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# Eigenvalues of Quasisymmetric Automorphisms Determined by VMO Functions 

Dedicated to Professor Eligiusz Zlotkiewicz<br>on the occasion of his 60th birthday


#### Abstract

This article aims at proving the inclusion (0.3) and the identity (0.4). They provide information on quasisymmetric automorphisms of the unit circle and their eigenvalues.


0. Introduction. Let $\Gamma$ be a Jordan arc or a Jordan curve in the extended complex plane $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ and let $\operatorname{Hom}(\Gamma)$ be the family of all homeomorphic self-mappings of $\Gamma$. A homeomorphism $\zeta$ of a subarc $I$ of the unit circle $\mathbb{T}:=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|=1\}$ onto $\Gamma$ is said to be a parametrization of $\Gamma$. We call a homeomorphism $\gamma \in \operatorname{Hom}(\Gamma)$ to be sense-preserving and write $\gamma \in \operatorname{Hom}^{+}(\Gamma)$ if there exist $\sigma \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{T})$ and a parametrization $\zeta: I \rightarrow \Gamma$ such that $\gamma \circ \zeta=\zeta \circ \sigma$ on $I$ and each continuous branch of $\arg \sigma\left(e^{i t}\right)$ is an increasing function of $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
[^0]Assume now that $\Gamma$ is a Jordan curve or arc which is locally rectifiable. We denote by $L^{0}(\Gamma)$ the class of all real-valued functions defined on $\Gamma$ that are Lebesgue measurable with respect to the arc length measure $|\cdot|_{1}$. We adopt the standard notations $L^{1}(\Gamma)$ and $L^{\infty}(\Gamma)$ for the classes of all functions in $L^{0}(\Gamma)$ that are integrable (with respect to $|\cdot|_{1}$ ) and essentially bounded on $\Gamma$, respectively. The functional $\| \cdot H_{\infty}$,

$$
\|f\|_{\infty}:=\underset{z \in \Gamma}{\operatorname{ess} \sup }|f(z)|, \quad f \in L^{0}(\Gamma)
$$

is a pseudo-norm on the linear space $L^{\infty}(\Gamma)$. We say that a function $f$ : $\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is locally integrable on $\Gamma$ and write $f \in L_{\text {loc }}^{1}(\Gamma)$ if $f \in L^{1}(I)$ for every compact subarc $I \in \operatorname{Arc}^{\infty}(\Gamma)$, where $\operatorname{Arc}^{\delta}(\Gamma)$ stands for the set of all subarcs $I \subset \Gamma$ such that $0<|I|_{1}<\delta, 0<\delta \leq \infty$. For every $f \in L_{\text {loc }}^{1}(\Gamma)$ set

$$
f_{I}:=\frac{1}{|I|_{1}} \int_{I} f(z)|d z|, \quad I \in \operatorname{Arc}^{\infty}(\Gamma)
$$

for the average of $f$ over $I$ and define

$$
\|f\|_{*, \delta}:=\sup \left\{\frac{1}{|I|_{1}} \int_{I}\left|f(z)-f_{I} \| d z\right|: I \in \operatorname{Arc}^{\delta}(\Gamma)\right\}, \quad \delta>0
$$

The functional $\|\cdot\|_{*}:=\|\cdot\|_{*, \infty}$ is a pseudo-norm on the spaces

$$
\operatorname{BMO}(\Gamma):=\left\{f \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}(\Gamma):\|f\|_{*}<\infty\right\}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{VMO}(\Gamma):=\left\{f \in \operatorname{BMO}(\Gamma): \lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0^{+}}\|f\|_{*, \delta}=0\right\}
$$

and for every $f \in \operatorname{BMO}(\Gamma),\|f\|_{*}=0$ iff $f$ is a constant function almost everywhere (a.e.) on $\Gamma$. We recall that a function $f \in \operatorname{BMO}(\Gamma)(f \in \operatorname{VMO}(\Gamma))$ is said to be of bounded (vanishing) mean oscillation on $\Gamma$. For a survey of the properties of the spaces $\mathrm{BMO}(\Gamma)$ and $\operatorname{VMO}(\Gamma)$ in cases $\Gamma=\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{T}$ we refer the reader to [G, Chapter VI]. We introduce the classes HBMO( $\Gamma$ ) and $\operatorname{HVMO}(\Gamma)$ of all $\gamma \in \operatorname{Hom}^{+}(\Gamma)$ absolutely continuous on $\Gamma$ such that $\log \left|\gamma^{\prime}\right| \in \operatorname{BMO}(\Gamma)$ and $\log \left|\gamma^{\prime}\right| \in \operatorname{VMO}(\Gamma)$, respectively. Here and subsequently, $f^{\prime}(z)$ denotes the derivative of a function $f: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ at $z \in \Gamma$, i.e.,

$$
f^{\prime}(z):=\lim _{\Gamma \ni u \rightarrow z} \frac{f(u)-f(z)}{u-z}
$$

provided the limit exists, while $f^{\prime}(z):=0$ otherwise. It is evident that the function $\rho_{*}: \operatorname{HBMO}(\Gamma) \times \operatorname{HBMO}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
\rho_{*}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right):=\left\|\log \frac{\left|\gamma_{1}^{\prime}\right|}{\left|\gamma_{2}^{\prime}\right|}\right\|_{*}=\left\|\log \left|\gamma_{1}^{\prime}\right|-\log \left|\gamma_{2}^{\prime}\right|\right\|_{*}, \quad \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in \operatorname{HBMO}(\Gamma),
$$

is a pseudo-metric on $\operatorname{HBMO}(\Gamma)$. Since $L^{\infty}(\Gamma) \subset B M O(\Gamma)$, we may consider the space

$$
\operatorname{HBMO}^{\infty}(\Gamma):=\operatorname{cl}_{\rho_{\bullet}}\left(\left\{\gamma \in \operatorname{HBMO}(\Gamma): \log \left|\gamma^{\prime}\right| \in L^{\infty}(\Gamma)\right\}\right)
$$

where $\mathrm{cl}_{\varrho}(A)$ stands for the closure of $A \subset X$ in the pseudo-metric $\varrho$ on the space $X$.

Following Beurling and Ahlfors [BA], for $M \geq 1$ we define the class $\mathrm{QS}(\Gamma ; M)$ of all $\gamma \in \operatorname{Hom}^{+}(\Gamma)$ such that the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|I^{\prime \prime}\right|_{1} \leq M\left|I^{\prime}\right|_{1} \tag{0.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all adjacent closed subarcs $I^{\prime}, I^{\prime \prime} \subset \Gamma$ satisfying $0<\left|I^{\prime}\right|_{1}=\left|I^{\prime \prime}\right|_{1}<$ $\infty$, where $I^{\prime}$ and $I^{\prime \prime}$ are said to be adjacent if the set $I^{\prime} \cap I^{\prime \prime}$ consists of one or two points. A homeomorphism $\gamma \in \operatorname{QS}(\Gamma):=\bigcup_{M \geq 1} \mathrm{QS}(\Gamma ; M)$ (resp. $\gamma \in \mathrm{QS}(\Gamma ; M)$ ) is said to be a quasisymmetric automorphism (resp. Mquasisymmetric automorphism) of $\Gamma$.

For $K \geq 1$ let $\mathbf{Q}(\mathbb{T} ; K)$ be the class of all $\gamma \in \mathrm{Hom}^{+}(\mathbb{T})$ such that $\gamma$ has a $K$-quasiconformal extension to the unit disk $\mathbb{D}:=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$ and write $\mathbf{Q}(\mathbb{T}):=\bigcup_{K \geq 1} \mathbf{Q}(\mathbb{T} ; K)$. By the properties of quasiconformal mappings (see [LV]), the functional $\tau$,

$$
\tau\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right):=\inf \left\{\log K: \gamma_{1} \circ \gamma_{2}^{-1} \in \mathbf{Q}(\mathbb{T} ; K)\right\}, \quad \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in \mathbf{Q}(\mathbb{T})
$$

is a pseudo-metric on $\mathbf{Q}(\mathbb{T})$ called the Teichmüller pseudo-metric. As shown by Krzyż in $[\mathrm{K}], \mathrm{Q}(\mathbb{T})=\mathrm{QS}(\mathbb{T})$. Moreover, modifying suitably the proof of [K, Thm. 2] (see [P3, p. 68]) and applying Lehtinen's estimate [L, Thm. 1] we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{QS}(\mathbb{T} ; M) \subset \mathrm{Q}\left(\mathbb{T} ; \min \left\{M^{3 / 2}, 2 M-1\right\}\right) \subset \mathrm{Q}\left(\mathbb{T} ; M^{2}\right) \tag{0.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathrm{HA}(\mathbb{T})$ be the class of all $\gamma \in \operatorname{Hom}^{+}(\mathbb{T})$ such that $\gamma$ has a conformal extension to an open annulus containing $\mathbb{T}$. We study the relationship between the classes $\mathrm{HA}(\mathbb{T})$, $\mathrm{HVMO}(\mathrm{T})$ and $\mathrm{cl}_{\tau}(\mathrm{HA}(\mathrm{T}))$. Our main aim is to prove the inclusion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{HVMO}(\mathbb{T}) \subset \operatorname{cl}_{\tau}(\mathrm{HA}(\mathbb{T})) ; \tag{0.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

see Theorem 2.4. Thus we provide the detailed proof of [P3, Thm. 3.4.7], which completes the discussion in [P3, Section 3.4]. As an application of (0.3), we obtain the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{\gamma}^{*}=\Lambda_{\gamma}, \quad \gamma \in \operatorname{HVMO}(\mathbb{T}) \tag{0.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see Corollary 2.5), where $\Lambda_{\gamma}^{*}$ and $\Lambda_{\gamma}$ are the sets of all eigenvalues and spectral values of $\gamma$, respectively, defined by means of the generalized harmonic conjugation operator $A_{\gamma}$ introduced in [P1]. For the definitions of eigenvalues and spectral values of $\gamma \in \mathrm{Q}(\mathbb{T})$ the reader is referred to [ P 2 , Definitions 1.1 and 1.2] or [P3, Definitions 3.2.2 and 3.2.1].

The considerations presented in this paper are based on an unpublished part of the author's Ph.D. thesis, whose one of the referees was Professor E. Złotkiewicz.

1. The class $\operatorname{HBMO}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. In this section we study properties of the class $\operatorname{HBMO}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ that turn out to be useful in the later discussion. In particular we establish the inclusion $\operatorname{HBMO}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathrm{QS}(\mathbb{R})$. Our considerations require the following John-Nirenberg theorem; cf. [JN], also see [G, p. 230].

Theorem 1.1. There exist constants $C, c>0$ such that for every function . $f \in \operatorname{BMO}(\mathbb{R})$ and every interval $I \in \operatorname{Arc}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ the inequality

$$
\left|\left\{t \in I:\left|f(t)-f_{I}\right|>\lambda\right\}\right|_{1} \leq C|I|_{1} \exp \left(\frac{-c \lambda}{\|f\|_{=}}\right)
$$

holds for all $\lambda>0$.

Lemma 1.2. Suppose that $f \in \operatorname{BMO}(\mathbb{R})$ and $I \in \operatorname{Arc}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. If $\|f\|_{*} \leq c / 2$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{|I|_{1}}{C+1} & \leq \frac{|I|_{1}}{2 C c^{-1}\|f\|_{*}+1} \leq \int_{I} \exp \left(f(t)-f_{I}\right) d t  \tag{1.1}\\
& \leq\left(2 C c^{-1}\|f\|_{*}+1\right)|I|_{1} \leq(C+1)|I|_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C$ and $c$ are the constants in Theorem 1.1.
Proof. For $\lambda>0$ let $I_{\lambda}:=\left\{t \in I:\left|f(t)-f_{I}\right|>\lambda\right\}$. Theorem 1.1 shows that

$$
\left|I_{\lambda}\right|_{1} \leq C|I|_{1} \exp \left(\frac{-c \lambda}{\|f\|_{*}}\right), \quad \lambda>0
$$

Hence by the the assumption $\|f\|_{*} \leq c / 2$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{I} \exp \left|f(t)-f_{I}\right| d t=\int_{I}\left(\exp \left|f(t)-f_{I}\right|-1\right) d t+|I|_{1} \\
& \quad=\int_{I}\left(\int_{0}^{\left|f(t)-f_{I}\right|} e^{\lambda} d \lambda\right) d t+|I|_{1}=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{\lambda}\left|I_{\lambda}\right|_{1} d \lambda+|I|_{1} \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq C|I|_{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp \left(1-\frac{c}{\|f\|_{*}}\right) \lambda d \lambda+|I|_{1}=\frac{C|I|_{1}\|f\|_{*}}{c-\|f\|_{*}}+|I|_{1} \\
& \leq\left(2 C c^{-1}\|f\|_{*}+1\right)|I|_{1} \leq(C+1)|I|_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $g: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a positive function then the Schwarz inequality shows that

$$
|I|_{1}^{2}=\left(\int_{I} \frac{1}{\sqrt{g(t)}} \sqrt{g(t)} d t\right)^{2} \leq \int_{I} \frac{1}{g(t)} d t \int_{I} g(t) d t
$$

and consequently

$$
\int_{I} \frac{1}{g(t)} d t \geq|I|_{I}^{2}\left(\int_{I} g(t) d t\right)^{-1}
$$

Setting $g(t):=\exp \left|f(t)-f_{I}\right|, t \in I$, we conclude from (1.2) that

$$
\int_{I} \exp \left(-\left|f(t)-f_{I}\right|\right) d t \geq \frac{\mid I_{1}}{2 C c^{-1}\|f\|_{*}+1} \geq \frac{|I|_{1}}{C+1} .
$$

Combining this with (1.2) we obtain (1.1).
Theorem 1.3. Given $g \in \operatorname{BMO}(\mathbb{R})$ and $h \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, suppose that a homeomorphism $\gamma \in \operatorname{Hom}^{+}(\mathbb{R})$ is absolutely continuous on $\mathbb{R}$ and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \gamma^{\prime}(t)=f(t):=g(t)+h(t) \quad \text { for a.e. } t \in \mathbb{R} . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\|g\|: \leq c / 2$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma \in \operatorname{QS}\left(\mathbb{R} ;\left(2 C c^{-1}\|g\|_{m}+1\right)^{2} e^{4\|g\|_{\bullet}} e^{2\|h\|_{\infty}}\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ and $c$ are the constants in Theorem 1.1. In particular, $\operatorname{HBMO}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \subset$ QS(R).

Proof. Since $\gamma \in \operatorname{Hom}^{+}(\mathbb{R})$ is absolutely continuous on $\mathbb{R}$,

$$
|\gamma(I)|_{1}=\int_{I}\left|\gamma^{\prime}(t)\right| d t=\int_{I} e^{f(t)} d t, \quad I \in \operatorname{Arc}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})
$$

Given a pair of adjacent closed intervals $I^{\prime}$ and $I^{\prime \prime}$ with $0<\left|I^{\prime}\right|_{1}=$ $\left|I^{\prime \prime}\right|_{1}<\infty$ let $I:=I^{\prime} \cup I^{\prime \prime}$. From (1.3) and Lemma 1.2 it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\gamma\left(I^{\prime \prime}\right)\right|_{1} & =\int_{I^{\prime \prime}} e^{g(t)} e^{h(t)} d t \leq \int_{I^{\prime \prime}} e^{\|h\|_{\infty}} e^{g(t)} d t \\
& \leq e^{\|h\|_{\infty}} e^{g_{I^{\prime \prime}}}\left(2 C c^{-1}\|g\|_{*}+1\right)\left|I^{\prime \prime}\right|_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\gamma\left(I^{\prime}\right)\right|_{1} & =\int_{V^{\prime}} e^{g(t)} e^{h(t)} d t \geq \int_{F^{\prime}} e^{-\|h\|_{\infty}} e^{g(t)} d t \\
& \geq e^{-\|h\|_{\infty}} e^{g_{I^{\prime}}}\left(2 C c^{-1}\|g\|_{*}+1\right)^{-1}\left|I^{\prime}\right|_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left|g_{I^{\prime}}-g_{I^{\prime \prime}}\right| \leq 4\|g\|_{*}$ (see [G, p. 223]), the above inequalities imply

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\left|\gamma\left(I^{\prime \prime}\right)\right|_{1}}{\left|\gamma\left(I^{\prime}\right)\right|_{1}} & \leq\left(2 C c^{-1}\|g\|_{*}+1\right)^{2} e^{g_{I^{\prime \prime}}-g_{I^{\prime}}} e^{2\|h\|_{\infty}} \\
& \leq M:=\left(2 C c^{-1}\|g\|_{*}+1\right)^{2} e^{4\|g\|_{e}} e^{2\|h\|_{\infty}}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $\gamma$ is an $M$-quasisymmetric automorphism, and consequently the inclusion (1.4) holds.

By definition, for every $\gamma \in \operatorname{HBMO}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ there exist $h \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ and $g \in \operatorname{BMO}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying $\|g\|_{*} \leq c / 2$ and (1.3). Then (1.4) yields the inclusion $\operatorname{HBMO}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathrm{QS}(\mathbb{R})$.

Given $\gamma \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{R})$ assume that $\gamma^{-1}$ is absolutely continuous on $\mathbb{R}$. Then for every measurable function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the composed function $f \circ \gamma$ is measurable on $\mathbb{R}$ as well and the mapping

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{0}(\mathbb{R}) \ni f \mapsto f \circ \gamma \in L^{0}(\mathbb{R}) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is linear. If $\gamma \in \operatorname{HBMO}(\mathbb{R})$, then by definition, $\left|\log \gamma^{\prime}(t)\right|<\infty$ for a.e. $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and hence $\gamma^{\prime}(t)>0$ for a.e. $t \in \mathbb{R}$. This means that the inverse homeomorphism $\gamma^{-1}$ is absolutely continuous on $\mathbb{R}$ and (1.5) defines a selfmapping of $L^{0}(\mathbb{R})$. Moreover, the Jones result [J, Thm.] leads us to

Lemma 1.4. If $\gamma \in \operatorname{HBMO}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, then the mapping (1.5) is a linear homeomorphism of the space $\mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R})$ onto itself, i.e., there exists a positive constant $c_{\gamma}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\gamma}^{-1}\|f\|_{*} \leq\|f \circ \gamma\|_{*} \leq c_{\gamma}\|f\|_{*}, \quad f \in \operatorname{BMO}(\mathbb{R}) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By definition, there exist $g \in \mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R})$ and $h \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying (1.3) and $\|g\|_{*} \leq c / 4$. Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}, 0<|I|_{1}<\infty$, be a closed interval and let $E \subset I$ be a measurable set. Given a subset $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ we denote by $\chi_{A}$ the characteristic function of $A$, i.e., $\chi_{A}(t):=1$ if $t \in A$ and $\chi_{A}(t):=0$ if
$t \in \mathbb{R} \backslash A$. Combining Theorem 1.1 with the Schwarz inequality we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{E} & \left(\exp \left|g(t)-g_{I}\right|-1\right) d t=\int_{E}\left(\int_{0}^{\left|g(t)-g_{I}\right|} e^{\lambda} d \lambda\right) d t \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(e^{\lambda} \int_{I} \chi_{I_{\lambda}}(t) \chi_{E}(t) d t\right) d \lambda \\
& \leq\left.\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{\lambda}\left|I I_{1}^{1 / 2}\right| E\right|_{1} ^{1 / 2} d \lambda \leq \sqrt{C}|I|_{1}^{1 / 2}|E|_{1}^{1 / 2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp \left(\frac{2\|g\|_{*}-c}{2\|g\|_{*}} \lambda\right) d \lambda \\
& =\frac{\sqrt{C}|I|_{1}^{1 / 2}|E|_{1}^{1 / 2} \cdot 2 \cdot\|g\|_{*}}{c-2\|g\|_{*}} \leq \sqrt{C}|I|_{1}^{1 / 2}|E|_{1}^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C$ and $c$ are the constants in Theorem 1.1 and

$$
I_{\lambda}:=\left\{t \in I:\left|g(t)-g_{I}\right|>\lambda\right\}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{E} \exp (g(t)) d t \leq(\sqrt{C}+1) \exp \left(g_{I}\right)|I|_{1}^{1 / 2}|E|_{1}^{1 / 2} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

because $|E|_{1} \leq|I|_{1}$. From Lemma 1.2 it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{I} \exp (g(t)) d t \geq(C+1)^{-1} \exp \left(g_{I}\right)|I|_{1} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\gamma^{\prime}(t)=\exp (g(t)) \exp (h(t))$ for a.e. $t \in \mathbb{R}$, it follows that

$$
\exp \left(-\|h\|_{\infty}\right) \exp (g(t)) \leq \gamma^{\prime}(t) \leq \exp \left(\|h\|_{\infty}\right) \exp (g(t)) \quad \text { for a.e. } t \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Combining this with (1.7) and (1.8) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\gamma(E)|_{1} & =\int_{E} \exp (g(t)) \exp (h(t)) d t \\
& \leq \exp \left(\|h\|_{\infty}\right)(\sqrt{C}+1) \exp \left(g_{I}\right)|I|_{1}^{1 / 2}|E|_{1}^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
|\gamma(I)|_{1}=\int_{I} \exp (g(t)) \exp (h(t)) d t \geq \exp \left(-\|h\|_{\infty}\right)(C+1)^{-1} \exp \left(g_{I}\right)|I|_{1}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\frac{|\gamma(E)|_{1}}{|\gamma(I)|_{1}} \leq \exp \left(2\|h\|_{\infty}\right)(\sqrt{C}+1)(C+1)\left(\frac{|E|_{1}}{|I|_{1}}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

Thus $\gamma$ induces the measure $\mu_{\gamma}, \mu_{\gamma}(E):=|\gamma(E)|_{1}$ for every Borel set $E \subset \mathbb{R}$, which belongs to the so called Muckenhoupt class $A_{\infty}$; cf. [G, p. 264] for the definition of $A_{\infty}$. Applying [J, Thm.] and the Banach invertible operator theorem we obtain the assertion of the lemma.

Theorem 1.5. If $\gamma \in \operatorname{HBMO}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ and if $\eta \in \operatorname{HBMO}(\mathbb{R})$, then $\eta \circ \gamma \in$ $\operatorname{HBMO}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\eta \circ \gamma^{-1} \in \operatorname{HBMO}(\mathbb{R})$. Moreover, for every sequence $\gamma_{n} \in \operatorname{HBMO}(\mathbb{R}), n \in \mathbf{N}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{*}\left(\gamma_{n}, \gamma\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \Longrightarrow \rho_{*}\left(\gamma_{n} \circ \gamma^{-1}, \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}}\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty, \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where id $X_{X}$ stands for the identity operator on $X$.
Proof. Fix $\gamma \in \operatorname{HBMO}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\eta \in \operatorname{HBMO}(\mathbb{R})$. Evidently, the composition $\eta \circ \gamma$ belongs to $\operatorname{Hom}^{+}(\mathbb{R})$ and is absolutely continuous on $\mathbb{R}$. Moreover, Lemma 1.4 implies

$$
\log \left|(\eta \circ \gamma)^{\prime}\right|=\log \left|\eta^{\prime} \circ \gamma\right|+\log \left|\gamma^{\prime}\right|=\left(\log \left|\eta^{\prime}\right|\right) \circ \gamma+\log \left|\gamma^{\prime}\right| \in \operatorname{BMO}(\mathbb{R}),
$$

which means that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta \circ \gamma \in \operatorname{HBMO}(\mathbb{R}) . \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

As we noticed just before Lemma 1.4, the homeomorphism $\gamma^{-1}$ belongs to $\mathrm{Hom}^{+}(\mathbb{R})$ and is absolutely continuous on $\mathbb{R}$. Applying Lemma 1.4 once again we see that

$$
\log \left|\left(\eta \circ \gamma^{-1}\right)^{\prime}\right|=\log \frac{\left|\eta^{\prime} \circ \gamma^{-1}\right|}{\left|\gamma^{\prime} \circ \gamma^{-1}\right|}=\left(\log \left|\eta^{\prime}\right|-\log \left|\gamma^{\prime}\right|\right) \circ \gamma^{-1} \in \mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}),
$$

and consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta \circ \gamma^{-1} \in \operatorname{HBMO}(\mathbb{R}) \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume a sequence $\gamma_{n} \in \operatorname{HBMO}(\mathbb{R}), n \in \mathbf{N}$, satisfies $\rho_{*}\left(\gamma_{n}, \gamma\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Combining (1.10) and (1.11) with (1.6) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{*}\left(\gamma_{n}\right. & \left.\circ \gamma^{-1}, \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}}\right)=\left\|\log \left|\left(\gamma_{n} \circ \gamma^{-1}\right)^{\prime}\right|\right\|_{*}=\left\|\log \frac{\left|\gamma_{n}^{\prime} \circ \gamma^{-1}\right|}{\left|\gamma^{\prime} \circ \gamma^{-1}\right|}\right\|_{*} \\
& =\left\|\left(\log \left|\gamma_{n}^{\prime}\right|\right) \circ \gamma^{-1}-\left(\log \left|\gamma^{\prime}\right|\right) \circ \gamma^{-1}\right\|_{*}=\left\|\left(\log \left|\gamma_{n}^{\prime}\right|-\log \left|\gamma^{\prime}\right|\right) \circ \gamma^{-1}\right\|_{*} \\
& \leq c_{\gamma}\left\|\log \left|\gamma_{n}^{\prime}\right|-\log \left|\gamma^{\prime}\right|\right\|_{*}=c_{\gamma} \rho_{*}\left(\gamma_{n}, \gamma\right) \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves (1.9).
2. The class $\mathrm{HVMO}(\mathbf{T})$. In this section we establish our main results, that deal with the class $\operatorname{HVMO}(\mathbb{T})$; see Theorems 2.3, 2.4 and Corollary 2.5. For $z=x+i y \in \mathbb{C}_{+}:=\{w \in \mathbb{C}: \operatorname{Im} w>0\}$ set

$$
P_{y}(x):=-\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} \frac{1}{z}=\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{y}{x^{2}+y^{2}} .
$$

The function $\mathbb{C}_{+} \ni z \mapsto P_{y}(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ is the familiar Poisson kernel for the upper half plane $\mathbb{C}_{+}$. For every $f \in \operatorname{BMO}(\mathbb{R})$,

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{|f(t)|}{1+t^{2}} d t<\infty
$$

so that the function $t \mapsto P_{y}(x-t) f(t)$ belongs to $L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $y>0$, and we may define

$$
P_{y} * f(x):=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} P_{y}(x-t) f(t) d t, \quad y>0, x \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

To study the class HVMO(T) we need the following characterization of the space $\operatorname{VMO}(\mathbb{R})$; cf. [G, p. 250].

Theorem 2.1. For every $f \in \operatorname{BMO}(\mathbb{R})$ the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $f \in \operatorname{VMO}(\mathbb{R})$;
(ii) $\left\|P_{y} * f-f\right\|_{*} \rightarrow 0$, as $y \rightarrow 0^{+}$;
(iii) There exists a sequence $f_{n} \in \operatorname{BMO}(\mathbb{R}), n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that each function $f_{n}$ is uniformly continuous on $\mathbb{R}$ and $\left\|f_{n}-f\right\|_{*} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Each $\gamma \in \operatorname{Hom}^{+}(\mathbb{T})$ defines a unique $\hat{\gamma} \in \operatorname{Hom}^{+}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying $0 \leq \hat{\gamma}(0)<$ $2 \pi$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma\left(e^{i t}\right)=e^{i \hat{\gamma}(t)}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

called the angular parametrization or the lifted mapping of $\gamma$. By (2.1), $\hat{\gamma}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\gamma}(t+2 \pi)=\hat{\gamma}(t)+2 \pi, \quad t \in \mathbb{R} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.2. If $\gamma \in \operatorname{HBMO}(\mathbb{T})$, then $\hat{\gamma} \in \operatorname{HBMO}(\mathbb{R})$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{*}(\eta, \gamma) \leq \rho_{*}(\hat{\eta}, \hat{\gamma}) \leq 3 \rho_{*}(\eta, \gamma), \quad \eta, \gamma \in \operatorname{HBMO}(\mathbb{T}) . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, $\hat{\gamma} \in \operatorname{HBMO}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \cap \operatorname{HVMO}(\mathbb{R})$ whenever $\gamma \in \operatorname{HVMO}(\mathbb{T})$.
Proof. For $f \in \operatorname{BMO}(\mathbb{T})$ let $\tilde{f}(t):=f\left(e^{i t}\right), t \in \mathbb{R}$. Fix $f \in \operatorname{BMO}(\mathbb{T})$ and assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} f\left(e^{i t}\right) d t=0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given a closed interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ assume that $2 \pi<|I|_{1}<\infty$. Then $I=I^{\prime} \cup I^{\prime \prime}$, where $I^{\prime}$ and $I^{\prime \prime}$ are adjacent closed intervals such that $0<\left|I^{\prime \prime}\right| \leq 2 \pi$ and $\left|I^{\prime}\right|=2 n \pi$ for some $n \in \mathrm{~N}$. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{|I|_{1}} & \int_{I}\left|\tilde{f}(t)-\tilde{f}_{I}\right| d t \\
& \leq \frac{1}{|I|_{1}}\left(\int_{I^{\prime}}|\tilde{f}(t)| d t+\int_{I^{\prime}}\left|\tilde{f}_{I}\right| d t+\int_{I^{\prime \prime}}\left|\tilde{f}^{\prime}(t)-\tilde{f}_{I^{\prime \prime}}\right| d t+\int_{I^{\prime \prime}}\left|\tilde{f}_{I^{\prime \prime}}-\tilde{f}_{I}\right| d t\right) \\
& \leq \frac{\left|I^{\prime}\right|_{1}}{|I|_{1}}\|f\|_{*}+\frac{\left|I^{\prime \prime}\right|_{1}}{|I|_{1}}\|f\|_{*}+\frac{1}{|I|_{1}}\left(\left|I^{\prime}\right|_{1}\left|\tilde{f}_{I}\right|+\left|I^{\prime \prime}\right|_{1}\left|\tilde{f}_{I^{\prime \prime}}-\tilde{f}_{I}\right|\right) \\
& =\|f\|_{*}+\frac{1}{|I|_{1}}\left(\frac{\left|I^{\prime}\right|_{1}\left|I^{\prime \prime}\right|_{1}}{|I|_{1}}\left|\tilde{f}_{I^{\prime \prime}}\right|+\left|I^{\prime \prime}\right|_{1}\left(1-\frac{\left|I^{\prime \prime}\right|_{1}}{|I|_{1}}\right)\left|\tilde{f}_{I^{\prime \prime}}\right|\right) \\
& =\|f\|_{*}+2 \frac{\left|I^{\prime}\right|_{1}\left|I^{\prime \prime}\right|_{1}}{|I|_{1} \mid I_{1}}\left|\tilde{f}_{I^{\prime \prime}}\right| \\
& \leq\|f\|_{*}+\frac{2}{|I|_{1}}\left|\int_{I^{\prime \prime}} \tilde{f}(t) d t\right| \leq\|f\|_{*}+2 \cdot \frac{2 \pi}{|I|_{1}} \cdot \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f\left(e^{i t}\right)\right| d t \leq 3\|f\|_{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\|\tilde{f}\|_{*, 2 \pi}=\|f\|_{m}$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{=} \leq\|\tilde{S}\|_{*} \leq 3\|f\|_{\cdot} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided (2.4) holds. If $f$ does not satisfy (2.4), then $f=(f-a)+a$ with $a:=(2 \pi)^{-1} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f\left(e^{i t}\right) d t$. Since $f-a \in \operatorname{BMO}(\mathbf{T})$ and (2.4) holds with $f$ replaced by $f-a$, we conclude from (2.5) that $\|\widetilde{f}\|_{*}=\|\widetilde{f-a}\|_{*} \leq$ $3\|f-a\|_{*}=3\|f\|_{\text {. }}$. Therefore (2.5) holds for every $f \in \mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{T})$.

If $\gamma \in \operatorname{HBMO}(\mathbb{T})$, then $f:=\log \left|\gamma^{\prime}\right| \in \operatorname{BMO}(\mathbb{T})$ satisfies (2.5). Therefore $\hat{\gamma} \in \operatorname{HBMO}(\mathbb{R})$ by the equality $\hat{\gamma}^{\prime}=\left|\tilde{\gamma^{\prime}}\right|$. Given $\eta, \gamma \in \operatorname{HBMO}(\mathbb{T})$ set
$f:=\log \left|\eta^{\prime}\right|-\log \left|\gamma^{\prime}\right|$. Since $f \in \operatorname{BMO}(\mathbb{T})$ and $\tilde{f}=\log \hat{\eta}^{\prime}-\log \hat{\gamma}^{\prime}$, we deduce (2.3) from (2.5).

Assume now that $\gamma \in \operatorname{HVMO}(\mathbb{T})$. As shown above, $\hat{\gamma} \in \operatorname{HBMO}(\mathbb{R})$. Since for $0<\delta<2 \pi$,

$$
\left\|\log \hat{\gamma}^{\prime}\right\|_{*, \delta}=\left\|\log \mid \gamma^{\prime}\right\|_{*, \delta} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } \delta \rightarrow 0^{+}
$$

it follows that $\hat{\gamma} \in \operatorname{HVMO}(\mathbb{R})$. Moreover, by (2.2) the function $P_{y} *\left(\log \hat{\gamma}^{\prime}\right)$ is $2 \pi$-periodic and continuous on $\mathbb{R}$, and hence $P_{y} *\left(\log \hat{\gamma}^{\prime}\right) \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ for each $y>0$. Then Theorem 2.1 shows that $\dot{\gamma} \in \operatorname{HBMO}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, which completes the proof.

We are now in a position to prove our main results.
Theorem 2.3. The inclusion $\operatorname{HVMO}(\mathbb{T}) \subset \mathrm{QS}(\mathbb{T})$ holds and the pseudometric $\rho_{*}$ is stronger than the Teichmüller pseudo-metric $\tau$, i.e. for all $\gamma, \gamma_{n} \in \operatorname{HVMO}(\mathbb{T}), n \in \mathbf{N}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{*}\left(\gamma_{n}, \gamma\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \tau\left(\gamma_{n}, \gamma\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty, \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\gamma \in \operatorname{HVMO}(\mathbb{T})$. By Lemma $2.2, \hat{\gamma} \in \operatorname{HBMO}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, and Theorem 1.3 gives $\hat{\gamma} \in \operatorname{QS}(\mathbb{R})$. Hence $\gamma \in \operatorname{QS}(\mathbb{T})$, which is clear from (2.1) and (0.1). Assume that a sequence $\gamma_{n} \in \operatorname{HVMO}(\mathbf{T}), n \in \mathbf{N}$, satisfies $\rho_{*}\left(\gamma_{n}, \gamma\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By Lemma $2.2, \hat{\gamma}_{n} \in \operatorname{HBMO}^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}), n \in \mathbf{N}$, and

$$
\rho_{*}\left(\hat{\gamma}_{n}, \hat{\gamma}\right) \leq 3 \rho_{*}\left(\gamma_{n}, \gamma\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Theorem 1.5 now shows that

$$
\left\|\log \left(\hat{\gamma}_{n} \circ \hat{\gamma}^{-1}\right)^{\prime}\right\|_{*}=\rho_{m}\left(\hat{\gamma}_{n} \circ \hat{\gamma}^{-1}, \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Hence by Theorem 1.3 there exists a sequence $M_{n} \geq 1, n \in \mathbf{N}$, such that $\hat{\gamma}_{n} \circ \hat{\gamma}^{-1} \in \operatorname{QS}\left(\mathbb{R} ; M_{n}\right), n \in \mathbf{N}$, and $M_{n} \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, from (2.1) we see that for each $n \in \mathrm{~N}$ the identity

$$
\widehat{\gamma_{n} \circ \gamma^{-1}}(t)=\hat{\gamma}_{n} \circ \hat{\gamma}^{-1}(t)+2 k_{n} \pi, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},
$$

holds with some integer $k_{n}$. Applying now (0.1) we obtain $\gamma_{n} \circ \gamma^{-1} \in$ QS( $\left.\mathbb{T} ; M_{n}\right), n \in \mathrm{~N}$. Then ( 0.2 ) implies that

$$
\tau\left(\gamma_{n}, \gamma\right) \leq \log M_{n}^{2} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty,
$$

which proves (2.6).

Theorem 2.4. ") The classes $\mathrm{HA}(\mathbb{T}), \mathrm{HVMO}(\mathbb{T})$ and $\mathrm{QS}(\mathbb{T})$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{HA}(\mathbb{T}) \subset \mathrm{cl}_{\rho_{\mathbf{o}}}(\mathrm{HA}(\mathbb{T}))=\mathrm{HVMO}(\mathbb{T}) \subset \mathrm{cl}_{\tau}(\mathrm{HA}(\mathbb{T})) \subset \mathrm{QS}(\mathbb{T}) . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By definition, each $\gamma \in \mathrm{HA}(\mathbb{T})$ has a conformal extension $\omega$ to an annulus $\Omega \supset \mathbb{T}$. Hence for every $z \in \mathbb{T},\left|\gamma^{\prime}(z)\right|=\left|\omega^{\prime}(z)\right|>0$, and so $\log \left|\gamma^{\prime}\right| \in \operatorname{VMO}(\mathbb{T})$ as a continuous function. Thus $\gamma \in \operatorname{HVMO}(\mathbb{T})$, and the inclusion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{HA}(\mathbb{T}) \subset \mathrm{HVMO}(\mathbb{T}) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds. Fix $\gamma \in \operatorname{HVMO}(\mathbb{T})$. For every $n \in \mathbf{N}$, define

$$
Q_{n}(z):=\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{n}{n^{2} z^{2}+1}, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}_{1 / n}
$$

where $\mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}:=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|\operatorname{Im} z|<\varepsilon\}, \varepsilon>0$. By Lemma 2.2, the function

$$
\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto f(t):=\log \left|\gamma^{\prime}\left(e^{i t}\right)\right|=\log \hat{\gamma}^{\prime}(t) \in \mathbb{R}
$$

belongs to $\operatorname{BMO}(\mathbb{R})$. Then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $z \in \mathbb{R}_{1 / n}$ the function

$$
\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto Q_{n}(z-t) \log \left|\gamma^{\prime}\left(e^{i t}\right)\right| \in \mathbb{C}
$$

is integrable on $\mathbb{R}$ and we may define

$$
Q_{n} * f(z):=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} Q_{n}(z-t) f(t) d t=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} Q_{n}(z-t) \log \left|\gamma^{\prime}\left(e^{i t}\right)\right| d t, z \in \mathbb{R}_{1 / n} .
$$

Given $n \in \mathbf{N}$ the function $Q_{n} * f$ is analytic on the strip $\mathbb{R}_{1 / n}$ and so is the function $\sigma_{n}: \mathbb{R}_{1 / n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$,

$$
\sigma_{n}(z):=c_{n} \int_{J_{0}}^{z} \exp \left(Q_{n} * f(w)\right) d w, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}_{1 / n}
$$

where the integral is taken along the line segment $[0, z]$ and $2 \pi / c_{n}:=$ $\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \exp \left(Q_{n} * f(t)\right) d t$. Moreover, for all $z \in \mathbb{R}_{1 / n}$,
$Q_{n} * f(z+2 \pi)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} Q_{n}(z+2 \pi-t) f(t) d t=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} Q_{n}(z-t) f(t+2 \pi) d t=Q_{n} * f(z)$,

[^1]and consequently
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{n}(z+2 \pi) & =c_{n} \int_{0}^{z+2 \pi} \exp \left(Q_{n} * f(w)\right) d w  \tag{2.9}\\
& =c_{n} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \exp \left(Q_{n} * f(w)\right) d w+c_{n} \int_{2 \pi}^{z+2 \pi} \exp \left(Q_{n} * f(w)\right) d w \\
& =2 \pi+c_{n} \int_{0}^{z} \exp \left(Q_{n} * f(w+2 \pi)\right) d w=2 \pi+\sigma_{n}(z)
\end{align*}
$$
\]

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{n}^{\prime}(x)=c_{n} \exp \left(Q_{n} * f(x)\right)>0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

we conclude from (2.9) that there exists $\varepsilon_{n}$ such that $0<\varepsilon_{n} \leq 1 / n$ and

$$
\operatorname{Re} \sigma_{n}^{\prime}(z)>0, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon_{n}}
$$

Therefore the mapping $\sigma_{n}$ is conformal on the strip $\mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon_{n}}$ and by (2.9) so is the mapping $\omega_{n}$ on the annulus $\Omega_{\varepsilon_{n}}$, where for each $n \in N$,

$$
\omega_{n}(z):=\exp \left(i \sigma_{n}(-i \log z)\right) \text { and } \quad z \in \Omega_{\varepsilon_{n}}:=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|\log | z| |<\varepsilon_{n}\right\}
$$

Since $\omega_{n}\left(e^{i t}\right)=e^{i \sigma_{n}(t)}$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we conclude from (2.10) that each function $\sigma_{n}$ is increasing on $\mathbb{R}$, and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{n}:=\omega_{n \mid \mathbf{T}} \in \mathrm{HA}(\mathbf{T}), \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\gamma_{n}^{\prime}\left(e^{i t}\right)\right|=\sigma_{n}^{\prime}(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By our assumption, $\log \left|\gamma^{\prime}\right| \in \mathrm{VMO}(\mathbb{T})$ and Lemma 2.2 gives $f \in \operatorname{VMO}(\mathbb{R})$. Since $Q_{n}(x)=P_{1 / n}(x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we conclude from (2.10), (2.12), Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 2.1 that
$\rho_{*}\left(\gamma_{n}, \gamma\right) \leq \rho_{*}\left(\hat{\gamma}_{n}, \hat{\gamma}\right)=\left\|\log \sigma_{n}^{\prime}-\log \hat{\gamma}^{\prime}\right\|_{*}=\left\|Q_{n} * f-f\right\|_{*} \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty$.
Thus $\gamma \in \mathrm{cl}_{\rho_{\bullet}}(\mathrm{HA}(\mathbb{T}))$ by (2.11), and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{HVMO}(\mathrm{T}) \subset \operatorname{cl}_{\rho_{\bullet}}(\mathrm{HA}(\mathrm{~T})) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let now $\gamma \in \mathrm{cl}_{\rho_{0}}(\mathrm{HA}(\mathbb{T}))$. From (2.13) it follows that there exists a sequence $\gamma_{n} \in \mathrm{HA}(\mathbb{T}), n \in \mathbf{N}$, such that $\rho_{*}\left(\gamma_{n}, \gamma\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then Theorem 2.3 shows that $\tau\left(\gamma_{n}, \gamma\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and so $\gamma \in \mathrm{cl}_{\tau}(\mathrm{HA}(\mathbb{T}))$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{HVMO}(\mathbb{T}) \subset \operatorname{cl}_{\tau}(\mathrm{HA}(\mathbf{T})) \subset \mathrm{QS}(\mathbb{T}) . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.8) and by Lemma 2.2, $\hat{\gamma}, \hat{\gamma}_{n} \in \operatorname{HVMO}(\mathbb{R})$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$
\left\|\log \left|\hat{\gamma}_{n}^{\prime}\right|-\log \left|\hat{\gamma}^{\prime}\right|\right\|_{*}=\rho_{*}\left(\hat{\gamma}_{n}, \hat{\gamma}\right) \leq 3 \rho_{*}\left(\gamma_{n}, \gamma\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Moreover, each function $\log \left|\hat{\gamma}_{n}^{\prime}\right|$ is uniformly continuous on $\mathbb{R}$ being continuous and periodic. Theorem 2.1 now shows that $\log \left|\hat{\gamma}^{\prime}\right| \in \operatorname{VMO}(\mathbb{R})$, and so $\gamma \in \operatorname{HVMO}(\mathbb{T})$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{cl}_{\rho_{\bullet}}(\mathrm{HA}(\mathbb{T})) \subset \mathrm{HVMO}(\mathbb{T}) . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining the inclusions (2.8) and (2.13)-(2.15) we obtain (2.7), which is our claim.

Corollary 2.5. If $\gamma \in \operatorname{HVMO}(\mathbb{T})$, then $\Lambda_{\gamma}^{*}=\Lambda_{\gamma}$. In particular, if $\gamma \in$ $\operatorname{HVMO}(\mathbb{T}) \backslash \mathrm{Q}(\mathbb{T} ; 1)$, then $\Lambda_{\gamma}^{*} \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. The equality $\Lambda_{\gamma}^{*}=\Lambda_{\gamma}$ follows from the inclusion (2.14) and [P2, Thm. 2.1]; also cf. [P3, Corollary 3.4.5]. If $\gamma \in \mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{T}) \backslash \mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{T} ; 1)$, then [ P 2 , Thm. 1.4] (also see [P3, Corollary 3.2.7] and [KP, (3.6)]) shows that $\Lambda_{\gamma} \neq \emptyset$, which completes the proof.
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