\right)\left(1+\frac{\Delta_{X, \beta}(\varepsilon)}{2}\right)<1
$$

and so $\operatorname{co}\left(\left\{y_{n}\right\}\right) \subset B_{X}$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{k} \gamma_{i} y_{n_{i}}\right\| & =\left(1-\frac{\Delta_{X, \beta}(\varepsilon)}{2}\right)\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{k} \gamma_{i} x_{n_{i}}\right\|>1-\frac{\Delta_{X, \beta}(\varepsilon)}{2} \\
& \Rightarrow \inf \left\{\|z\|: z \in \operatorname{co}\left(\left\{y_{n}\right\}\right)\right\} \geq 1-\frac{\Delta_{X, \beta}(\varepsilon)}{2}>1-\Delta_{X, \beta}(\varepsilon)
\end{aligned}
$$

and consequently,

$$
\beta\left(\operatorname{co}\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right)\right)=\frac{\beta\left(\operatorname{co}\left(\left\{y_{n}\right\}\right)\right)}{1-\frac{\Delta_{X, \beta}(\varepsilon)}{2}} \leq \frac{2 \varepsilon}{2-\Delta_{X, \beta}(\varepsilon)} \leq 2 \varepsilon
$$

Therefore, we have proved that if $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset R_{x}$ and $\operatorname{co}\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right) \subset R_{x}$ with $1<\|x\|<1+\Delta_{X, \beta}(\varepsilon) / 2$, then $\beta\left(\operatorname{co}\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right)\right) \leq 2 \varepsilon$ and so $\bar{\beta}\left(R_{x}\right) \leq 2 \varepsilon$. This implies that

$$
D_{X, \beta}\left(2 \varepsilon^{\prime}\right) \geq \frac{\Delta_{X, \beta}(\varepsilon)}{2}
$$

and the required inequality is obtained.
From [ADL, Chapters V and VI] and Theorem 1.6 we obtain the following result which is useful in fixed point theory for nonexpansive mappings [Ki]:

Corollary 1.7. If $D_{0, \beta}(X)<1 / 2$, then the space $X$ is reflexive and has normal structure.
2. Computation of the modulus in Hilbert spaces. Let us remember that if $H$ is a Hilbert space, for every $x \in H, x \neq 0$, there exists a unique $f \in H^{*}$ with $\|f\|=1$ such that $f(x)=\|x\|$. Hence, if $x \in S_{H}$ then there is a unique $f \in H^{*}$ such that $\|f\|=1=f(x)$. We shall denote this functional by $G_{x}$.

The purpose of the following lemmas is to calculate the measure $\tilde{\mu}$ of $R_{x}$ in this class of spaces.

Lemma 2.1. Let $H$ be a separable and infinite dimensional Hilbert space, $x \in H$ with $\|x\|=1$ and $\delta>1$. Then

$$
\tilde{\mu}\left(R_{\delta x}\right)=\mu\left(\left\{z \in R_{\delta x}: G_{x}(z) \geq 1\right\}\right) .
$$

Proof. Let $C$ be a convex set contained in $R_{\delta x}$. Using the Hahn-Banach theorem we obtain a functional $f \in H^{*}$ such that $\|f\|=1$ and $f(z) \geq 1$ for every $z \in C$. Moreover, since $H$ is reflexive and strictly convex, there exists a unique $y \in S_{H}$ such that $f(y)=1$ and so, we write $f=G_{y}$.

Let us see that $G_{y}(\delta x) \geq 1$. Indeed, if $G_{y}(\delta x)<1$, we take $z \in C, z=$ $\lambda u+(1-\lambda) \delta x$, with $u \in B_{H}$ and $0 \leq \lambda<1$ and we have

$$
G_{y}(z)=\lambda G_{y}(u)+(1-\lambda) G_{y}(\delta x)<1
$$

which is a contradiction.
Let us consider now the following sets:

$$
\begin{gathered}
A=\left\{z \in R_{\delta x}: G_{x}(z) \geq 1\right\}, B=\left\{z \in R_{\delta x}: G_{y}(z) \geq 1\right\}, \\
A_{1}=\left\{z \in R_{\delta x}: G_{x}(z) \geq 1, G_{y}(z) \leq 1\right\}, \\
B_{1}=\left\{z \in R_{\delta x}: G_{x}(z) \leq 1, G_{y}(z) \geq 1\right\}, \\
D=\left\{z \in R_{\delta x}: G_{x}(z) \geq 1, G_{y}(z) \geq 1\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

We have $A=A_{1} \cup D$ and $B=B_{1} \cup D$. In order to prove the proposition it suffices to obtain that $\mu\left(B_{1}\right) \leq \mu\left(A_{1}\right)$.

If $x=y$ then $A_{1}=B_{1}$ and the result is obvious. So we can suppose that $x \neq y$. Let us consider the unique vector $u=\lambda x+\mu y$ such that $G_{x}(u)=1$ and $G_{y}(u)=1$. Let $\sigma$ be the symmetry of centre $u$. We shall see that $\sigma\left(B_{1}\right) \subset A_{1}$.

Let $z \in B_{1}$. Then $\sigma(z)=z^{\prime}=2 u-z, G_{x}\left(z^{\prime}\right)=2-G_{x}(z) \geq 1$ and $G_{y}\left(z^{\prime}\right)=2-G_{y}(z) \leq 1$. To obtain that $z^{\prime} \in A_{1}$, we only have to show that $z^{\prime} \in R_{\delta x}$.

If $z \in B_{1}$ with $G_{y}(z)>1$ and $G_{x}(z)<1$, then it is not difficult to check that the line passing through $\delta x$ and $z$ intersects the sets $H_{x}=\{v \in H$ : $\left.G_{x}(v)=1\right\}$ and $H_{y}=\left\{v \in H: G_{y}(v)=1\right\}$ at two points, say $z_{x}$ and $z_{y}$, in such a way that $z$ is on the segment line $\left[z_{x}, z_{y}\right]$. Since $A \cup\{x\}$ is a convex set, it is enough to prove the result for the points $z \in B_{1} \cap H_{x}$, or $z \in B_{1} \cap H_{y}$.

Let $z$ be a point of $B_{1} \cap H_{x}$. We can suppose without loss of generality that $x, y$ and $z$ are linearly independent vectors. Let $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, \ldots.\right\}$ be a complete orthonormal system of $H$.

We consider the sequence $\left\{x, y, z, e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots ..\right\}$. Applying the Gram-Schmidt orthonormalization process we obtain a Schauder basis $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, \ldots ..\right\}$ of $H$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
x=(1,0,0,0, \ldots .), y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, 0,0, \ldots .\right), \quad z=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, 0, \ldots \ldots\right), \\
G_{x}=(1,0,0,0, \ldots .), \quad G_{y}=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, 0,0, \ldots .\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Moreover, from the conditions for $y$ and $z$, we have the following equalities and inequalities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{1}^{2}+y_{2}^{2}=1 \\
& \frac{1}{\delta} \leq y_{1}<1 \\
& G_{x}(z)=1 \Rightarrow z_{1}=1 \\
& G_{y}(z) \geq 1 \Rightarrow y_{1}+y_{2} z_{2} \geq 1 \\
& u=\left(1, \frac{1-y_{1}}{y_{2}}, 0,0,0, \ldots\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, the symmetric point of $z=\left(1, z_{2}, z_{3}, 0,0, \ldots\right)$ with respect to $u$ is

$$
z^{\prime}=\left(z_{1}^{\prime}, z_{2}^{\prime}, z_{3}^{\prime}, 0,0, \ldots\right)=\left(1, \frac{2\left(1-y_{1}\right)}{y_{2}}-z_{2},-z_{3}, 0,0,0, \ldots\right)
$$

Since $y_{1}+y_{2} z_{2} \geq 1$, it is not difficult to check that

$$
\delta^{2}\left(z_{2}^{\prime 2}+z_{3}^{\prime 2}\right)^{2} \leq\left(\delta-z_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+z_{2}^{\prime 2}+z_{3}^{\prime 2}<\delta\left(\delta-z_{1}^{\prime}\right)
$$

and this condition implies that the line $\left\{\lambda z^{\prime}+(1-\lambda) \delta x: \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\right\}$ intersects the unit ball in one or two points for $\lambda>1$, that is, $z^{\prime} \in R_{\delta x}$.

Similarly, if $z \in B_{1} \cap H_{y}$ we obtain the same result, and so $\sigma\left(B_{1}\right) \subset A_{1}$. This implies that $\mu\left(B_{1}\right) \leq \mu\left(A_{1}\right)$ and the proof is complete.

Lemma 2.2. Let $H$ be a separable and infinite dimensional Hilbert space, $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, \ldots \ldots.\right\}$ a complete orthonormal system of $H$ and $\delta>1$. Then:
(a) If $x \in H$ with $\|x\|=\delta>1$, we have $\tilde{\mu}\left(R_{x}\right)=\tilde{\mu}\left(R_{\delta e_{1}}\right)$.
(b) Let $S=\left\{x \in H: x=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_{i} e_{i}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots.\right),\|x\| \leq 1, x_{1}=\frac{1}{\delta}\right\}$. Then $\overline{\operatorname{co}}\left(R_{\delta e_{1}}\right)=\overline{\operatorname{co}}\left(S \cup\left\{\delta e_{1}\right\}\right)$.
(c) Let $A=\left\{x \in R_{\delta e_{1}}: G_{e_{1}}(x) \geq 1\right\}$ and $h$ the homothety with centre $\delta e_{1}$ and ratio $\frac{\delta+1}{\delta}$. Then $h\left(A \cup\left\{e_{1}\right\}\right)=\operatorname{co}\left(S \cup\left\{\delta e_{1}\right\}\right)$.

Proof. (a) Let us consider the sequence $\left\{x, e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, \ldots ..\right\}$. Applying the Gram-Schmidt orthonormalization process we can obtain a Schauder basis $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, \ldots \ldots.\right\}$ of $H$ such that $x=\delta u_{1}$. The mapping $f: H \rightarrow H$ defined by $f\left(u_{n}\right)=e_{n}$ for every $n \in \mathrm{~N}$ is an isometry such that $f(x)=f\left(\delta u_{1}\right)=\delta e_{1}$. Hence, we have $f\left(\operatorname{co}\left(\{x\} \cup B_{H}\right)=\operatorname{co}\left(f(x) \cup f\left(B_{H}\right)\right)=\operatorname{co}\left(\left\{\delta e_{1}\right\} \cup B_{H}\right)\right.$. Therefore $f\left(R_{x}\right)=R_{\delta_{e_{1}}}$ and we have just proved (a).
(b) Let $C=\{x \in S:\|x\|=1\}$. We shall prove the following facts:
(i) $S=\operatorname{co}(C)$.
(ii) $C \subset \overline{\operatorname{co}}\left(R_{\delta e_{1}}\right)$.
(iii) $R_{\delta e_{1}} \subset \operatorname{co}\left(\left\{\delta e_{1}\right\} \cup S\right)$.

Indeed,
(i) It suffices to note that $S-e_{1} / \delta$ is a closed ball, centered at 0 with radius $\left(1-1 / \delta^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ and $C-e_{1} / \delta$ is the corresponding sphere.
(ii) If $x \in C$ then $G_{x}\left(\delta e_{1}\right)=1$ and so $x \in \overline{\overline{c o}}\left(R_{\delta e_{1}}\right)$ (see [AF, Lemma 2.1]).
(iii) Let $z \in R_{\delta e_{1}}$. Then, we can write $z=\delta e_{1}+\lambda\left(y-\delta e_{1}\right)$ with $y=$ $\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, \ldots.\right)=y_{1} e_{1}+y^{\prime},\|y\|=1, y_{1} \geq 1 / \delta, G_{y}\left(\delta e_{1}\right) \geq 1$ and $0 \leq \lambda<1$ (see [ADF, Lemma 2.1] and [AF, Lemma 2.1 and Example]). It is easy to check that the line $\left\{\delta e_{1}+t\left(y-\delta e_{1}\right): t \in \mathbb{R}\right\}$ intersects $S$ when $t=(\delta-$ $1 / \delta) /\left(\delta-y_{1}\right) \geq 1$, and so $z \in \operatorname{co}\left(\left\{\delta e_{1}\right\} \cup S\right)$. Hence $R_{\delta e_{1}} \subset \operatorname{co}\left(\left\{\delta e_{1}\right\} \cup S\right)$.

From (i) and (ii) we deduce that $S \subset \overline{\operatorname{co}}\left(R_{\delta e_{1}}\right)$ and so, $\operatorname{co}\left(\left\{\delta e_{1}\right\} \cup S\right) \subset$ $\overline{\operatorname{co}}\left(R_{\delta e_{1}}\right)$. The converse follows from (iii).
(c) The equation of the homothety is the following:

$$
h(x)=x^{\prime}=\frac{\delta+1}{\delta} x-e_{1} .
$$

Let now $x \in A$. Then $x \in R_{\delta e_{1}}$ and $x_{1} \geq 1$. Set $x=t z+(1-t) \delta e_{1}$ with $z \in S, z=\left(1 / \delta, z_{2}, z_{3}, \ldots.\right)$ and $0<t \leq 1$. Then

$$
h(x)=\frac{t \delta+t}{\delta} z+\frac{\delta-\delta t-t}{\delta} \delta e_{1}=t_{0} z+t_{1} \delta e_{1},
$$

where $t_{0} \geq 0, t_{1} \geq 0$ and $t_{0}+t_{1}=1$. So $h(x) \in \operatorname{co}\left(S \cup\left\{\delta e_{1}\right\}\right)$.
Conversely, let $x^{\prime} \in \operatorname{co}\left(S \cup\left\{\delta e_{1}\right\}\right), x^{\prime}=s z+(1-s) \delta e_{1}$ with $z \in S$ and $0 \leq s \leq 1$. Then $x^{\prime}$ is the image of $x=\frac{s \delta}{\delta+1} z+\frac{\delta}{\delta+1}\left(1-s+\frac{1}{\delta}\right) \delta e_{1}=s_{0} z+s_{1} \delta e_{1}$ with $0 \leq s_{0}<1,0<s_{1} \leq 1$ and $s_{0}+s_{1}=1$. Moreover,

$$
\frac{s \delta}{\delta+1} \frac{1}{\delta}+\frac{\delta}{\delta+1}\left(1-s+\frac{1}{\delta}\right) \delta=(\delta-1)(1-s)+1 \geq 1
$$

and so $x \in A$.

Corollary 2.3. Let $H$ be a separable and infinite dimensional Hilbert space and $x \in H$ with $\|x\|>1$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tilde{\alpha}\left(R_{x}\right)=2\left(\frac{\|x\|-1}{\|x\|+1}\right)^{1 / 2}, \\
\tilde{\chi}\left(R_{x}\right)=\left(\frac{\|x\|-1}{\|x\|+1}\right)^{1 / 2}, \\
\tilde{\beta}\left(R_{x}\right)=\left(\frac{2(\|x\|-1)}{\|x\|+1}\right)^{1 / 2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. The result follows from [ADF, Theorem 2.4 and Corollary 2.5] and the equality $\bar{\mu}\left(R_{x}\right)=\tilde{\mu}\left(R_{\delta e_{1}}\right)=\frac{\delta}{\delta+1} \mu\left(R_{\delta e_{1}}\right)$ with $\delta=\|x\|$ and $\mu$ any of the measures of noncompactness $\alpha, \chi$ or $\beta$.

Theorem 2.4. Let $H$ be a separable and infinite dimensional Hilbert space. Then

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
D_{H, \alpha}(\varepsilon)=\frac{2 \varepsilon^{2}}{4-\varepsilon^{2}}, & \varepsilon \in[0,2) \\
D_{H, x}(\varepsilon)=\frac{2 \varepsilon^{2}}{1-\varepsilon^{2}}, & \varepsilon \in[0,1) \\
D_{H, \beta}(\varepsilon)=\frac{2 \varepsilon^{2}}{2-\varepsilon^{2}}, & \varepsilon \in[0, \sqrt{2})
\end{array}
$$

Proof. We present the proof only for the measure $\alpha$. The other cases are similar. Since the mapping $\delta \rightarrow 2[(\delta-1) /(\delta+1)]^{1 / 2}$ is strictly increasing, the infimum in the definition of $D_{H, \alpha}(\varepsilon)$ is attained for $\left.2[(\delta-1) /(\delta+1)]\right)^{1 / 2}=\varepsilon$, that is for $\delta=\left(4+\varepsilon^{2}\right) /\left(4-\varepsilon^{2}\right)$. Hence $D_{H, \alpha}(\varepsilon)=\left(4+\varepsilon^{2}\right) /\left(4-\varepsilon^{2}\right)-1=$ $2 \varepsilon^{2} /\left(4-\varepsilon^{2}\right)$.
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