ANNALES UNIVERSITATIS MARIAE CURIE – SKŁODOWSKA LUBLIN – POLONIA

VOL. LII. 1, 2

SECTIO A

1998

PIERRE DOLBEAULT JERZY KALINA and JULIAN LAWRYNOWICZ

Introduction to Almost Hyperbolic Pseudodistances via Intermediate Dimensional-Invariant Measures

Dedicated to Professor Eligiusz Złotkiewicz

ABSTRACT. In 1989 two of us (P.D. and J.L.) introduced a Dirichlet integraltype biholomorphic-invariant pseudodistance connected with bordered holomorphic chains whose regular part was treated as a Riemann surface [4]. The condition for a complex manifold that the pseudodistance on it was a distance defined a class of hyperbolic-like manifolds which had an important property of extendability of holomorphic mappings, analogous to the hyperbolic manifolds, Stein spaces, and complex spaces with a Stein covering. Further results in this direction were published in 1996 by G. Boryczka and L.M. Tovar [1]. The present research introduces a modified approach exploring, in addition, the intermediate one- and two-dimensional measures due to D.Eisenman (now Pelles) [5].

1. Introduction. The importance of the subject is motivated by a number of results by A. Andreotti, W. Stoll, and K. Kobayashi, referred to in [4]. The authors believe that this introduction to a new approach will open new possibilities in continuing those lines, in particular in the aspect of interrelations between the complex dynamics and hyperbolic geometry.

Research of the second and third author partially supported by the State Committee for Scientific Research (KBN) grant PB 2 P03A 016 10.

2. An analogue of the hyperbolic pseudodistance related to intermediate measures. Let X be a complex manifold of complex dimension n. Consider a compact connected C^1 -cycle γ [3] of (real) dimension one on X. Suppose that Γ is an irreducible complex analytic subvariety of complex dimension one of $V = X \setminus \text{spt}\gamma$, with support spt Γ relatively compact on X. Let Γ represent an elementary bordered holomorphic chain [4].

A bordered holomorphic chain passing through distinct points z_0 , $z \in X$ is defined as a finite sum $\sum_{j \in I} \Gamma_j$ of elementary chains Γ_j such that each elementary chain Γ_j passing through distinct points z_{j-1} , z_j of $\mathcal{U}, j = 1, \ldots, p$, is such that z_0 is the first given point, while z_p is the last one: $z_p = z$. Let γ_j denote the border of Γ_j .

For each elementary chain Γ'_j passing through the points z_{j-1}, z_j with Γ'_j contained in a fixed elementary chain Γ_j , we have a holomorphic mapping

$$\phi_j:\sum_j o \Gamma_j\subset X\setminus {
m spt}\gamma_j$$

such that, for a discrete set $E_j \subset \Gamma_j$, the set Reg $\Gamma_j = \Gamma_j \setminus E_j$, called the regular part of Γ_j , is the image of a connected Riemann surface S under a biholomorphic mapping $f_j = \phi_j | S$. Let γ'_j be the border of Γ'_j .

Assume that X is (k, m)-hyperbolic for k = 1 or 2, and a fixed $m \ge n$, in the sense of Eisenman-Kobayashi [5-7]. Set $\alpha = 1 - n/m$. For a fixed elementary chain Γ_i , let

(1)
$$\mu_{\Gamma_j}^{\alpha}[u] := \inf_{\Gamma_j' \subset \Gamma_j} \left\{ \left[\mu_1(\gamma_j', f_j^{-1})_m / \mu_2(\Gamma_j', f_j^{-1})_m \right] \middle| \int_{\Gamma_j'} du \wedge d^c u \middle| \right\},$$

where $\mu = \mu_1$ and $\mu = \mu_2$ are the intermediate one- and two-dimensional measures [6], u belongs to an admissible family $F[\mathcal{U}] = \operatorname{adm}(X, \mathcal{U})$ of pluriharmonic functions, defined in the usual way [4] for a given locally finite open covering \mathcal{U} of X, and the infimum in (1) is taken over all compact connected C^1 -cycles of dimension one within Γ_j .

We have

Lemma 1. The expression (1) is well defined.

Thus with any bordered holomorphic chain passing through the points z_0 , z of X, such that $\mu_1(\gamma'_j, f_j^{-1})_m$ is uniformly bounded in Γ , we may associate the expression $\mu_{\Gamma}^{\alpha}(z_0, z)[u] := \sum_{j \in J} \mu_{\Gamma_j}(z_{j-1}, z_j)[u]$. Using this expression we set

 $\mu_X^{\alpha}(z_0,z)[u,\mathcal{U}] := \inf \{ \mu_{\Gamma}(z_0,z)[u] : \Gamma \text{ passing through } z_0,z \}.$

Finally, we define an almost hyperbolic pseudodistance:

(2)
$$\rho_X^{\alpha}(z_0, z)[\mathcal{U}] := \sup\{\mu_X^{\alpha}(z_0, z)[u, \mathcal{U}] : u \in F[\mathcal{U}]\}.$$

We have to prove its finiteness and that it is indeed a pseudodistance.

Lemma 2. Let z_0, z_1 and z_2 be points on a (k, m)-hyperbolic *n*-dimensional complex manifold X for k = 1 and 2, and a fixed $m \ge n$. Set $\alpha = 1 - n/m$. Then, for any locally finite open covering U of X, we have

$$ho_X^lpha(z_0,z_2)[\mathcal{U}] \leq
ho_X^lpha(z_0,z_1)[\mathcal{U}] +
ho_X^lpha(z_1,z_2)[\mathcal{U}].$$

Proof. Let Γ_0, Γ_1 , and Γ_2 be bordered holomorphic chains passing through $z_0, z_1; z_1, z_2; z_0, z_2$, respectively. Then $\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2$ is also a bordered holomorphic chain passing through z_0, z_2 and everywhere in $F[\mathcal{U}]$ we have

$$\mu_{\Gamma_1+\Gamma_2}^{\alpha}(z_0,z_2)[u] \leq \mu_{\Gamma_1}^{\alpha}(z_0,z_1) + \mu_{\Gamma_2}^{\alpha}(z_0,z_2).$$

Hence, for any u and \mathcal{U} ,

$$\begin{split} \mu_X^{\alpha}(z_0, z_2) &= \inf_{\Gamma} \mu_{\Gamma}^{\alpha}(z_0, z_2) \leq \inf_{\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2} \mu_{\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2}^{\alpha}(z_0, z_2) \\ &\leq \inf_{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2} [\mu_{\Gamma_1}^{\alpha}(z_0, z_1) + \mu_{\Gamma_2}^{\alpha}(z_1, z_2)] \\ &\leq \inf_{\Gamma_1} \mu_{\Gamma_1}^{\alpha}(z_0, z_1) + \inf_{\Gamma_2} \mu_{\Gamma_2}^{\alpha}(z_1, z_2) = \mu_X^{\alpha}(z_0, z_1) + \mu_X^{\alpha}(z_1, z_2), \end{split}$$

where the infima are taken with respect to bordered holomorphic chains passing through the points indicated in the brackets. Consequently,

$$\begin{split} \rho_X^{\alpha}(z_0, z_2)[\mathcal{U}] &= \sup_u \mu_X^{\alpha}(z_0, z_2)[u, \mathcal{U}] \\ &\leq \sup_u \{\mu_X^{\alpha}(z_0, z_1)[u, \mathcal{U}] + \mu_X^{\alpha}(z_1, z_2)[u, \mathcal{U}]\} \\ &\leq \sup_u \mu_X^{\alpha}(z_0, z_1)[u, \mathcal{U}] + \sup_u \mu_X^{\alpha}(z_1, z_2)[u, \mathcal{U}] \\ &= \mu_X^{\alpha}(z_0, z_2)[\mathcal{U}] + \mu_X^{\alpha}(z_1, z_2)[\mathcal{U}], \end{split}$$

where the suprema are taken with respect to u ranging over $F[\mathcal{U}]$.

Lemma 3. Let z_0, z be points on a (k, m)-hyperbolic *n*-dimensional complex manifold X for k = 1 and 2, and a fixed $m \ge n$. Set $\alpha = 1 - n/m$. Then, for any locally finite open covering \mathcal{U} of X, we have

$$ho_X^lpha(z_0,z)[\mathcal{U}] \leq +\infty$$

Proof. Since $\mu_X^{\alpha}(z_0, z)[u, \mathcal{U}]$ is defined as the infimum of all the expressions $\mu_{\Gamma}^{\alpha}(z_0, z)[u]$ with respect to bordered holomorphic chains Γ passing through z_0, z , without any loss of generality we may suppose that Γ is an elementary chain passing through z_0, z_1 . Moreover, since the closure $cl_X \operatorname{spt} \Gamma$ is compact, we may suppose that it is contained in a connected Riemann surface $S \subset U_j, U_j$ being a member of \mathcal{U} , and that S is biholomorphically equivalent to the unit disc. Since, as it is well known [2],

$$\sup\left\{ \left| \int_{\Gamma} du \wedge d^{c}u \right| : u \in F[U]
ight\}$$

is bounded, this proves the lemma.

Remark 1. Under the hypotheses of Lemma 1, $\rho_X^{\alpha}(z_0, z)[\mathcal{U}] \geq 0$ and $\rho_X^{\alpha}(z, z_0)[\mathcal{U}] = \rho_X^{\alpha}(z_0, z)[\mathcal{U}]$. If $z = z_0$, then the length of $\phi^{-1}[\gamma']$ can be as small as we desire, so $\rho_X^{\alpha}(z_0, z)[\mathcal{U}] = 0$.

From Lemma 2, by Remark 1, we infer

Proposition 1. Let X be a (k,m)-hyperbolic n-dimensio- nal complex manifold for k = 1, 2 and a fixed $m \ge n$. Set $\alpha = 1 - n/m$. Then, for any locally finite open covering \mathcal{U} of X, the corresponding expression ρ_X^{α} given by (2) is a continuous pseudodistance.

By Proposition 1, we trivially get (for the proof, cf. [1]):

Proposition 2. Let (X, U) and (Y, V) be two (k, m)-hyperbolic n-dimensional complex manifolds with k, m, α as in Proposition 1, locally finite open coverings U and V, and admissible families F[U] and F[V] of pluriharmonic functions. Let $f: X \to Y$ be a biholomorphic mapping such that f[U] = V. Then

 $\rho_X^{\alpha}(z_0,z)[\mathcal{U}] = \rho_Y^{\alpha}(f(z_0),f(z))[\mathcal{V}] \quad \text{for } z_0,z \in X.$

Propositions 1-2 motivate the following definition. Let X be a (k, m)hyperbolic n-dimensional complex manifold for k = 1, 2 and a fixed $m \ge n$, and let $\alpha = 1 - n/m$. If, for a locally finite open covering \mathcal{U} of $X, \rho_X^{\alpha}(,)[\mathcal{U}]$ is a distance, i.e. $\rho_X^{\alpha}(z_0, z)[\mathcal{U}] > 0$ for $z_0 \ne z$, then X is called an (α, \mathcal{U}) almost hyperbolic manifold. An (α, \mathcal{U}) -almost hyperbolic manifold X is said to be complete if it is complete with respect to $\rho_X^{\alpha}(,)[\mathcal{U}]$. Almost hyperbolic manifolds are — in general — not hyperbolic-like in the sense of [4] and vice versa. Hyperbolic manifolds in the sense of [6] are simultaneously (α, \mathcal{U}) almost hyperbolic and (α, \mathcal{U}) -hyperbolic-like. 3. The expression $\rho_X^{\alpha}(z_0, z)$ as an almost hyperbolic pseudodistance. We start with proving

Proposition 3. Let X, \mathcal{U} and (Y, \mathcal{V}) be two (k, m)-hyperbolic *n*-dimensional complex manifolds with k, m, α as in Proposition 1, locally finite open coverings \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} , and admissible families F[U] and $F[\mathcal{V}]$ of pluriharmonic functions. Let $f: X \to Y$ be a proper holomorphic mapping such that $f^{-1}[\mathcal{V}] \subset \mathcal{U}$. Then

(3)
$$\rho_X^{\alpha}(z_0, z)[\mathcal{U}] \ge \rho_Y^{\alpha}(f(z_0), f(z))[\mathcal{U}] \quad \text{for } z_0, z \in X.$$

Proof. Given $u \in F[\mathcal{U}]$, we have $u \circ f \in F[\mathcal{U}]$. For each elementary chain Γ_j either $f[\Gamma_j]$ is one point or, since the image of any elementary chain passing through points z_0 , z of X, is a bordered holomorphic chain passing through the points $f(z_0)$, f(z) of Y = f[X][1] (Lemma 1), $f[\Gamma_j]$ is a one-dimensional complex variety and the restriction $f|\Gamma_j:\Gamma_j \to f[\Gamma_j]$ is a finite ramified covering. By the definition of ρ_Y^{α} and the above observation, taking into account the suprema over $V \in F[\mathcal{V}]$ and $U \in F[\mathcal{U}]$, we arrive at (3), as desired.

Besides, arguing as in the proof of Proposition 4 in [4], we get

Proposition 4. Let (X, U) and (Y, V) be two (k, m)-hyperbolic m-dimensional manifolds as in Proposition 3 such that is a finite-sheeted covering manifolds of X with covering projection $\pi : X \to Y$, every $u \in U$ is well covered by π , and $\mathcal{V} = \pi^{-1}[\mathcal{U}]$. Let $z_0, z \in X$ and $S_0, S \in Y$ so that $\pi(s_0) = z_0$ and $\pi(s) = z$. Then

$$\rho_X^{\alpha}(z_0, z)[\mathcal{U}] = \min\{\rho_Y^{\alpha}(s_0, s)[\mathcal{V}] : s \in Y, \ \pi(s_0) = z_0 \text{ and } \pi(s) = z\}.$$

Since a (k, m)-hyperbolic *n*-dimensional complex manifold (X, \mathcal{U}) with a locally finite open covering \mathcal{U} induces a locally finite open covering \mathcal{U}' on any submanifold X' of X, we also have:

Proposition 5. An n-dimensional complex submanifold (X, U) of a complete hyperbolic n-dimensional complex manifold X is (α, U') -almost hyperbolic provided that $U' = U \cap X'$. If, in addition, X' is closed, it is also complete.

Corollary. The submanifold $X' = \{z \in X : f(z) = 0\}$ of an (α, \mathcal{U}) -almost hyperbolic manifold X, where f is a holomorphic function on X, is (α, \mathcal{U}') -almost hyperbolic.

Proof. It is sufficient to observe that the embedding of X' into X is proper holomorphic since X' is a closed submanifold of X, and to apply Proposition 1 of [1] in its modified version corresponding to (k, m)-hyperbolic manifolds.

The next step is to prove the following analogue of Theorem 4.10 in [6]:

Proposition 6. Let X be an (α, \mathcal{U}) -almost hyperbolic manifold and f a holomorphic function on X. Then the open submanifold $X' = \{z \in X : f(z) \neq 0\}$ of X is (α, \mathcal{U}') -almost hyperbolic manifold.

Proof. It is easy to observe that X' is an open complex submanifold of X and thus, it is holomorphically embedded by a holomorphic inclusion mapping $i_X : X' \to X$. Next, by Proposition 3, we arrive at the statement.

REFERENCES

- Boryczka, G. and L.M. Tovar, Hyperbolic-like manifolds. Geometrical properties and holomorphic mappings, in: Generalizations of Complex Analysis and Their Applications in Physics, ed. by J. Lawrynowicz, Banach Center Publications 37, PWN — Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw, 1996, pp. 53-66.
- [2] Chern, S.S., H.I. Levine and L. Nirenberg, Intrinsic norms on a complex manifold, in: Global Analysis, Papers in honor of K. Kodaira, ed. by D.C. Spencer and S. Iynaga, Univ. of Tokyo Press and Princeton Univ. Press, Tokyo 1969, pp. 119-139; reprinted in S.S. Chern: Selected Papers, Springer, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin 1978, pp. 371-391.
- [3] Dolbeault, P., Sur les chaines maximalement complexes au bord donné, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 44 (1986), 171-205.
- [4] Dolbeault, P. and J. Lawrynowicz, Holomorphic chains and extendability of holomorphic mappings, in: Deformations of Mathematical Structures. Complex Analysis with Physical Applications. Selected papers from the Seminar on Deformations, Łódź-Lublin 1985/87. Edited by J.Lawrynowicz, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht-Boston-London 1989, pp. 191-204.
- [5] Eisenman, E. (now Pelles), Intrinsic Measures on Complex Manifolds and Holomorphic Mappings, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 96 (1970), ii + 80 pp.
- Kobayashi, S., Hyperbolic Manifolds and Holomorphic Mappings (Pure and Appl. Math. 2), Marcel Dekker, New York 1970, x + 148 pp.
- Pelles, D.A. (formerly Eisenman), Holomorphic maps which preserve intrinsic measure, Amer. J. Math. 97 (1975), 1-15.

Université Paris VI

received January 16, 1998

34 rue des Cordelieres, 75013 Paris, France e-mail: dolbeal@math.jussieu.fr

Institute of Mathematics Polish Academy of Sciences Department of Complex Analysis and Differential Geometry ul. Narutowicza 56, 90-136 Lódź, Poland, e-mail: jlawryno@krysia.uni.lodz.pl