ANNALES

UNIVERSITATIS MARIAE CURIE – SKLODOWSKA LUBLIN – POLONIA

VOL. LIII, 20

SECTIO A

1999

VICTOR V. STARKOV

Integral means of derivatives of locally univalent Bloch functions

ABSTRACT. In this paper we give examples of locally univalent Bloch functions f_k , (k = 0, 1, 2, ...), such that for $p \ge 1/2$ the integral means $I_p(r, f_k)$ behave like $(1 - r)^{1/2-p} (-\log(1 - r))^k$ for $r \to 1^-$.

For a function $\varphi(z)$ analytic in the unit disk $\Delta = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ and p > 0, define its p-integral mean by the formula

$$I_p(r, arphi) = rac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |arphi(re^{i heta})|^p d heta, \quad r\in (0,1).$$

There are many papers dealing with the integral means in various classes of functions. In particular asymptotic behaviour of integral means for $r \to 1-$ was investigated. For example, in the class S of functions $g(z) = z + \ldots$ analytic and univalent in Δ sharp estimate $I_p(r,g') = O(\frac{1}{(1-r)^{3p-1}})$ for $p \geq 2/5$ ([F-MG]) was obtained. Since the derivative of functions in the class S satisfies sharp inequality $|g'(z)| \leq (1+|z|)(1-|z|)^{-3}, z \in \Delta$, the order of growth of the integral means of functions decreases by 1 as compared with the order of growth of the derivative of functions in S. A function f analytic in Δ belongs to the Bloch class \mathcal{B} , if it has a finite Bloch norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} = |f(0)| + \sup_{z \in \Delta} [(1 - |z|^2)|f'(z)|].$$

Hence the exact estimates

$$|f'(z)| = O((1 - |z|)^{-1}), \ |f(z)| = O(-\log(1 - |z|)), \ z \in \Delta,$$

follow. Also for Bloch functions the reduction of growth after integration on circles can be observed, (see [C-MG], [M]). In fact, for $f \in \mathcal{B}$ and p > 0we have $I_p(r, f) = O((\log \frac{1}{1-|z|})^{p/2})$, as $r \to 1$. But for derivatives of Bloch functions have no similar property. In particular from Theorem 4 of [G] it follows, that there exists a function $f \in \mathcal{B}$ for which

$$I_p(r, f') \ge c^p (1-r)^{-p}, \ \ 0 \le r < 1, \ \ p > 0;$$

where c = c(f) is a constant.

Now, let us denote by \mathcal{B}' the subclass of locally univalent functions in \mathcal{B} . Investigation of $I_p(r, f')$, $f \in \mathcal{B}'$, is motivated by the behaviour of Taylor coefficients of functions from \mathcal{B}' ([P1], p.690).

In this paper we construct for every k = 0, 1, 2, ... and every p > 1 examples of functions $F_k \in \mathcal{B}'$, such that

$$I_p(r,F_k') \geq rac{c(k,p)}{(1-r)^{p-1/2}}\log^k rac{1}{1-r}, \ \ 1>r \geq
ho_k(p)>0,$$

where c(k, p) is a constant independent of r. We will use the following two lemmas. Suppose $\mathcal{B}_M = \{f \in \mathcal{B} : ||f(z) - f(0)||_{\mathcal{B}} \leq M\}.$

Lemma 1. If $f \in \mathcal{B}_M$ and $\omega(z)$ is analytic in Δ with $|\omega(z)| < 1$ for $z \in \Delta$, then $F = f \circ \omega$ belongs to \mathcal{B}_M .

Proof. By the Schwarz Lemma ([Gol], p. 319-320) we have

$$|\omega'(z)| \leq rac{1-|\omega(z)|^2}{1-|z|^2} ext{ for } z \in \Delta.$$

Thus $|F'(z)|(1-|z|^2) \leq |f'(\omega(z))|(1-|\omega(z)|^2)$, i.e. $||F(z)-F(0)||_{\mathcal{B}} \leq ||f(z)-f(0)||_{\mathcal{B}}$ and consequently $F \in \mathcal{B}_M$. \Box

Lemma 2. Let $\Gamma = \{\Gamma(\theta) = r(\theta)e^{i\theta} : \theta \in [-\pi, \pi]\}$ be a closed, piecewise smooth curve contained in Δ , symmetric with respect to the real axis. Moreover, assume that $r(\theta) > 0$ increases on $[0, \pi]$ from r_0 to $r^0 > r_0$. If f is analytic in Δ with $|f(z)|(1-|z|^2) \leq 1$ in Δ , then for $\lambda > 1$

(1)
$$\int_{\Gamma} |f(z)|^{\lambda} |dz| \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\int_{|z|=r_0} |f(z)|^{\lambda} |dz| - \frac{16}{\lambda - 1} ((1 - r^0)^{1 - \lambda} - (1 - r_0)^{1 - \lambda}) \right],$$

and for
$$\lambda = 1$$

$$\int_{\Gamma} |f(z)| |dz| \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{|z|=r_0} |f(z)| |dz| - 4\sqrt{2}r_0 \log \frac{1-r_0}{1-r^0}.$$

If $f(z) \neq 0$ in Δ , then for $\lambda \in (0, 1)$

(1')
$$\int_{\Gamma} |f(z)|^{\lambda} |dz| \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{|z|=r_0} |f(z)|^{\lambda} |dz|$$
$$- \frac{4\sqrt{2}(1+\lambda)}{\lambda(1-\lambda)} \left[(1-r_0)^{1-\lambda} - (1-r^0)^{1-\lambda}) - (1-\lambda)(r^0-r_0) \right].$$

Proof. We may suppose that $r(\theta)$ increases on $[0, \pi]$. If $\theta \in [-\pi, 0]$, consider $\int_{-\Gamma} |f(-z)|^{\lambda} |dz|$, where the curve $-\Gamma$ has the parametrization $-\Gamma(\theta)$. Let us divide the interval $[-\pi, \pi]$ into 2n equal intervals $0 < \theta_0 <$ $\theta_1 < \ldots < \theta_n = \pi, 0 = \theta_0 > \theta_{-1} > \ldots > \theta_{-n} = -\pi$. Put $r_j =$ $r(\theta_j), j = -n, \ldots, n; r_j$ is increasing with respect to |j|. Now let us consider the piecewise smooth curve $\Gamma^{(n)}$, which is the union of circular arcs $\{z = r_j e^{i\theta} : \theta \in [\theta_{j-1}, \theta_j]\}, j = -n + 1, -n + 2, \ldots, n$ and segments of radii $\{z = r e^{i\theta_{j-1}} : r \in [r_{j-1}, r_j]\}, j = -n + 1, -n + 2, \ldots, n$. Put $\Delta \theta_j = \theta_j - \theta_{j-1}, \Delta r_j = |r_j - r_{j-1}|, z_j = r_j e^{i\theta_j}, j = -n + 1, -n + 2, \ldots, n$, $\Gamma_j = \{z \in \Gamma : z = r(\theta) e^{i\theta}, \theta \in [\theta_{j-1}, \theta_j]\},$ $\Gamma_i^{(n)} = \{r e^{i\theta} \in \Gamma^{(n)} : \theta \in [\theta_{j-1}, \theta_j]\}.$

The length of the above curves Γ , $\Gamma^{(n)}$, Γ_j , $\Gamma_j^{(n)}$ will be denoted by the same symbols, respectively. The uniform continuity of $|f(z)|^{\lambda}$ in the disk $K = \{z : |z| \le r^0\}$ implies for every $\varepsilon > 0$ the existence of $\eta = \eta(\varepsilon) > 0$, such that

(2)
$$||f(z')|^{\lambda} - |f(z'')|^{\lambda}| < \varepsilon$$

for every $z', z'' \in K$, $|z' - z''| < \eta$. Since $\sqrt{2}|d\Gamma(\theta)| \ge |dr(\theta)| + r(\theta)d\theta$ with $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$, we have for every fixed $\delta > 0$ and sufficiently large n

(3)
$$(\delta + \sqrt{2})\Gamma_j \ge \Delta r_j + r_j \Delta \theta_j = \Gamma_j^{(n)}, \quad j = -n+1, \dots, n.$$

Then diameters of the curves Γ_j and $\Gamma_j^{(n)}$ will be less than η . Therefore by (2) and (3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta + \sqrt{2}) \int_{\Gamma} |f(z)|^{\lambda} |dz| &- \int_{\Gamma^{(n)}} |f(z)|^{\lambda} |dz| \\ &= \sum_{j=1-n}^{n} \left[(\delta + \sqrt{2}) \int_{\Gamma_{j}} |f(z)|^{\lambda} |dz| - \int_{\Gamma_{j}^{(n)}} |f(z)|^{\lambda} |dz| \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$=\sum_{j=1-n}^{n} \left[(\delta + \sqrt{2}) \int_{\Gamma_j} (|f(z)|^{\lambda} - |f(z_j)|^{\lambda}) |dz| - \int_{\Gamma_j^{(n)}} (|f(z)|^{\lambda} - |f(z_j)|^{\lambda}) |dz| + (\delta + \sqrt{2}) |f(z_j)|^{\lambda} \Gamma_j - |f(z_j)|^{\lambda} \Gamma_j^{(n)} \right]$$

$$\geq -\varepsilon [(\sqrt{2} + \delta)\Gamma + \Gamma^{(n)}].$$

The number ε can be chosen so that the last expression will be greater than $-\delta(\sqrt{2}-1)\int_{\Gamma}|f(z)|^{\lambda}|dz|$. Thus

(4)
$$\sqrt{2}(\delta+1)\int_{\Gamma}|f(z)|^{\lambda}|dz| \geq \int_{\Gamma^{(n)}}|f(z)|^{\lambda}|dz|.$$

For the parameter $t \in [0, 1]$ let us consider a family of curves

 $\Gamma(n,t) = \{tz : z \in \Gamma^{(n)}\}, \ \Gamma(n,1) = \Gamma^{(n)}, \ \Gamma(n,0) = 0.$

Then

$$\int_{\Gamma(n,t)} |f(z)|^{\lambda} |dz| = t \sum_{j=1-n}^{n} \left(\int_{\theta_{j-1}}^{\theta_{j}} |f(tr_{j}e^{i\theta})|^{\lambda}r_{j}d\theta + \frac{1}{t} \int_{tr_{j-1}}^{tr_{j}} |f(re^{i\theta_{j-1}})|^{\lambda} |dr| \right)$$
$$\geq tr_{0} \sum_{j=1-n}^{n} \left(\int_{\theta_{j-1}}^{\theta_{j}} |f(tr_{j}e^{i\theta})|^{\lambda}r_{j}d\theta + \frac{1}{tr_{0}} \int_{tr_{j-1}}^{tr_{j}} |f(re^{i\theta_{j-1}})|^{\lambda} |dr| \right)$$
(5)

$$= tr_0 \times \sum_{j=1-n}^n \left(\int_{\theta_{j-1}}^{\theta_j} |f(tr_j e^{i\theta})|^\lambda d\theta \right)$$

- $\int_0^t \frac{\lambda}{\tau} \left[\int_{\tau r_{j-1}}^{\tau r_j} |f(re^{i\theta_{j-1}})|^{\lambda-1} \frac{\partial |f|}{\partial \theta} (re^{i\theta_{j-1}}) \frac{|dr|}{r} \right] d\tau$
- $tr_0 \sum_{j=1-n}^n \int_0^t \frac{\lambda}{\tau} \left[\int_{\tau r_{j-1}}^{\tau r_j} |f(re^{i\theta_{j-1}})|^{\lambda-1} \frac{\partial |f|}{\partial \theta} (re^{i\theta_{j-1}}) \frac{|dr|}{r} \right] d\tau$
+ $\sum_{j=1-n}^n \int_{tr_{j-1}}^{tr_j} |f(re^{i\theta_{j-1}})|^\lambda |dr|.$

The first of the last three sums should be denoted by I(t) and the components of the second and third sums for t = 1 by B_j and A_j , respectively.

Then

(6)
$$I(t) = \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\lambda}{\tau} \sum_{J=1-n}^{n} \left[\int_{\theta_{j-1}}^{\theta_{j}} |f(\tau r_{j} e^{i\theta})|^{\lambda-1} \frac{\partial |f|}{\partial r} (\tau r_{j} e^{i\theta}) \tau r_{j} d\theta - \int_{\tau r_{j-1}}^{\tau r_{j}} |f(r e^{i\theta_{j-1}})|^{\lambda-1} \frac{\partial |f|}{\partial \theta} (r e^{i\theta_{j-1}}) \frac{|dr|}{r} \right] d\tau.$$

If $f \equiv 0$ then the lemma holds. Suppose f is not identically zero. The function f may have a finite set of zeros on the disk K. One can assume that for fixed n there exists a finite family of curves $\Gamma(n,t)$, containing those zeros. Otherwise instead of f one can consider $f(ze^{i\gamma})$ with small $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. Next let us consider such $t \in [0,1]$ that the curves $\Gamma(n,t)$ do not contain zeros of f. For $z = re^{i\theta} \in \Gamma(n,t)$ let $\Phi(z) = \arg f(z)$. By the Cauchy-Riemann equations we have

$$rrac{\partial |f|}{\partial r} = |f|rac{\partial \Phi}{\partial heta}\,, \qquad r|f|rac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r} = -rac{\partial |f|}{\partial heta}$$

Thus by (6) we obtain

$$\begin{split} I'(t) &= \frac{\lambda}{t} \sum_{J=1-n}^{n} \left[\int_{\theta_{j-1}}^{\theta_{j}} |f(tr_{j}e^{i\theta})|^{\lambda} d\Phi(tr_{j}e^{i\theta}) \right. \\ &+ \int_{\tau r_{j-1}}^{\tau r_{j}} |f(re^{i\theta_{j-1}})|^{\lambda} d\Phi(re^{i\theta_{j-1}}) \right] = \frac{\lambda}{t} \int_{a}^{b} |f(\gamma(\xi))|^{\lambda} d\Phi(\gamma(\xi)), \end{split}$$

where $\gamma(\xi), \xi \in [a, b]$, is a piecewise parametrization of the curve $\Gamma(n, t)$ which gives the positive orientation on $\Gamma(n, t)$. Let

$$L = L(\xi) = x(\xi) + iy(\xi) = |f(\gamma(\xi))|^{\lambda/2} e^{i\Phi(\gamma(\xi))}.$$

Then

$$x(\xi)dy(\xi) - y(\xi)dx(\xi) = |f(\gamma(\xi))|^{\lambda}d\Phi(\gamma(\xi))$$

and the Green formula implies

$$I'(t) = rac{\lambda}{t} \int_L x dy - y dx = rac{2\lambda}{t} S(n,t),$$

where S(n,t) is the area of the image (generally many sheeted) of the compact set with the boundary $\Gamma(n,t)$ under the function

(7)
$$\begin{cases} |f(z)|^{\lambda/2} e^{i\Phi(z)}, & f(z) \neq 0, \\ 0, & f(z) = 0. \end{cases}$$

· The state of the second

Now, let

$$r_0 t \mathcal{I}(t) = r_0 t \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(r_0 t e^{i\theta})|^{\lambda} d\theta = \int_{|z|=r_0 t} |f(z)|^{\lambda} |dz|.$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}'(t) &= \lambda \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(r_0 t e^{i\theta})|^{\lambda - 1} \frac{\partial |f|}{\partial r} (r_0 t e^{i\theta}) r_0 d\theta \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{t} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(r_0 t e^{i\theta})|^{\lambda} d\Phi(r_0 t e^{i\theta}) = \frac{2\lambda}{t} S(r_0 t). \end{aligned}$$

where $S(r_0t)$ is the area of the image of the disk $\{z : |z| \leq r_0t\}$ under the function (7). Thus the inequality $I'(t) \geq \mathcal{I}'(t)$ holds for all $t \in [0,1]$, possibly except for a finite set of t. Therefore by continuity of I(t) and $\mathcal{I}(t)$ in [0,1] we obtain $I(1) - I(0) \geq \mathcal{I}(1) - \mathcal{I}(0)$. But $\mathcal{I}(0) = I(0) = 2\pi |f(0)|^{\lambda}$, because for sufficiently small r the quantity $|f(re^{i\theta})|^{\lambda} |\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r}(re^{i\theta})|$ is bounded by a constant C. Thus by the Cauchy-Riemann equations

$$\left|\int_0^t \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{\tau\tau_{j-1}}^{\tau\tau_j} |f(re^{i\theta_{j-1}})|^{\lambda-1} \frac{\partial |f|}{\partial \theta} (re^{i\theta_{j-1}}) \frac{dr}{r} d\tau\right|$$

$$=\left|\int_0^t \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{\tau r_{j-1}}^{\tau r_j} |f(re^{i\theta_{j-1}})|^{\lambda} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r}(re^{i\theta_{j-1}}) dr d\tau\right| \le C \int_0^t (r_j - r_{j-1}) d\tau \to 0,$$

as $t \to 0$. Consequently $I(1) \ge \mathcal{I}(1)$. Then (8)

$$\int_{\Gamma^{(n)}} |f(z)|^{\lambda} |dz| \ge r_0 I(1) + \sum_{j=1-n}^n (A_j + B_j)$$
$$\ge r_0 \mathcal{I}(1) + \sum_{j=1-n}^n B_j = \int_{|z|=r_0} |f(z)|^{\lambda} |dz| + \sum_{j=1-n}^n B_j.$$

Now, observe that

$$\left|\frac{\partial |f|}{\partial \theta}\right| = \left|\frac{\partial \exp(\operatorname{Re}\ \log f)}{\partial \theta}\right| \le |zf'(z)| \le \frac{4|z|}{(1-|z|^2)^2}$$

(cf. [W]). Thus, in order to obtain an estimate of $|B_j|$ we deal with

$$B = r_0 \int_0^1 \frac{\lambda}{\tau} \int_{\tau \rho_1}^{\tau \rho_2} |f(re^{i\theta_{j-1}})|^{\lambda-1} |f'(re^{i\theta_{j-1}})| dr d\tau, \quad 0 < \rho_1 < \rho_2 < 1.$$

From our assumptions we obtain

$$|B| \le r_0 \int_0^1 \frac{\lambda}{\tau} \int_{\tau\rho_1}^{\tau\rho_2} \frac{4}{(1-\tau)^{\lambda+1}} d\tau d\tau$$

= $4r_0 \int_0^1 \frac{1}{t} \left[\frac{1}{(1-t\rho_2)^{\lambda}} - \frac{1}{(1-t\rho_1)^{\lambda}} \right] dt.$

Now, let $\varphi(t)$ be the function appearing in the last integral. We have

$$\varphi(t) = \lambda(\rho_2 - \rho_1) + \frac{\lambda(\lambda + 1)}{2}(\rho_2^2 - \rho_1^2)t + \frac{\lambda(\lambda + 1)(\lambda + 2)}{3!}(\rho_2^3 - \rho_1^3)t^2 + \dots$$

Since the radius of convergence is greater than 1, we obtain

$$\int_0^1 \varphi(t)dt = \lambda(\rho_2 - \rho_1) + \ldots + \frac{\lambda(\lambda+1)\dots(\lambda+k-1)}{k!} \frac{\rho_2^k - \rho_1^k}{k} + \ldots$$

However,

$$\frac{\rho_2^k - \rho_1^k}{k} = \frac{\rho_2^k - \rho_1^k}{k+1} \frac{k+1}{k} \le \frac{2}{k+1} \frac{\rho_2^{k+1} - \rho_1^{k+1}}{\rho_2}$$

and hence for $\lambda > 1$ we get

$$\begin{split} &\int_{0}^{1} \varphi(t) dt \leq \frac{2}{\rho_{2}(\lambda-1)} \\ \times \left[\frac{(\lambda-1)\lambda}{2!} (\rho_{2}^{2} - \rho_{1}^{2}) + \ldots + \frac{(\lambda-1)\lambda\ldots(\lambda+k-1)}{(k+1)!} (\rho_{2}^{k+1} - \rho_{1}^{k+1}) + \ldots \right] \\ &= \frac{2}{\rho_{2}(\lambda-1)} \left[((1-\rho_{2})^{1-\lambda} - 1 - (\lambda-1)\rho_{2}) - ((1-\rho_{1})^{1-\lambda} - 1 - (\lambda-1)\rho_{1}) \right] \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\rho_{2}(\lambda-1)} ((1-\rho_{2})^{1-\lambda} - (1-\rho_{1})^{1-\lambda}), \end{split}$$

so that $|B| \leq 4r_0 \int_0^1 \varphi(t) dt \leq \frac{8r_0}{\rho_2(\lambda-1)} ((1-\rho_2)^{1-\lambda} - (1-\rho_1)^{1-\lambda})$. Thus

$$\left|\sum_{j=1-n}^{n} B_{j}\right| \leq \sum_{j=1-n}^{n} |B_{j}| \leq \frac{16}{\lambda - 1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} ((1 - r_{j})^{1 - \lambda} - (1 - r_{j-1})^{1 - \lambda})$$
$$= \frac{16}{\lambda - 1} ((1 - r^{0})^{1 - \lambda} - (1 - r_{0})^{1 - \lambda})$$

and by (8) we have

$$\int_{\Gamma^{(n)}} |f(z)|^{\lambda} |dz| \ge \int_{|z|=r_0} |f(z)|^{\lambda} |dz| - \frac{16}{\lambda - 1} ((1 - r^0)^{1 - \lambda} - (1 - r_0)^{1 - \lambda}).$$

Then from (4) we obtain

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Gamma} |f(z)|^{\lambda} |dz| &\geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}(\delta+1)} \\ &\times \left[\int_{|z|=r_0} |f(z)|^{\lambda} |dz| - \frac{16}{\lambda-1} \left((1-r^0)^{1-\lambda} - (1-r_0)^{1-\lambda} \right) \right] \end{split}$$

Since δ is any positive number, we get our Lemma for $\lambda > 1$. If $\lambda = 1$ then

$$\int_0^1 \varphi(t) dt = \log \frac{1 - \rho_1}{1 - \rho_2}, \quad B \le 4r_0 \log \frac{1 - \rho_1}{1 - \rho_2}.$$

Thus

$$\left|\sum_{j=1-n}^{n} B_{j}\right| \le 8r_{0}\log\frac{1-r_{0}}{1-r^{0}}$$

and

$$\int_{\Gamma} |f(z)| |dz| \ge \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{|z|=r_0} |f(z)| |dz| - 4\sqrt{2}r_0 \log \frac{1-r_0}{1-r^0}.$$

Now, let $\lambda \in (0,1)$ and $f(z) \neq 0$ in Δ . Then the function $f_{\lambda}(z) = f^{\lambda}(z)$ is analytic in Δ and $|f_{\lambda}(z)|(1-|z|^2)^{\lambda} \leq 1$. For such functions $f_{\lambda}(z)$ K. J. Wirths ([W]) showed that

$$f'_{\lambda}(z)|(1-|z|^2)^{\lambda+1} \le 2(\lambda+1).$$

Therefore

$$B = r_0 \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{\tau\rho_1}^{\tau\rho_2} |f_{\lambda}'(re^{i\theta_{j-1}}| dr d\tau)|$$

$$\leq 2r_0(\lambda+1) \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{\tau\rho_1}^{\tau\rho_2} \frac{dr d\tau}{(1-\tau)^{\lambda+1}}$$

$$= \frac{2r_0(\lambda+1)}{\lambda} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{t} [(1-t\rho_2)^{-\lambda} - (1-t\rho_1)^{-\lambda}] dt.$$

As in the case $\lambda > 1$ we estimate the last integral by

$$\frac{2}{\rho_2(1-\lambda)}((1-\rho_1)^{1-\lambda}-(1-\rho_2)^{1-\lambda}+(1-\lambda)(\rho_1-\rho_2)),$$

i.e.

$$B \leq \frac{4r_0(1+\lambda)}{\rho_2\lambda(1-\lambda)}((1-\rho_1)^{1-\lambda} - (1-\rho_2)^{1-\lambda} + (1-\lambda)(\rho_1-\rho_2)).$$

Thus

$$\left|\sum_{j=1-n}^{n} B_{j}\right| \leq \frac{8(1+\lambda)}{\lambda(1-\lambda)} ((1-r_{0})^{1-\lambda} - (1-r^{0})^{1-\lambda} - (1-\lambda)(r^{0}-r_{0})).$$

Then by (4) and (8) we obtain

$$\int_{\Gamma} |f(z)|^{\lambda} |dz| \ge \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}(\delta+1)} \left[\int_{|z|=r_0} |f(z)|^{\lambda} |dz| - \frac{8(1+\lambda)}{\lambda(1-\lambda)} ((1-r_0)^{1-\lambda} - (1-r^0)^{1-\lambda} - (1-\lambda)(r^0-r_0)) \right].$$

Since δ is an arbitrary positive number, we get our Lemma for $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. \Box

Remark. Lemma 2 holds also for monotonic $r(\theta)$ in $[\theta_0, \theta^0]$ and $[\theta^0, \theta_0 + 2\pi]$. It can be generalized for a piecewise monotonic and continuous function $r(\theta)$. In the case $\lambda > 1$ the coefficient $16/(\lambda - 1)$ from Lemma must be replaced by $8k/(\lambda - 1)$. Similarly we can consider the case $\lambda \in (0, 1]$.

Let us now consider $f(z) = \log(1-z) \in \mathcal{B}_2$ and $\omega(z) = \exp\left(-\pi \frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)$. Since $|\omega| < 1$ in Δ , one can define functions

(9)
$$F_0 = f \circ \omega, \ F_k = F_{k-1} \circ \omega, \qquad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

analytic in Δ .

Theorem. The functions F_k defined by (9) belong to $\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{B}'$. Moreover, the inequality

$$I_p(r, F'_k) \ge rac{c(k, p)}{(1 - r^2)^{p - 1/2}} \log^k rac{1}{1 - r^2} \quad \text{for } \ 0 \le
ho_k(p) < 1,$$

holds for every k = 0, 1, 2, ... and every p > 1/2 with the constants c(k, p) defined as follows.

If p > 1 then

$$c(0,p) = \frac{ce^{-\pi p}}{2\pi 10^{p-1}} \left(\frac{2}{5}\right)^{p-1/2}$$

where $0 < c = c(p) = \inf_{r \in [0,1)} [(1-r)^{1-p} \int_0^{2\pi} |1-re^{it}|^{-p} dt]$, and

$$c(k,p) = rac{c(0,p)}{k!(2^{(k+3)/2}\sqrt{\pi}10^p)^k}, \ \
ho_0(p) = 1/\sqrt{2}.$$

If $p \in (1/2, 1]$ then

$$c(0,p) = \frac{c(p)e^{-\pi}}{3(2\pi)^{2-p}(2p-1)}$$

with $c(p) = \inf_{r \in [0,1)} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{dt}{|1 - re^{it}|^p} > 0$ and

$$c(k,p)=rac{c(0,p)}{(10\sqrt{\pi})^kk!}$$

For p = 1/2 we have

$$I_{1/2}(r, F_k') \ge \frac{c(0, 1/2)}{(10\sqrt{\pi})^k (k+1)!} \log^{k+1} \frac{1}{1 - r^2}$$

where c(0, 1/2) is given by the same formula as in the case $p \in (1/2, 1]$.

Proof. From the definition of F_k it follows that $F_k \in \mathcal{B}'$. By Lemma 1 we get $F_k \in \mathcal{B}_2$ for every k, since $\log(1-z) \in \mathcal{B}_2$.

For positive integers N consider the sequence $r_N = \frac{N}{\sqrt{N^2+1}} \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{} 1$. Put $\delta_N = \arccos r_N$. Then

Re
$$\frac{1+r_N e^{i\delta_N}}{1-r_N e^{i\delta_N}} = \frac{1-r_N^2}{1-2r_N\cos\delta_N+r_N^2} = 1,$$

Im
$$\frac{1+r_N e^{i\delta_N}}{1-r_N e^{i\delta_N}} = \frac{2r_N \sin \delta_N}{1-2r_N \cos \delta_N + r_N^2} = \frac{2r_N \sqrt{1-r_N^2}}{1-r_N^2} = \frac{2r_N}{\sqrt{1-r_N^2}} = 2N.$$

Now let $\delta_m \in [0, \pi]$ be a solution of the equation

Im
$$\frac{1 + r_N e^{i\delta_m}}{1 - r_N e^{i\delta_m}} = \frac{2r_N \sin \delta_m}{1 - 2r_N \cos \delta_m + r_N^2} = 2m,$$

where $m \in [0, N]$ is an integer. Setting $\gamma = \cos \delta_m$ we obtain a quadratic equation $\gamma^2 (4r_N^2m^2 + r_N^2) - 4m^2r_N(1 + r_N^2)\gamma + m^2(1 + r_N^2)^2 - r_N^2 = 0$. Hence

$$\gamma = \cos \delta_m = \frac{2m^2}{1+4m^2} \frac{1+2N^2}{N\sqrt{1+N^2}} - \frac{1}{1+4m^2} \sqrt{1-\frac{m^2}{N^2(N^2+1)}}.$$

- 1 T

Let us introduce the expression

$$x_m = \operatorname{Re} \frac{1 + r_N e^{i\delta_m}}{1 - r_N e^{i\delta_m}} = \frac{1 - r_N^2}{1 - 2r_N \cos \delta_m + r_N^2}$$
$$= \frac{4m^2 + 1}{2N^2 + 1 + \sqrt{(2N^2 + 1)^2 - 4m^2 - 1}}.$$

First consider the case p > 1 and use the induction with respect to $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$

a) For k = 0 we have

$$I_p(r_N, F_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |F_0'(r_N e^{it})|^p dt = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} |F_0'(r_N e^{it})|^p dt$$
$$\geq \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{m=N}^{1} \int_{\delta_m}^{\delta_{m-1}} |f'[\omega(r_N e^{it})]|^p |\omega(r_n e^{it})|^p \left| \frac{2\pi}{(1-r_N e^{it})^2} \right|^p dt.$$

Note that for $t \in [\delta_m, \delta_{m-1}]$ we have $|1 - r_N e^{it}| \le |1 - r_N e^{i\delta_{m-1}}|$ and

$$R(t) = |\omega(r_N e^{it})| \ge R_m = e^{-\pi x_m}$$

Moreover,

$$|1 - \omega(r_N e^{it})| = |1 - R(t)e^{i\theta(t)}| \le |1 - R_m e^{i\theta(t)}| + (R(t) - R_m)$$

$$\le |1 - R_m e^{i\theta}| + (1 - R_m) \le 2|1 - R_m e^{i\theta}|.$$

The interval $[\delta_m, \delta_{m-1}]$ is mapped by $\omega(r_N e^{it})$ onto one branch of the spiral $\omega = R(t)e^{i\theta(t)} = \rho(\theta)e^{i\theta}, \ \theta \in [-2\pi m, -2\pi(m-1)]$ and $\rho(\theta)$ increases from R_m to R_{m-1} . The element of length $|d\omega| = |d(\rho(\theta)e^{i\theta})|$ of the spiral is not less than the element of length $|d(R_m e^{i\theta})|$ of the circle $\{|\omega| = R_m\}$. In this way we get

$$r_N I_p(r_N, F_0) \geq \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{m=N}^{1} \frac{(2\pi)^{p-1} R_m^{p-1}}{|1 - r_N e^{i\delta_{m-1}}|^{2(p-1)} 2^p} \int_{|\omega| = R_m} \frac{|d\omega|}{|1 - \omega|^p}.$$

Since for p > 1 (cf.e.g. [MOS], p. 157)

$$u(r) = (1-r)^{p-1} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{dt}{|1-re^{it}|^p} \xrightarrow[r \to 1]{} \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{p}{2}\right),$$

this means that the function u(r) is positive and continuous on [0,1] with $u(1) = \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{p}{2}\right).$

Therefore $u(r) \ge c > 0$ for $r \in [0, 1]$ and consequently

$$r_N I_p(r_N, F_0) \ge \frac{c\pi^{p-2}}{2} \sum_{m=N}^{1} \frac{R_m^p}{|1 - r_N e^{i\delta_{m-1}}|^{2(p-1)} (1 - R_m)^{p-1}}$$

For any integer $m \in [0, N]$

$$\frac{x_{m-1}}{x_m} = \frac{4(m-1)^2 + 1}{4m^2 + 1} \frac{2N^2 + 1 + \sqrt{(2N^2 + 1)^2 - (4m^2 + 1)}}{2N^2 + 1 + \sqrt{(2N^2 + 1)^2 - 4(m-1)^2 - 1}}$$

$$= \left(1 - \frac{8m - 4}{4m^2 + 1}\right) \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m^2 + 1}{(2N^2 + 1)^2}}}{1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{4(m-1)^2 + 1}{(2N^2 + 1)^2}}} \ge \left(1 - \frac{8m - 4}{4m^2 + 1}\right) \frac{1}{2} > \frac{1}{10}$$

Thus

(10)
$$\frac{1}{|1 - r_N e^{i\delta_{m-1}}|^2} = \frac{x_{m-1}}{1 - r_N^2} \ge \frac{x_m}{1 - r_N^2} \frac{1}{10}$$

and

$$r_N I_p(r_N, F_0) \ge \frac{c\pi^{p-2}}{210^{p-1}} \frac{1}{(1-r_N^2)^{p-1}} \sum_{m=1}^N \frac{R_m^p x_m^{p-1}}{(1-R_m)^{p-1}}.$$

Because $x_m \in [0, 1]$, we have $R_m \ge e^{-\pi}$, $1 - e^{-\pi x_m} \le \pi x_m$. Thus

$$r_N I_p(r_N, F_0) \ge \frac{c\pi^{p-2} e^{-\pi p}}{210^{p-1} \pi^{p-1}} \frac{N}{(1-r_N^2)^{p-1}} = \frac{ce^{-\pi p}}{2\pi 10^{p-1}} \frac{r_N}{(1-r_N^2)^{p-1/2}}.$$

The integral means $I_p(r, \varphi)$ are increasing with respect to $r \in [0, 1)$ for every function φ analytic in Δ (cf.e.g. [H], Theorem 3.1). Therefore $I_p(r, F_0) \ge I_p(r_N, F_0)$ for $r \in [r_N, r_{N+1}]$. Thus for $r \in [r_N, r_{N+1}]$

(11)
$$I_{p}(r, F_{0}) \geq \frac{ce^{-\pi p}}{2\pi 10^{p-1}} \frac{1}{(1-r^{2})^{p-1/2}} \left(\frac{1-r_{N+1}^{2}}{1-r_{N}^{2}}\right)^{p-1/2} \geq \frac{ce^{-\pi p}}{2\pi 10^{p-1}} \left(\frac{2}{5}\right)^{p-1/2} \frac{1}{(1-r^{2})^{p-1/2}}$$

for $N \ge 1$. Since N is arbitrary, the inequality (11) holds for $r \in [1/\sqrt{2}, 1)$. b) Now, suppose that the theorem holds for any fixed positive integer $k \ge 0$, i.e.

(12)
$$I_p(r, F'_k) \ge \frac{c_k}{(1-r^2)^{p-1/2}} \log^k \frac{1}{1-r^2} \text{ for } 1 > r \ge \rho_k \in (0, 1).$$

We show that it holds for k + 1. For m = 1, ..., N write

$$L_{m} = \{ \omega(r_{N}e^{it}) : t \in [\delta_{m}, \delta_{m-1}] \},\$$

$$L_{-m} = \{ \omega(r_{N}e^{it}) : t \in [-\delta_{m}, -\delta_{m-1}] \},\$$

where L_m is a spiral-like curve which winds once around the point z = 0. For $t \in [\delta_m, \delta_{m-1}]$ the quantity $|\omega(r_N e^{it})|$ increases with respect to t. L_{-m} is a curve symmetric to L_m with respect to the real axis. Therefore for every $m = 1, \ldots, N$ the curve $L_m \cup L_{-m}$ may be represented as a union of two piecewise smooth closed curves $\Gamma_m \cup \Gamma'_m$, where Γ_m consists of the upper part of L_m and the lower part of L_{-m} , and $\Gamma'_m = L_m \cup L_{-m} \setminus \Gamma_m$. Both curves Γ_m and Γ'_m fulfil the assumptions of Lemma 2 with $r_0 \ge R_m$ and $r^0 \le R_{m-1}$. Thus by (10) and (1) we obtain

$$\begin{split} r_{n}I_{p}(r_{N},F_{k+1}') &\geq \frac{r_{N}}{2\pi} \sum_{m=N}^{1-N} \int_{\delta_{m}}^{\delta_{m-1}} |F_{k+1}'(r_{N}e^{it})|^{p} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{m=N}^{1-N} \int_{\delta_{m}}^{\delta_{m-1}} |F_{k}'[\omega(r_{N}e^{it})]|^{p} |\omega'(r_{N}e^{it})|^{p-1} |d\omega(r_{N}e^{it})| \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{m=1}^{N} \frac{(2\pi R_{m})^{p-1}}{|1-r_{N}e^{i\delta_{m-1}}|^{2(p-1)}} \int_{L_{m}\cup L_{-m}} |F_{k}'(\omega)|^{p} |d\omega| \\ &\geq \frac{(2\pi)^{p-2}}{10^{p-1}(1-r_{N}^{2})^{p-1}} \sum_{m=1}^{N} (x_{m}R_{m})^{p-1} \int_{\Gamma_{m}\cup\Gamma_{m}'} |F_{k}'(\omega)|^{p} |d\omega| \\ &\geq \frac{(2\pi)^{p-2}\sqrt{2}}{10^{p-1}(1-r_{N}^{2})^{p-1}} \\ &\qquad \times \sum_{m=1}^{N} (x_{m}R_{m})^{p-1} \Big[2\pi R_{m}I_{p}(R_{m},F_{k}') \\ &\qquad - \frac{2^{p+4}}{p-1} \left((1-R_{m-1})^{1-p} - (1-R_{m})^{1-p} \right) \Big], \end{split}$$

since by Lemma 1 the functions F_k belong to \mathcal{B}_2 , i.e. $|F'_k(z)|(1-|z|^2) \leq 2$ for $z \in \Delta$.

Because
$$\frac{x_{m-1}}{x_m} > \frac{1}{10}$$
 for integers $m \in [0, N]$, we have
 $x_m < 10x_{m-1} \Longrightarrow \pi(x_m - x_{m-1}) < 9\pi x_{m-1} < 9(e^{\pi x_{m-1}} - 1)$
 $\Longrightarrow R_{m-1}\pi(x_m - x_{m-1}) < 9(1 - R_{m-1})$
 $\iff \frac{1 - R_{m-1}(1 - \pi(x_m - x_{m-1}))}{1 - R_{m-1}} < 10$
 $\implies \frac{1 - R_{m-1}e^{-\pi(x_m - x_{m-1})}}{1 - R_m - 1} < 10 \iff \frac{1 - R_m}{1 - R_{m-1}} < 10.$

Therefore (see (12)) for $R_m \in (\rho_k, 1)$

(13)
$$2\pi R_m I_p(R_m, F'_k) - \frac{2^{p+4}}{p-1} ((1-R_{m-1})^{1-p} - (1-R_m)^{1-p})$$

$$> \frac{2\pi c_k R_m}{(1-R_m^2)^{p-1/2}} \log^k \frac{1}{1-R_m^2} - \frac{2^{p+4}}{p-1} ((1-R_{m-1})^{1-p} - (1-R_m)^{1-p})$$

$$= (1 - R_m^2)^{1-p} \left[\frac{2\pi c_k R_m}{\sqrt{1 - R_m^2}} \log^k \frac{1}{1 - R_m^2} - \frac{2^{p+4}}{p-1} \left(\left(\frac{1 - R_m}{1 - R_{m-1}} \right)^{p-1} - 1 \right) \right]$$

> $(1 - R_m^2)^{1-p} \left[\frac{2\pi c_k R_m}{\sqrt{1 - R_m^2}} \log^k \frac{1}{1 - R_m^2} - \frac{2^{p+4}}{p-1} 10^{p-1} \right]$
> $\frac{\pi c_k R_m \log^k \frac{1}{1 - R_m^2}}{(1 - R_m^2)^{p-1/2}}$

for R_m sufficiently close to 1, i.e. for $R_m > 1 - \varepsilon_k \ge \rho_k$, $\varepsilon_k \in (0, 1)$.

$$R_m > 1 - \varepsilon_k \iff x_m < \frac{1}{\pi} \log \frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon_k} = 2\eta_k^2 \ (0 < \eta_k < 1)$$
$$\iff \frac{4m^2 + 1}{2N^2 + 1 + \sqrt{(2N^2 + 1)^2 - 4m^2 - 1}} \le 2\eta_k^2$$
$$\iff 4m^2 + 1 \le (2N^2 + 1)4\eta_k^2 - 4\eta_k^4.$$

The last condition holds for $m \leq N\eta_k$, with $N > 1/(2\eta_k)$. Now, suppose that N is sufficiently large $(N \geq 2/\eta_k^2)$. Then the inequality (13) holds for $1 \leq m \leq N\eta_k$ and for $N \geq 2/\eta_k^2$

$$r_N I_p(r_N, F'_{k+1}) \ge \frac{\pi^{p-1} c_k}{\sqrt{2} 5^{p-1} (1-r_N^2)^{p-1}} \sum_{m=1}^{N\eta_k} \frac{x_m^{p-1} R_m^p}{(1-R_m^2)^{p-1/2}} \log^k \frac{1}{1-R_m^2}.$$

As stated above, $1 - R_m^2 \leq 2\pi x_m$ for every *m*. Moreover, $R_m > 1 - \varepsilon_k$ for $m \in [1, N\eta_k]$. Consequently

$$r_N I_p(r_N, F'_{k+1}) \ge \frac{c_k (1 - \varepsilon_k)^p}{2\sqrt{\pi} 10^{p-1} (1 - r_N^2)^{p-1}} \sum_{m=1}^{N\eta_k} \frac{1}{\sqrt{x_m}} \log^k \frac{1}{2\pi x_m}.$$

Since x_m increases with respect to m, each term in the last sum decreases with respect to m (we can assume that η_k is sufficiently small and then $4\pi x_m < 1$). Therefore

$$r_N I_p(r_N, F'_{k+1}) \geq \frac{c_k (1 - \varepsilon_k)^p}{2\sqrt{\pi} 10^{p-1} (1 - r_N^2)^{p-1}} \int_1^{N\eta_k} \frac{1}{\sqrt{x_m}} \log^k \frac{1}{2\pi x_m} dm.$$

The change of variables in the integral

 $(2N^2 + 1)u$

$$x_m = rac{1+\sqrt{1-u}}{1+\sqrt{1-u}}, \ u = rac{4m^2+1}{(2N^2+1)^2} \in [rac{5}{(2N^2+1)^2}, rac{4(N\eta_k)^2+1}{(2N^2+1)^2}] = [A,B]$$

yields $2m = \sqrt{(2N^2 + 1)^2 u - 1} \le (2N^2 + 1)\sqrt{u}$, and $dm = \frac{(2N^2 + 1)^2}{8m} du \ge \frac{2N^2 + 1}{4\sqrt{u}} du$.

Consequently

$$\begin{split} &\int_{1}^{N\eta_{k}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{x_{m}}} \log^{k} \frac{1}{2\pi x_{m}} dm \\ &\geq \int_{A}^{B} \frac{\sqrt{1+\sqrt{1-u}}}{\sqrt{(2N^{2}+1)u}} \frac{2N^{2}+1}{4\sqrt{u}} \log^{k} \frac{1+\sqrt{1-u}}{2\pi(2N^{2}+1)u} du \\ &\geq \frac{\sqrt{2N^{2}+1}}{4} \int_{A}^{B} \log^{k} \frac{1}{2\pi(2N^{2}+1)u} \frac{du}{u} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{2N^{2}+1}}{4(k+1)} \log^{k+1} \frac{1}{2\pi(2N^{2}+1)u} \Big|_{u=B}^{u=A} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{2N^{2}+1}}{4(k+1)} \left[\log^{k+1} \frac{2N^{2}+1}{10\pi} - \log^{k+1} \frac{2N^{2}+1}{2\pi(4N^{2}\eta_{k}^{2}+1)} \right] \\ &\geq \frac{\sqrt{2N^{2}+1}}{4(k+1)} \log^{k+1} \frac{4N^{2}\eta_{k}^{2}+1}{5}, \end{split}$$

since $a^k - b^k \ge (a - b)^k$ for 0 < b < a and any positive integers k. Because N is sufficiently large $(N\eta_k^2 \ge 2)$, we obtain

$$\int_{1}^{N\eta_{k}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{x_{m}}} \log^{k} \frac{1}{2\pi x_{m}} dm \ge \frac{\sqrt{N^{2}+1}}{4(k+1)} \log^{k+1} \sqrt{N^{2}+1}$$
$$= \frac{\log^{k+1} \frac{1}{1-r_{N}^{2}}}{4(k+1)2^{k+1}\sqrt{1-r_{N}^{2}}}.$$

In this way for sufficiently large N we have

$$r_N I_p(r_N, F_{k+1}^{\prime}) \geq \frac{c_k (1 - \varepsilon_k)^p}{8\sqrt{\pi} 10^{p-1} (k+1) 2^{k+1}} \frac{1}{(1 - r_N^2)^{p-1/2}} \log^{k+1} \frac{1}{1 - r_N^2}.$$

Now, if $r \in [r_N, r_{N+1}]$, $N\eta_k^2 \ge 2$, then

(

15)

$$rI_{p}(r, F_{k+1}') \geq r_{N}I_{p}(r_{N}, F_{k+1}')$$

$$\geq \frac{c_{k}(1-\varepsilon_{k})^{p}c'}{8\sqrt{\pi}10^{p-1}(k+1)2^{k+1}} \frac{\log^{k+1}\frac{1}{1-r^{2}}}{(1-r^{2})^{p-1/2}}.$$

where

$$c' = c'(\eta_k) = \min_{N \ge 2/\eta_k^2} \left(\frac{1 - r_{N+1}^2}{1 - r_N^2} \right)^{p-1/2} \left(\frac{\log(1 - r_N^2)}{\log(1 - r_{N+1}^2)} \right)^{k+1} \xrightarrow{\eta_k \to 0} 1.$$

In the above considerations we can take ε_k and η_k sufficiently close to 0. Therefore we can assume that $c'(\eta_k)(1-\varepsilon_k)^p > 8/10$. Then

$$I_p(r, F'_{k+1}) \ge \frac{c_k}{2\sqrt{\pi}10^p(k+1)2^{k+1}} \frac{1}{(1-r^2)^{p-1/2}} \log^{k+1} \frac{1}{1-r^2}$$

for r sufficiently close to 1, i.e. for $\tau \ge \rho_{k+1} \ge 1/2$.

Now consider the case $1/2 \le p < 1$. As above, we also use the induction with respect to $k = 0, 1, \ldots$. For $N \ge 1$

$$I_p(r_N, F_0') \ge \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{m=1}^N \int_{\delta_m}^{\delta_{m-1}} |F_0'(r_N e^{it})|^p dt.$$

The following inequalities

$$|\omega(r_N e^{it})| \le R_{m-1}, \ |1 - r_N e^{it}|^{-2} \le |1 - r_N e^{i\delta_m}|^{-2} = \frac{x_m}{1 - r_N^2}$$

hold for $t \in [\delta_m, \delta_{m-1}]$. In a similar way as for p > 1 we obtain

$$\tau_N I_p(\tau_N, F_0') \ge \frac{(1 - r_N^2)^{1-p}}{2\pi^{2-p}} \sum_{m=1}^N \frac{1}{(x_m R_{m-1})^{1-p}} \int_{|\omega| = R_m} \frac{|d\omega|}{|1 - \omega|^p}.$$

For $0 \le p \le 1$

$$u(r) = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{dt}{|1 - re^{it}|^p} \ge \int_{\pi/2}^{3\pi/2} \frac{dt}{|1 - re^{it}|^p} > \frac{\pi}{(1 + r)^p} \xrightarrow[r \to 1]{\pi} \frac{\pi}{2^p}$$

Therefore $c = c(p) = \inf_{r \in [0,1)} u(r) > 0$. Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} r_N I_p(r_N, F_0') &\geq \frac{c e^{-\pi}}{2\pi^{2-p}} (1 - r_N^2)^{1-p} \sum_{m=1}^N x_m^{p-1} \\ &\geq \frac{c e^{-\pi}}{2\pi^{2-p}} (1 - r_N^2)^{1-p} \int_1^N \frac{dm}{x_m^{1-p}} \end{aligned}$$

Using change of variables (14) in the integral with $u \in \left[\frac{5}{(2N^2+1)^2}, \frac{4N^2+1}{(2N^2+1)^2}\right] = [A, B]$ for 1/2 we get

$$\int_{1}^{N} \frac{dm}{x_{m}^{1-p}} \geq \frac{(2N^{2}+1)^{p}}{4} \int_{A}^{B} u^{p-3/2} du \geq \frac{(2N^{2}+1)^{p}}{2(2p-1)} B^{p-1/2}$$

$$= \frac{(2N^2+1)^p}{2(2p-1)} \frac{2^{p-1/2}+o(1)}{(2N^2+1)^{p-1/2}} = \frac{\sqrt{2N^2+1}(1+o(1))}{2^{3/2-p}(2p-1)}$$
$$= \frac{1+o(1)}{2^{1-p}(2p-1)} (1-r_N^2)^{-1/2}, \quad \text{where } o(1) \underset{N \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0.$$

In the case p = 1/2, we obtain for sufficiently great N

$$\int_{1}^{N} \frac{dm}{x_{m}^{1/2}} \ge \frac{\sqrt{2N^{2}+1}}{4} \log \frac{4N^{2}+1}{5} > \frac{\sqrt{N^{2}+1}}{2\sqrt{2}} \log \sqrt{N^{2}+1}$$
$$= \frac{\log \frac{1}{1-r_{N}^{2}}}{2\sqrt{2}(1-r_{N}^{2})^{1/2}}.$$

Moreover, for $N > N_0$ we have

$$r_N I_p(r_N, F'_0) \ge \frac{ce^{-\pi}}{2(2\pi)^{2-p}(2p-1)} \frac{1}{(1-r_N^2)^{p-1/2}}, \qquad 1 \ge p > 1/2,$$

$$r_N I_p(r_N, F'_0) \ge \frac{ce^{-\pi}}{2(2\pi)^{3/2}} \log \frac{1}{1-r_N^2}, \qquad p = 1/2.$$

Now let N be sufficiently great and $r \in [r_N, r_{N+1}]$. Then for $p \in (1/2, 1]$ we have a result similar to (15)

(16)
$$I_p(r, F'_0) \ge I_P(r_N, F'_0) \ge \frac{ce^{-\pi}}{3(2\pi)^{2-p}(2p-1)} \frac{1}{(1-r^2)^{p-1/2}}$$

(17)
$$I_{1/2}(r, F'_0) \ge \frac{ce^{-\pi}}{3(2\pi)^{3/2}} \log \frac{1}{1 - r^2}$$

Therefore the inequalities (16) and (17) hold for $1 > r > \rho_0(p)$.

Now suppose that for some integer $k \ge 0$ the theorem is true, i.e.

(18)
$$I_p(r, F'_k) \ge \frac{c_k(\rho)}{(1-r^2)^{p-1/2}} \left(\log \frac{1}{1-r^2}\right)^k, \quad 1 \ge p > \frac{1}{2};$$

(19) $I_{1/2}(r, F'_k) \ge c_k(1/2) \left(\log \frac{1}{1 - r^2}\right)^{k+1}$

hold for $1 > r > \rho_k(p)$. We show the theorem to be true for k + 1.

As above

$$I_p(r_N, F'_{k+1}) \ge \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=N}^{1-N} \int_{\delta_m}^{\delta_{m-1}} |F'_k[\omega(r_n e^{it})]|^p \frac{|d\omega(r_N e^{it})|}{|\omega'(r_N e^{it})|^{1-p}}$$
$$\ge \frac{(1-r_N^2)^{1-p}}{(2\pi)^{2-p}} \sum_{k=1}^N (R_{m-1}x_m)^{p-1} \int_{\Gamma_m \cup \Gamma'_m} |F'_k(\omega)|^p |d\omega|.$$

Since $F_k \in \mathcal{B}'$, one can use Lemma 2 for the integrals over Γ_m and Γ'_m . By (1') with $r_0 \geq R_m$, $r^0 \leq R_{m-1}$ and $1/2 \leq p < 1$ we get

$$r_N I_p(r_N, F'_{k+1}) \ge \frac{(1 - r_N^2)^{1-p}}{(2\pi)^{2-p}} \sqrt{2} \sum_{m=1}^N (R_{m-1} x_m)^{p-1} \left[\int_{|\omega| = R_m} |F'_k(\omega)|^p |d\omega| -\frac{8(1+p)}{p(1-p)} ((1 - R_m)^{1-p} - (1 - R_{m-1})^{1-p}) \right].$$

With p = 1 we have the following inequality

$$r_N I_1(r_N, F'_{k+1}) \ge \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2\pi} \sum_{m=1}^N \left[\int_{|\omega|=R_m} |F'_k(\omega)| |d\omega| - 8R_m \log \frac{1-R_m}{1-R_{m-1}} \right].$$

From (18) and (19) it follows that for 1/2

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{|\omega|=R_m} |F'_k(\omega)|^p |d\omega| - \frac{8(1+p)}{p(1-p)} \ge 0,$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{|\omega|=R_m} |F'_k(\omega)| |d\omega| - 8\log 10 \ge 0,$$

where $R_m > \rho_k(p)$ and R_m is sufficiently close to 1, i.e. $R_m > 1 - \varepsilon_k$, $\varepsilon_k = \varepsilon_k(p) \in (0,1)$. This is equivalent to $1 \le m \le N\eta_k$, $\eta_k = \eta_k(p) \in (0,1)$ where N is sufficiently great and $(N\eta_k^2 \ge 2)$. We have shown that

$$\frac{1 - R_m}{1 - R_{m-1}} < 10$$

as $m \in [0, N]$. Thus for $N \geq 2/\eta_k^2$ and $m \in [1, N\eta_k]$ we have the following inequality

(20)
$$r_N \ge \frac{(1-r_N^2)^{1-p}}{(2\pi)^{2-p}} \sqrt{2} \sum_{m=1}^{N\eta_k} (R_{m-1}x_m)^{p-1} \pi I_p(R_m, F'_k).$$

This implies for $1/2 and <math>1 - R_m^2 \le 2\pi x_m$

$$r_{N}I_{p}(r_{N}, F_{k+1}') \geq \frac{c_{k}(p)(1-r_{N}^{2})^{1-p}}{(2\pi)^{1-p}\sqrt{2}} \sum_{m=1}^{N\eta_{k}} \frac{(R_{m-1}x_{m})^{p-1}}{(1-R_{m}^{2})^{p-1/2}} \left(\log\frac{1}{1-R_{m}^{2}}\right)^{k}$$

$$\geq \frac{c_{k}(p)(1-r_{N}^{2})^{1-p}}{(2\pi)^{1-p}\sqrt{2}(2\pi)^{p-1/2}} \sum_{m=1}^{N\eta_{k}} \frac{x_{m}^{p-1}}{x_{m}^{p-1/2}} \left(\log\frac{1}{2\pi x_{m}}\right)^{k}$$

$$\geq \frac{c_{k}(p)(1-r_{N}^{2})^{1-p}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{m=1}^{N\eta_{k}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{x_{m}}} \left(\log\frac{1}{2\pi x_{m}}\right)^{k}.$$

The last sum in (21) has the same form as in b) in the first part of the proof. Therefore for $N\geq 2/\eta_k^2$

$$r_N I_p(r_N, F'_{k+1}) \ge \frac{c_k(p)}{8\sqrt{\pi}(k+1)(1-r_N^2)^{p-1/2}} \log^{k+1} \frac{1}{1-r_N^2}.$$

Now, if $r \in [r_N, r_{N+1}]$, $N\eta_k^2 \ge 2$, then, similarly as above (see (15)) we obtain

(22)
$$I_p(r, F'_{k+1}) \ge \frac{c_k(p)}{10\sqrt{\pi}(k+1)(1-r^2)^{p-1/2}}\log^{k+1}\frac{1}{1-r^2},$$

for N sufficiently great. This means that (22) holds with r sufficiently close to 1, i.e. $0 < \rho_{k+1}(p) < r < 1$. In this way the proof is complete for 1/2 .

For p = 1/2 we obtain from (20)

$$r_N I_{1/2}(r_N, F'_{k+1}) \ge \frac{c_k(1/2)}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \sqrt{1 - r_N^2} \sum_{m=1}^{N\eta_k} \frac{1}{\sqrt{x_m R_{m-1}}} \log^{k+1} \frac{1}{1 - R_m^2}$$
$$\ge \frac{c_k(1/2)}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \sqrt{1 - r_N^2} \sum_{m=1}^{N\eta_k} \frac{1}{\sqrt{x_m}} \log^{k+1} \frac{1}{2\pi x_m}.$$

We have obtained the sum of the same form as in (21). Thus for $N \ge 2/\eta_k^2$

$$r_N I_{1/2}(r_N, F'_{k+1}) \ge \frac{c_k(1/2)}{8\sqrt{\pi}(k+2)} \log^{k+2} \frac{1}{1-r_N^2}$$

This implies (in a similar way as before) the following inequality

$$I_{1/2}(r, F'_{k+1}) \ge \frac{c_k(1/2)}{10\sqrt{\pi}(k+2)} \log^{k+2} \frac{1}{1-r^2}$$

for r sufficiently close to 1 which shows the theorem in the case p = 1/2. The proof of the theorem is complete. \Box

The idea of constructing the function F_k appears in [S], where the author considered the linearly invariant families \mathcal{U}_{α} of locally univalent functions $h(z) = z + \ldots$ of the order α (cf. [P2]).

For $h \in \mathcal{U}_{\alpha}$ sharp inequality

$$|h'(z)| \le \frac{(1+|z|)^{\alpha-1}}{(1-|z|)^{\alpha+1}}, \quad z \in \Delta$$

was shown in [P2]. Hence

(23)
$$h \in \mathcal{U}_{\alpha} \implies h' = (f')^{\alpha+1}, \quad f \in \mathcal{B}',$$

and for functions $f \in \mathcal{B}'$, defined by (23) $I_{\alpha+1}(r, f') = I_1(r, h')$. For $h \in \mathcal{U}_{\alpha}$ the inequality

$$I_1(r,h') \le c(1-r)^{-1/2-\sqrt{\alpha^2-3/4-\varepsilon}},$$

where c = const and $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, was given in [P3] (p. 182, Problem 5). Since $\alpha + 1/2 > \sqrt{\alpha^2 - 3/4} + 1/2 = \alpha + 1/2 + O(1/\alpha)$, we have $\alpha \to \infty$ and after integration of $|f'|^{\alpha+1}$ the order of the growth of $I_{\alpha+1}(r, f')$ is reduced, as compared with the growth

$$\max_{h \in \mathcal{U}_{\alpha}, |z|=r} |h'(z)| = \max_{f, |z|=r} |f'(z)|^{\alpha+1}$$

by more than 1/2.

Thus we obtain the following

Problem. Does there exist a function $f \in \mathcal{B}'$ for which $I_p(r, f')$ has an order of growth greater than that given in Theorem? For p > 0

$$\inf\{\beta > 0: I_p(r, f') = O((1-r)^{-\beta}) \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{B}'\} = \beta(p).$$

The author is greatly indebted to Professors J. M. Anderson and D. Girela for discussions on the results and for information on some related papers.

REFERENCES

- [C-MG] Clunie, J. G., T. H. MacGregor, Radial growth of the derivative of univalent functions, Comment. Math. Helv. 59 (1984), 362-375.
- [F-MG] Feng, J., T. H. MacGregor, Estimates of integral means of the derivatives of univalent functions, J. Anal. Math. 20 (1976), 203-231.

[G]	Girela, D., On Bloch functions and gap series, Publ. Mat. 35 (1991), 403-427.
[Gol]	Goluzin, G. M., Geometric theory of functions of a complex variable, Trans.
	Math. Monographs, vol. 26, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.1, 1969.
[H]	W.K. Hayman, Multivalent functions, Cambridge University Press, 1958.

- [M] Makarov, N. G., On the distortion of boundary sets under conformal mappings, Proc. London Math. Soc. 3(81) (1985), 369-384.
- [MOS] Magnus, W., F. Oberhettinger, R. P. Soni, Formulas and theorems for the special functions of mathematical physics, 3rd edn. Springer, Berlin, 1966.
- [P1] Pommerenke, Ch., On Bloch functions, J. London Math. Soc. 2(2) (1970), 241-267.
- [P2] _____, Linear-invariante Familien analytischer Funktionen, I, Math. Ann. 155 (1964), 108–154.
- [P3] _____, Boundary behaviour of conformal maps, Springer-Verlag, 1992.
- [S] Starkov, V. V., Directions of intensive growth of locally univalent functions, Sofia, Complex Anal. and Appl.'87 (1989), 517-522.
- [W] Wirths, K. J., Über holomorphe Funktionen, die einer Wachstumsbeschränkung unterliegen, Archiv der Mathematik 6(30) (1978), 606-612.

Department of Mathematics University of Petrozavodsk 185640 Petrozavodsk, Russia

e-mail:starkov@mainpgu.karelia.ru

received November 9, 1998