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# General Lebesgue integral inequalities of Jensen and Ostrowski type for differentiable functions whose derivatives in absolute value are $h$-convex and applications 


#### Abstract

Some inequalities related to Jensen and Ostrowski inequalities for general Lebesgue integral of differentiable functions whose derivatives in absolute value are $h$-convex are obtained. Applications for $f$-divergence measure are provided as well.


1. Introduction. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be a measurable space consisting of a set $\Omega$, a $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ of parts of $\Omega$ and a countably additive and positive measure $\mu$ on $\mathcal{A}$ with values in $\mathbb{R} \cup\{\infty\}$. Assume, for simplicity, that $\int_{\Omega} d \mu=1$. Consider the Lebesgue space

$$
L(\Omega, \mu):=\left\{f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f \text { is } \mu \text {-measurable and } \int_{\Omega}|f(t)| d \mu(t)<\infty\right\} .
$$

For simplicity of notation we write everywhere in the sequel $\int_{\Omega} w d \mu$ instead of $\int_{\Omega} w(t) d \mu(t)$.

In order to provide a reverse of the celebrated Jensen's integral inequality for convex functions, S. S. Dragomir obtained in 2002 [37] the following result:

[^0]Theorem 1. Let $\Phi:[m, M] \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable convex function on $(m, M)$ and $f: \Omega \rightarrow[m, M]$ so that $\Phi \circ f, f, \Phi^{\prime} \circ f,\left(\Phi^{\prime} \circ f\right) \cdot f \in L(\Omega, \mu)$. Then we have the inequality:

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \leq \int_{\Omega} \Phi \circ f d \mu-\Phi\left(\int_{\Omega} f d \mu\right) \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega} f \cdot\left(\Phi^{\prime} \circ f\right) d \mu-\int_{\Omega} \Phi^{\prime} \circ f d \mu \int_{\Omega} f d \mu  \tag{1.1}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[\Phi^{\prime}(M)-\Phi^{\prime}(m)\right] \int_{\Omega}\left|f-\int_{\Omega} f d \mu\right| d \mu
\end{align*}
$$

In the case of discrete measure, we have:
Corollary 1. Let $\Phi:[m, M] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable convex function on $(m, M)$. If $x_{i} \in[m, M]$ and $w_{i} \geq 0(i=1, \ldots, n)$ with $W_{n}:=\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}=1$, then one has the counterpart of Jensen's weighted discrete inequality:

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} \Phi\left(x_{i}\right)-\Phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i}\right) \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} \Phi^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right) x_{i}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} \Phi^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[\Phi^{\prime}(M)-\Phi^{\prime}(m)\right] \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}\left|x_{i}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j} x_{j}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 1. We notice that the inequality between the first and the second term in (1.2) was proved in 1994 by Dragomir \& Ionescu, see [49].

If $f, g: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are $\mu$-measurable functions and $f, g, f g \in L(\Omega, \mu)$, then we may consider the Čebyšev functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(f, g):=\int_{\Omega} f g d \mu-\int_{\Omega} f d \mu \int_{\Omega} g d \mu \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following result is known in the literature as the Grüss inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
|T(f, g)| \leq \frac{1}{4}(\Gamma-\gamma)(\Delta-\delta) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\infty<\gamma \leq f(t) \leq \Gamma<\infty, \quad-\infty<\delta \leq g(t) \leq \Delta<\infty \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\mu$-a.e. $t \in \Omega$.
The constant $\frac{1}{4}$ is sharp in the sense that it cannot be replaced by a smaller quantity.

If we assume that $-\infty<\gamma \leq f(t) \leq \Gamma<\infty$ for $\mu$-a.e. $t \in \Omega$, then by the Grüss inequality for $g=f$ and by the Schwarz's integral inequality, we
have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|f-\int_{\Omega} f d \mu\right| d \mu \leq\left[\int_{\Omega} f^{2} d \mu-\left(\int_{\Omega} f d \mu\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{1}{2}(\Gamma-\gamma) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

On making use of the results (1.1) and (1.6), we can state the following string of reverse inequalities

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \leq \int_{\Omega} \Phi \circ f d \mu-\Phi\left(\int_{\Omega} f d \mu\right) \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega} f \cdot\left(\Phi^{\prime} \circ f\right) d \mu-\int_{\Omega} \Phi^{\prime} \circ f d \mu \int_{\Omega} f d \mu \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[\Phi^{\prime}(M)-\Phi^{\prime}(m)\right] \int_{\Omega}\left|f-\int_{\Omega} f d \mu\right| d \mu  \tag{1.7}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[\Phi^{\prime}(M)-\Phi^{\prime}(m)\right]\left[\int_{\Omega} f^{2} d \mu-\left(\int_{\Omega} f d \mu\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4}\left[\Phi^{\prime}(M)-\Phi^{\prime}(m)\right](M-m),
\end{align*}
$$

provided that $\Phi:[m, M] \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a differentiable convex function on $(m, M)$ and $f: \Omega \rightarrow[m, M]$ so that $\Phi \circ f, f, \Phi^{\prime} \circ f, f \cdot\left(\Phi^{\prime} \circ f\right) \in L(\Omega, \mu)$, with $\int_{\Omega} d \mu=1$.

The following reverse of the Jensen's inequality also holds [41].
Theorem 2. Let $\Phi: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous convex function on the interval of real numbers $I$ and $m, M \in \mathbb{R}, m<M$ with $[m, M] \subset I$, where $\stackrel{\circ}{I}$ is the interior of $I$. If $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $\mu$-measurable, satisfies the bounds

$$
-\infty<m \leq f(t) \leq M<\infty \text { for } \mu \text {-a.e. } t \in \Omega
$$

and such that $f, \Phi \circ f \in L(\Omega, \mu)$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \leq \int_{\Omega} \Phi \circ f d \mu-\Phi\left(\int_{\Omega} f d \mu\right) \\
& \leq\left(M-\int_{\Omega} f d \mu\right)\left(\int_{\Omega} f d \mu-m\right) \frac{\Phi_{-}^{\prime}(M)-\Phi_{+}^{\prime}(m)}{M-m}  \tag{1.8}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{4}(M-m)\left[\Phi_{-}^{\prime}(M)-\Phi_{+}^{\prime}(m)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Phi_{-}^{\prime}$ is the left and $\Phi_{+}^{\prime}$ is the right derivative of the convex function $\Phi$.
For other reverse of Jensen inequality and applications to divergence measures see [41].

In 1938, A. Ostrowski [80], proved the following inequality concerning the distance between the integral mean $\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} \Phi(t) d t$ and the value $\Phi(x)$, $x \in[a, b]$.

For various results related to Ostrowski's inequality see [13]-[16], [23][60], [64] and the references therein.
Theorem 3. Let $\Phi:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous on $[a, b]$ and differentiable on $(a, b)$ such that $\Phi^{\prime}:(a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded on $(a, b)$, i.e., $\left\|\Phi^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}:=$ $\sup _{t \in(a, b)}\left|\Phi^{\prime}(t)\right|<\infty$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\Phi(x)-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t\right| \leq\left[\frac{1}{4}+\left(\frac{x-\frac{a+b}{2}}{b-a}\right)^{2}\right]\left\|\Phi^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}(b-a) \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in[a, b]$ and the constant $\frac{1}{4}$ is the best possible.
Now, for $\gamma, \Gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ and $[a, b]$ an interval of real numbers, define the sets of complex-valued functions [45]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{U}_{[a, b]}(\gamma, \Gamma) \\
& :=\{f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re}[(\Gamma-f(t))(\overline{f(t)}-\bar{\gamma})] \geq 0 \text { for a.e. } t \in[a, b]\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{\Delta}_{[a, b]}(\gamma, \Gamma) \\
& :=\left\{f: \left.[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}| | f(t)-\frac{\gamma+\Gamma}{2}\left|\leq \frac{1}{2}\right| \Gamma-\gamma \right\rvert\, \text { for a.e. } t \in[a, b]\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The following representation result may be stated [45].
Proposition 1. For any $\gamma, \Gamma \in \mathbb{C}, \gamma \neq \Gamma$, we have that $\bar{U}_{[a, b]}(\gamma, \Gamma)$ and $\bar{\Delta}_{[a, b]}(\gamma, \Gamma)$ are nonempty, convex and closed sets and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{U}_{[a, b]}(\gamma, \Gamma)=\bar{\Delta}_{[a, b]}(\gamma, \Gamma) \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

On making use of the complex numbers field properties we can also state that:

Corollary 2. For any $\gamma, \Gamma \in \mathbb{C}, \gamma \neq \Gamma$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{U}_{[a, b]}(\gamma, \Gamma)=\{f & :[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid(\operatorname{Re} \Gamma-\operatorname{Re} f(t))(\operatorname{Re} f(t)-\operatorname{Re} \gamma) \\
& +(\operatorname{Im} \Gamma-\operatorname{Im} f(t))(\operatorname{Im} f(t)-\operatorname{Im} \gamma) \geq 0  \tag{1.11}\\
& \text { for a.e. } t \in[a, b]\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Now, if we assume that $\operatorname{Re}(\Gamma) \geq \operatorname{Re}(\gamma)$ and $\operatorname{Im}(\Gamma) \geq \operatorname{Im}(\gamma)$, then we can define the following set of functions as well:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\bar{S}_{[a, b]}(\gamma, \Gamma):=\{f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re}(\Gamma) \geq \operatorname{Re} f(t) \geq \operatorname{Re}(\gamma) \text { and }  \tag{1.12}\\
\operatorname{Im}(\Gamma) \geq \operatorname{Im} f(t) \geq \operatorname{Im}(\gamma) \text { for a.e. } t \in[a, b]\}
\end{array}
$$

One can easily observe that $\bar{S}_{[a, b]}(\gamma, \Gamma)$ is closed, convex and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\emptyset \neq \bar{S}_{[a, b]}(\gamma, \Gamma) \subseteq \bar{U}_{[a, b]}(\gamma, \Gamma) \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following result holds [45].
Theorem 4. Let $\Phi: I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an absolutely continuous function on $[a, b] \subset$ $\stackrel{\circ}{I}$, the interior of $I$. For some $\gamma, \Gamma \in \mathbb{C}, \gamma \neq \Gamma$, assume that $\Phi^{\prime} \in \bar{U}_{[a, b]}(\gamma, \Gamma)$ $\left(=\bar{\Delta}_{[a, b]}(\gamma, \Gamma)\right)$. If $g: \Omega \rightarrow[a, b]$ is Lebesgue $\mu$-measurable on $\Omega$ and such that $\Phi \circ g, g \in L(\Omega, \mu)$, then we have the inequality

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\int_{\Omega} \Phi \circ g d \mu-\Phi(x)-\frac{\gamma+\Gamma}{2}\left(\int_{\Omega} g d \mu-x\right)\right|  \tag{1.14}\\
\quad \leq \frac{1}{2}|\Gamma-\gamma| \int_{\Omega}|g-x| d \mu
\end{gather*}
$$

for any $x \in[a, b]$.
In particular, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{\Omega} \Phi \circ g d \mu-\Phi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)-\frac{\gamma+\Gamma}{2}\left(\int_{\Omega} g d \mu-\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right| \\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{2}|\Gamma-\gamma| \int_{\Omega}\left|g-\frac{a+b}{2}\right| d \mu \\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{4}(b-a)|\Gamma-\gamma|
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{\Omega} \Phi \circ g d \mu-\Phi\left(\int_{\Omega} g d \mu\right)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}|\Gamma-\gamma| \int_{\Omega}\left|g-\int_{\Omega} g d \mu\right| d \mu \\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{2}|\Gamma-\gamma|\left(\int_{\Omega} g^{2} d \mu-\left(\int_{\Omega} g d \mu\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}  \tag{1.16}\\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{4}(b-a)|\Gamma-\gamma|
\end{align*}
$$

Motivated by the above results, in this paper we provide more upper bounds for the quantity

$$
\left|\int_{\Omega} \Phi \circ g d \mu-\Phi(x)\right|, x \in[a, b]
$$

under various assumptions on the absolutely continuous function $\Phi$, which in the particular case of $x=\int_{\Omega} g d \mu$ provides some results connected with Jensen's inequality while in the general case provides some generalizations of Ostrowski's inequality. Applications for divergence measures are provided as well.

## 2. Preliminary Facts.

2.1. Some Identities. The following result holds [45].

Lemma 1. Let $\Phi: I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an absolutely continuous function on $[a, b] \subset \check{I}$, the interior of I. If $g: \Omega \rightarrow[a, b]$ is Lebesgue $\mu$-measurable on $\Omega$ and such that $\Phi \circ g, g \in L(\Omega, \mu)$, then we have the equality

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega} \Phi \circ g d \mu-\Phi(x)-\lambda\left(\int_{\Omega} g d \mu-x\right) \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left[(g-x) \int_{0}^{1}\left(\Phi^{\prime}((1-s) x+s g)-\lambda\right) d s\right] d \mu \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $x \in[a, b]$.
In particular, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \Phi \circ g d \mu-\Phi(x)=\int_{\Omega}\left[(g-x) \int_{0}^{1} \Phi^{\prime}((1-s) x+s g) d s\right] d \mu \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $x \in[a, b]$.
Remark 2. With the assumptions of Lemma 1 we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega} \Phi \circ g d \mu-\Phi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left[\left(g-\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \int_{0}^{1} \Phi^{\prime}\left((1-s) \frac{a+b}{2}+s g\right) d s\right] d \mu \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Corollary 3. With the assumptions of Lemma 1 we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega} \Phi \circ g d \mu-\Phi\left(\int_{\Omega} g d \mu\right)  \tag{2.4}\\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left[\left(g-\int_{\Omega} g d \mu\right) \int_{0}^{1} \Phi^{\prime}\left((1-s) \int_{\Omega} g d \mu+s g\right) d s\right] d \mu
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. We observe that since $g: \Omega \rightarrow[a, b]$ and $\int_{\Omega} d \mu=1$, then $\int_{\Omega} g d \mu \in$ [ $a, b$ ] and by taking $x=\int_{\Omega} g d \mu$ in (2.2) we get (2.4).
Corollary 4. With the assumptions of Lemma 1 we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega} \Phi \circ g d \mu-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} \Phi(x) d x-\lambda\left(\int_{\Omega} g d \mu-\frac{a+b}{2}\right)  \tag{2.5}\\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left\{\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b}\left[(g-x) \int_{0}^{1}\left(\Phi^{\prime}((1-s) x+s g)-\lambda\right) d s\right] d x\right\} d \mu
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Follows by integrating the identity (2.1) over $x \in[a, b]$, dividing by $b-a>0$ and using Fubini's theorem.

Corollary 5. Let $\Phi: I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an absolutely continuous functions on $[a, b] \subset \stackrel{\circ}{I}$, the interior of I. If $g, h: \Omega \rightarrow[a, b]$ are Lebesgue $\mu$-measurable on $\Omega$ and such that $\Phi \circ g$, $\Phi \circ h, g, h \in L(\Omega, \mu)$, then we have the equality

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega} \Phi \circ g d \mu-\int_{\Omega} \Phi \circ h d \mu-\lambda\left(\int_{\Omega} g d \mu-\int_{\Omega} h d \mu\right) \\
& =\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega}\left[(g(t)-h(\tau)) \int_{0}^{1}\left(\Phi^{\prime}((1-s) h(\tau)+s g(t))-\lambda\right) d s\right] d \mu(t) d \mu(\tau) \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $x \in[a, b]$.
In particular, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega} \Phi \circ g d \mu-\int_{\Omega} \Phi \circ h d \mu  \tag{2.7}\\
& =\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega}\left[(g(t)-h(\tau)) \int_{0}^{1} \Phi^{\prime}((1-s) h(\tau)+s g(t)) d s\right] d \mu(t) d \mu(\tau)
\end{align*}
$$

for any $x \in[a, b]$.
Remark 3. The above inequality (2.6) can be extended for two measures as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega_{1}} \Phi \circ g d \mu_{1}-\int_{\Omega_{2}} \Phi \circ h d \mu_{2}-\lambda\left(\int_{\Omega_{1}} g d \mu_{1}-\int_{\Omega_{2}} h d \mu_{2}\right)  \tag{2.8}\\
& =\int_{\Omega_{1}} \int_{\Omega_{2}}\left[(g(t)-h(\tau)) \int_{0}^{1}\left(\Phi^{\prime}((1-s) h(\tau)+s g(t))-\lambda\right) d s\right] d \mu_{1}(t) d \mu_{2}(\tau)
\end{align*}
$$

for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $x \in[a, b]$ and provided that $\Phi \circ g, g \in L\left(\Omega_{1}, \mu_{1}\right)$ while $\Phi \circ h, h \in L\left(\Omega_{2}, \mu_{2}\right)$.

Remark 4. If $w \geq 0 \mu$-almost everywhere ( $\mu$-a.e.) on $\Omega$ with $\int_{\Omega} w d \mu>0$, then by replacing $d \mu$ with $\frac{w d \mu}{\int_{\Omega} w d \mu}$ in (2.1) we have the weighted equality

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\int_{\Omega} w d \mu} \int_{\Omega} w(\Phi \circ g) d \mu-\Phi(x)-\lambda\left(\frac{1}{\int_{\Omega} w d \mu} \int_{\Omega} w g d \mu-x\right)  \tag{2.9}\\
& =\frac{1}{\int_{\Omega} w d \mu} \int_{\Omega} w \cdot\left[(g-x) \int_{0}^{1}\left(\Phi^{\prime}((1-s) x+s g)-\lambda\right) d s\right] d \mu
\end{align*}
$$

for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $x \in[a, b]$, provided $\Phi \circ g, g \in L_{w}(\Omega, \mu)$ where

$$
L_{w}(\Omega, \mu):=\left\{g\left|\int_{\Omega} w\right| g \mid d \mu<\infty\right\} .
$$

The other equalities have similar weighted versions. However, the details are omitted.
2.2. $\boldsymbol{h}$-convex functions. We recall here some concepts of convexity that are well known in the literature.

Let $I$ be an interval in $\mathbb{R}$.
Definition 1 ([61]). We say that $\Phi: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Godunova-Levin function or that $\Phi$ belongs to the class $Q(I)$ if $\Phi$ is nonnegative and for all $x, y \in I$ and $t \in(0,1)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(t x+(1-t) y) \leq \frac{1}{t} \Phi(x)+\frac{1}{1-t} \Phi(y) . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Some further properties of this class of functions can be found in [50], [51], [53], [79], [83] and [85]. Among others, its has been noted that nonnegative monotone and nonnegative convex functions belong to this class of functions.

The above concept can be extended for functions $\Phi: C \subseteq X \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ where $C$ is a convex subset of the real or complex linear space $X$ and the inequality (2.10) is satisfied for any vectors $x, y \in C$ and $t \in(0,1)$. If the function $\Phi: C \subseteq X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is nonnegative and convex, then it is of Godunova-Levin type.

Definition 2 ([53]). We say that a function $\Phi: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ belongs to the class $P(I)$ if it is nonnegative and for all $x, y \in I$ and $t \in[0,1]$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(t x+(1-t) y) \leq \Phi(x)+\Phi(y) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously $Q(I)$ contains $P(I)$ and for applications it is important to note that also $P(I)$ contains all nonnegative monotone, convex and quasi-convex functions, i.e. functions satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(t x+(1-t) y) \leq \max \{\Phi(x), \Phi(y)\} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in I$ and $t \in[0,1]$.
For some results on $P$-functions see [53] and [81] while for quasi-convex functions, the reader can consult [52].

If $\Phi: C \subseteq X \rightarrow[0, \infty)$, where $C$ is a convex subset of the real or complex linear space $X$, then we say that it is of $P$-type (or quasi-convex) if the inequality (2.11) (or (2.12)) holds true for $x, y \in C$ and $t \in[0,1]$.
Definition 3 ([10]). Let $s$ be a real number, $s \in(0,1]$. A function $\Phi$ : $[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is said to be $s$-convex (in the second sense) or Breckner $s$-convex if

$$
\Phi(t x+(1-t) y) \leq t^{s} \Phi(x)+(1-t)^{s} \Phi(y)
$$

for all $x, y \in[0, \infty)$ and $t \in[0,1]$.
For some properties of this class of functions see [2], [3], [10], [11], [47], [48], [63], [73] and [91].

In order to unify the above concepts for functions of real variable, S. Varošanec introduced the concept of $h$-convex functions as follows.

Assume that $I$ and $J$ are intervals in $\mathbb{R},(0,1) \subseteq J$ and functions $h$ and $\Phi$ are real nonnegative functions defined in $J$ and $I$, respectively.

Definition $4([101])$. Let $h: J \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ with $h$ not identical to 0 . We say that $\Phi: I \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is an $h$-convex function if for all $x, y \in I$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(t x+(1-t) y) \leq h(t) \Phi(x)+h(1-t) \Phi(y) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \in(0,1)$.
For some results concerning this class of functions see [101], [9], [76], [90], [89] and [99].

We can introduce now another class of functions.
Definition 5. We say that the function $\Phi: I \rightarrow[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is of $s$-Godunova-Levin type, with $s \in[0,1]$, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(t x+(1-t) y) \leq \frac{1}{t^{s}} \Phi(x)+\frac{1}{(1-t)^{s}} \Phi(y) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \in(0,1)$ and $x, y \in C$.
We observe that for $s=0$ we obtain the class of $P$-functions while for $s=$ 1 we obtain the class of Godunova-Levin functions. If we denote by $Q_{s}(C)$ the class of $s$-Godunova-Levin functions defined on $C$, then we obviously have

$$
P(C)=Q_{0}(C) \subseteq Q_{s_{1}}(C) \subseteq Q_{s_{2}}(C) \subseteq Q_{1}(C)=Q(C)
$$

for $0 \leq s_{1} \leq s_{2} \leq 1$.
For different inequalities related to these classes of functions, see [2]-[5], [9], [13]-[59], [72]-[76] and [81]-[99].
3. Inequalities for $\left|\Phi^{\prime}\right|$ being $h$-convex, quasi-convex or log-convex. We use the notations

$$
\|k\|_{\Omega, p}:=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\int_{\Omega}|k(t)|^{p} d \mu(t)\right)^{1 / p}<\infty \\
\text { if } p \geq 1, k \in L_{p}(\Omega, \mu) \\
{\operatorname{ess} \sup _{t \in \Omega}|k(t)|<\infty}^{\text {if } p=\infty, k \in L_{\infty}(\Omega, \mu)}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
\|\Phi\|_{[0,1], p}:=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\left(\int_{0}^{1}|\Phi(s)|^{p} d s\right)^{1 / p}<\infty \\
\text { if } p \geq 1, \Phi \in L_{p}(0,1) \\
\\
\operatorname{ess}^{2} \sup _{s \in[0,1]}|\Phi(s)|<\infty \\
\text { if } p=\infty, \Phi \in L_{\infty}(0,1)
\end{array}\right.
$$

The following result holds.
Theorem 5. Let $\Phi: I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a differentiable function on $\stackrel{\circ}{I}$, the interior of $I$ and such that $\left|\Phi^{\prime}\right|$ is h-convex on the interval $[a, b] \subset \stackrel{\circ}{I}$. If $g: \Omega \rightarrow[a, b]$ is Lebesgue $\mu$-measurable on $\Omega$ and such that $\Phi \circ g, g \in L(\Omega, \mu)$, then we have the inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{\Omega} \Phi \circ g d \mu-\Phi(x)\right| \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{1} h(s) d s\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\|g-x\|_{\Omega, \infty}\left[\left|\Phi^{\prime}(x)\right|+\left\|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right\|_{\Omega, 1}\right] \\
\text { if } \Phi^{\prime} \circ g \in L(\Omega, \mu) ; \\
\|g-x\|_{\Omega, p}\left\|\left|\Phi^{\prime}(x)\right|+\left|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right|\right\|_{\Omega, q}, \\
\text { if } \Phi^{\prime} \circ g \in L_{q}(\Omega, \mu), p>1, \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1 ; \\
\|g-x\|_{\Omega, 1}\left[\left|\Phi^{\prime}(x)\right|+\left\|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right\|_{\Omega, \infty}\right] \\
\text { if } \Phi^{\prime} \circ g \in L_{\infty}(\Omega, \mu)
\end{array}\right. \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $x \in[a, b]$.
In particular, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{\Omega} \Phi \circ g d \mu-\Phi\left(\int_{\Omega} g d \mu\right)\right| \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{1} h(s) d s\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left\|g-\int_{\Omega} g d \mu\right\|_{\Omega, \infty}\left[\left|\Phi^{\prime}\left(\int_{\Omega} g d \mu\right)\right|+\left\|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right\|_{\Omega, 1}\right] \\
\text { if } \Phi^{\prime} \circ g \in L(\Omega, \mu) ; \\
\left\|g-\int_{\Omega} g d \mu\right\|_{\Omega, p}\left\|\left|\Phi^{\prime}\left(\int_{\Omega} g d \mu\right)\right|+\left|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right|\right\|_{\Omega, q} \\
\text { if } \Phi^{\prime} \circ g \in L_{q}(\Omega, \mu), p>1, \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1 ; \\
\left\|g-\int_{\Omega} g d \mu\right\|_{\Omega, 1}\left[\left|\Phi^{\prime}\left(\int_{\Omega} g d \mu\right)\right|+\left\|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right\|_{\Omega, \infty}\right] \\
\text { if } \Phi^{\prime} \circ g \in L_{\infty}(\Omega, \mu)
\end{array}\right. \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{\Omega} \Phi \circ g d \mu-\Phi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right| \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{1} h(s) d s\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\left\|g-\frac{a+b}{2}\right\|_{\Omega, \infty}\left[\left|\Phi^{\prime}\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right|+\left\|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right\|_{\Omega, 1}\right], \\
\text { if } \Phi^{\prime} \circ g \in L(\Omega, \mu) ; \\
\left\|g-\frac{a+b}{2}\right\|_{\Omega, p}\left\|\left|\Phi^{\prime}\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right|+\left|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right|\right\|_{\Omega, q}, \\
\text { if } \Phi^{\prime} \circ g \in L_{q}(\Omega, \mu), p>1, \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1 ; \\
\left\|g-\frac{a+b}{2}\right\|_{\Omega, 1}\left[\left|\Phi^{\prime}\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right|+\left\|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right\|_{\Omega, \infty}\right] \\
\text { if } \Phi^{\prime} \circ g \in L_{\infty}(\Omega, \mu)
\end{array}\right. \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}(b-a) \int_{0}^{1} h(s) d s\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left.\left|\Phi^{\prime}\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right|+\left\|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right\|_{\Omega, 1}\right] \\
\left\|\left|\Phi^{\prime}\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right|+\left|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right|\right\|_{\Omega, q}, \\
i f p>1, \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1 ; \\
{\left[\left|\Phi^{\prime}\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right|+\left\|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right\|_{\Omega, \infty}\right]}
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We have from (2.2) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\Omega} \Phi \circ g d \mu-\Phi(x)\right| \leq \int_{\Omega}|g-x|\left|\int_{0}^{1} \Phi^{\prime}((1-s) x+s g) d s\right| d \mu \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $x \in[a, b]$.
Utilising Hölder's inequality for the $\mu$-measurable functions $F, G: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$,

$$
\left|\int_{\Omega} F G d \mu\right| \leq\left(\int_{\Omega}|F|^{p} d \mu\right)^{1 / p}\left(\int_{\Omega}|G|^{q} d \mu\right)^{1 / q}
$$

$p>1, \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$, and

$$
\left|\int_{\Omega} F G d \mu\right| \leq \underset{t \in \Omega}{\operatorname{esssup}}|F(t)| \int_{\Omega}|G| d \mu,
$$

we have

$$
\begin{align*}
B & :=\int_{\Omega}|g-x|\left|\int_{0}^{1} \Phi^{\prime}((1-s) x+s g) d s\right| d \mu \\
& \leq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\underset{t \in \Omega}{\operatorname{ess} \sup }|g(t)-x| \int_{\Omega}\left|\int_{0}^{1} \Phi^{\prime}((1-s) x+s g) d s\right| d \mu \\
\left(\int_{\Omega}|g-x|^{p} d \mu\right)^{1 / p}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\int_{0}^{1} \Phi^{\prime}((1-s) x+s g) d s\right|^{q} d \mu\right)^{1 / q} \\
\operatorname{if} p>1, \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1 ; \\
\int_{\Omega}|g-x| d \mu \underset{t \in \Omega}{\operatorname{ess} \sup }\left|\int_{0}^{1} \Phi^{\prime}((1-s) x+s g) d s\right|
\end{array}\right. \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $x \in[a, b]$.
Since $\left|\Phi^{\prime}\right|$ is $h$-convex on the interval $[a, b]$, then we have for any $t \in \Omega$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_{0}^{1} \Phi^{\prime}((1-s) x+s g(t)) d s\right| \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left|\Phi^{\prime}((1-s) x+s g(t))\right| d s \\
& \leq\left|\Phi^{\prime}(x)\right| \int_{0}^{1} h(1-s) d s+\left|\Phi^{\prime}(g(t))\right| \int_{0}^{1} h(s) d s \\
&=\left[\left|\Phi^{\prime}(x)\right|+\left|\Phi^{\prime}(g(t))\right|\right] \int_{0}^{1} h(s) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $x \in[a, b]$.
This implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega} \mid \int_{0}^{1} \Phi^{\prime}( & (1-s) x+s g) d s \mid d \mu  \tag{3.6}\\
& \leq \int_{0}^{1} h(s) d s\left[\left|\Phi^{\prime}(x)\right|+\int_{\Omega}\left|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right| d \mu\right]
\end{align*}
$$

for any $x \in[a, b]$.
We have for any $t \in \Omega$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{0}^{1} \Phi^{\prime}((1-s) x+s g(t)) d s\right|^{q} & \leq\left[\int_{0}^{1}\left|\Phi^{\prime}((1-s) x+s g(t))\right| d s\right]^{q} \\
& \leq\left[\left[\left|\Phi^{\prime}(x)\right|+\left|\Phi^{\prime}(g(t))\right|\right] \int_{0}^{1} h(s) d s\right]^{q} \\
& =\left[\int_{0}^{1} h(s) d s\right]^{q}\left[\left|\Phi^{\prime}(x)\right|+\left|\Phi^{\prime}(g(t))\right|^{q}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $x \in[a, b]$.

This implies

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\int_{0}^{1} \Phi^{\prime}((1-s) x+s g) d s\right|^{q} d \mu\right)^{1 / q} \\
& \quad \leq \int_{0}^{1} h(s) d s\left[\int_{\Omega}\left[\left|\Phi^{\prime}(x)\right|+\left|\Phi^{\prime}(g(t))\right|\right]^{q} d \mu\right]^{1 / q}  \tag{3.7}\\
& \quad=\int_{0}^{1} h(s) d s\left[\int_{\Omega}\left[\left|\Phi^{\prime}(x)\right|+\left|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right|\right]^{q} d \mu\right]^{1 / q}
\end{align*}
$$

Also

$$
\begin{align*}
\underset{t \in \Omega}{\operatorname{ess} \sup } & \left|\int_{0}^{1} \Phi^{\prime}((1-s) x+s g) d s\right| \\
& \leq\left[\left|\Phi^{\prime}(x)\right|+\underset{t \in \Omega}{\operatorname{ess} \sup }\left|\Phi^{\prime}(g(t))\right|\right] \int_{0}^{1} h(s) d s  \tag{3.8}\\
& =\left[\left|\Phi^{\prime}(x)\right|+\underset{t \in \Omega}{\operatorname{ess} \sup }\left|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right|\right] \int_{0}^{1} h(s) d s
\end{align*}
$$

for any $x \in[a, b]$.
Making use of (3.6)-(3.8), we get the desired result (3.1).
Remark 5. With the assumptions of Theorem 5 and if $\left|\Phi^{\prime}\right|$ is convex on the interval $[a, b]$, then $\int_{0}^{1} h(s) d s=\frac{1}{2}$ and the inequalities (3.1)-(3.3) hold with $\frac{1}{2}$ instead of $\int_{0}^{1} h(s) d s$. If $\left|\Phi^{\prime}\right|$ is of $s$-Godunova-Levin type, with $s \in[0,1)$ on the interval $[a, b]$, then $\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{t^{s}} d t=\frac{1}{1-s}$ and the inequalities (3.1)-(3.3) hold with $\frac{1}{1-s}$ instead of $\int_{0}^{1} h(s) d s$.

Following [52], we say that for an interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, the mapping $h: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is quasi-monotone on $I$ if it is either monotone on $I=[c, d]$ or monotone nonincreasing on a proper subinterval $\left[c, c^{\prime}\right] \subset I$ and monotone nondecreasing on $\left[c^{\prime}, d\right]$.

The class $Q M(I)$ of quasi-monotone functions on $I$ provides an immediate characterization of quasi-convex functions [52].

Proposition 2. Suppose $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Then the following statements are equivalent for a function $h: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ :
(a) $h \in Q M(I)$;
(b) on any subinterval of $I, h$ achieves its supremum at an end point;
(c) $h$ is quasi-convex.

As examples of quasi-convex functions we may consider the class of monotonic functions on an interval $I$ for the class of convex functions on that interval.

Theorem 6. Let $\Phi: I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a differentiable function on $\stackrel{\circ}{I}$, the interior of $I$ and such that $\left|\Phi^{\prime}\right|$ is quasi-convex on the interval $[a, b] \subset \stackrel{\circ}{I}$. If $g: \Omega \rightarrow$ $[a, b]$ is Lebesgue $\mu$-measurable on $\Omega$ and such that $\Phi \circ g, g \in L(\Omega, \mu)$ and $\Phi^{\prime} \circ g \in L_{\infty}(\Omega, \mu)$, then we have the inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\int_{\Omega} \Phi \circ g d \mu-\Phi(x)\right| & \leq \int_{\Omega}|g-x| \max \left\{\left|\Phi^{\prime}(x)\right|,\left|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right|\right\} d \mu  \tag{3.9}\\
& \leq \max \left\{\left|\Phi^{\prime}(x)\right|,\left\|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right\|_{\Omega, \infty}\right\}\|g-x\|_{\Omega, 1}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $x \in[a, b]$.
In particular, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{\Omega} \Phi \circ g d \mu-\Phi\left(\int_{\Omega} g d \mu\right)\right| \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega}\left|g-\int_{\Omega} g d \mu\right| \max \left\{\left|\Phi^{\prime}\left(\int_{\Omega} g d \mu\right)\right|,\left|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right|\right\} d \mu  \tag{3.10}\\
& \leq \max \left\{\left|\Phi^{\prime}(x)\right|,\left\|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right\|_{\Omega, \infty}\right\}\left\|g-\int_{\Omega} g d \mu\right\|_{\Omega, 1}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{\Omega} \Phi \circ g d \mu-\Phi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right| \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega}\left|g-\frac{a+b}{2}\right| \max \left\{\left|\Phi^{\prime}\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right|,\left|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right|\right\} d \mu  \tag{3.11}\\
& \leq \max \left\{\left|\Phi^{\prime}\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right|,\left\|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right\|_{\Omega, \infty}\right\}\left\|g-\frac{a+b}{2}\right\|_{\Omega, 1} .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. From (3.4) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\int_{\Omega} \Phi \circ g d \mu-\Phi(x)\right| & \leq \int_{\Omega}|g-x|\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|\Phi^{\prime}((1-s) x+s g)\right| d s\right) d \mu  \tag{3.12}\\
& \leq \int_{\Omega}|g-x| \max \left\{\left|\Phi^{\prime}(x)\right|,\left|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right|\right\} d \mu
\end{align*}
$$

for any $x \in[a, b]$.
Observe that

$$
\left|\left(\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right)(t)\right| \leq\left\|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right\|_{\Omega, \infty} \text { for almost every } t \in \Omega
$$

and then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega}|g-x| \max \left\{\left|\Phi^{\prime}(x)\right|,\left|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right|\right\} d \mu \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega}|g-x| \max \left\{\left|\Phi^{\prime}(x)\right|,\left\|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right\|_{\Omega, \infty}\right\} d \mu  \tag{3.13}\\
& =\max \left\{\left|\Phi^{\prime}(x)\right|,\left\|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right\|_{\Omega, \infty}\right\} \int_{\Omega}|g-x| d \mu
\end{align*}
$$

for any $x \in[a, b]$.
Using (3.12) and (3.13), we get the desired result (3.9).
In what follows, $I$ will denote an interval of real numbers. A function $f: I \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ is said to be log-convex or multiplicatively convex if $\log f$ is convex, or, equivalently, if for any $x, y \in I$ and $t \in[0,1]$ one has the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t x+(1-t) y) \leq[f(x)]^{t}[f(y)]^{1-t} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that if $f$ and $g$ are convex and $g$ is increasing, then $g \circ f$ is convex, moreover, since $f=\exp [\log f]$, it follows that a log-convex function is convex, but the converse may not necessarily be true. This follows directly from (3.14) since, by the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
[f(x)]^{t}[f(y)]^{1-t} \leq t f(x)+(1-t) f(y) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in I$ and $t \in[0,1]$.
Theorem 7. Let $\Phi: I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a differentiable function on $\stackrel{\circ}{I}$, the interior of $I$ and such that $\left|\Phi^{\prime}\right|$ is log-convex on the interval $[a, b] \subset \stackrel{\circ}{I}$. If $g: \Omega \rightarrow[a, b]$ is Lebesgue $\mu$-measurable on $\Omega$ and such that $\Phi \circ g, \Phi^{\prime} \circ g, g \in L(\Omega, \mu)$ then we have the inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{\Omega} \Phi \circ g d \mu-\Phi(x)\right| \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega}|g-x| L\left(\left|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right|,\left|\Phi^{\prime}(x)\right|\right) d \mu \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[\left|\Phi^{\prime}(x)\right| \int_{\Omega}|g-x| d \mu+\int_{\Omega}|g-x|\left|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right| d \mu\right]  \tag{3.16}\\
& \left(\leq \frac{1}{2}\left[\left|\Phi^{\prime}(x)\right|+\left\|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right\|_{\Omega, \infty}\right]\|g-x\|_{\Omega, 1} \quad \text { if } \Phi^{\prime} \circ g \in L_{\infty}(\Omega, \mu)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for any $x \in[a, b]$, where $L(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the logarithmic mean, namely for $\alpha, \beta>0$

$$
L(\alpha, \beta):= \begin{cases}\frac{\alpha-\beta}{\ln \alpha-\ln \beta}, & \alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha, & \alpha=\beta\end{cases}
$$

In particular, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{\Omega} \Phi \circ g d \mu-\Phi\left(\int_{\Omega} g d \mu\right)\right| \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega}\left|g-\int_{\Omega} g d \mu\right| L\left(\left|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right|,\left|\Phi^{\prime}\left(\int_{\Omega} g d \mu\right)\right|\right) d \mu \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[\left|\Phi^{\prime}\left(\int_{\Omega} g d \mu\right)\right| \int_{\Omega}\left|g-\int_{\Omega} g d \mu\right| d \mu+\int_{\Omega}\left|g-\int_{\Omega} g d \mu\right|\left|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right| d \mu\right]  \tag{3.17}\\
& \left(\leq \frac{1}{2}\left[\left|\Phi^{\prime}\left(\int_{\Omega} g d \mu\right)\right|+\left\|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right\|_{\Omega, \infty}\right]| | g-\int_{\Omega} g d \mu \|_{\Omega, 1}\right. \\
& \left.\quad \text { if } \Phi^{\prime} \circ g \in L_{\infty}(\Omega, \mu)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{\Omega} \Phi \circ g d \mu-\Phi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right| \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega}\left|g-\frac{a+b}{2}\right| L\left(\left|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right|,\left|\Phi^{\prime}\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right|\right) d \mu \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[\left|\Phi^{\prime}\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right| \int_{\Omega}\left|g-\frac{a+b}{2}\right| d \mu+\int_{\Omega}\left|g-\frac{a+b}{2}\right|\left|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right| d \mu\right]  \tag{3.18}\\
& \left(\left.\leq \frac{1}{2}\left[\left|\Phi^{\prime}\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right|+\left\|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right\|_{\Omega, \infty}\right] \right\rvert\, g-\frac{a+b}{2} \|_{\Omega, 1}\right. \\
& \left.\quad \text { if } \Phi^{\prime} \circ g \in L_{\infty}(\Omega, \mu)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. From (3.4) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\int_{\Omega} \Phi \circ g d \mu-\Phi(x)\right| & \leq \int_{\Omega}|g-x|\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|\Phi^{\prime}((1-s) x+s g)\right| d s\right) d \mu  \tag{3.19}\\
& \leq \int_{\Omega}|g-x|\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|\Phi^{\prime}(x)\right|^{1-s}\left|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right|^{s} d s\right) d \mu
\end{align*}
$$

for any $x \in[a, b]$.
Since, for any $C>0$, one has

$$
\int_{0}^{1} C^{\lambda} d \lambda=\frac{C-1}{\ln C}
$$

then for any $t \in \Omega$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{1}\left|\Phi^{\prime}(x)\right|^{1-s} & \left|\Phi^{\prime}(g(t))\right|^{s} d s=\left|\Phi^{\prime}(x)\right| \int_{0}^{1}\left|\frac{\Phi^{\prime}(g(t))}{\Phi^{\prime}(x)}\right|^{s} d s \\
& =\left|\Phi^{\prime}(x)\right| \frac{\left|\frac{\Phi^{\prime}(g(t))}{\Phi^{\prime}(x)}\right|-1}{\ln \left|\frac{\Phi^{\prime}(g(t))}{\Phi^{\prime}(x)}\right|}  \tag{3.20}\\
& =\frac{\left|\Phi^{\prime}(g(t))\right|-\left|\Phi^{\prime}(x)\right|}{\ln \left|\Phi^{\prime}(g(t))\right|-\ln \left|\Phi^{\prime}(x)\right|} \\
& =L\left(\left|\Phi^{\prime}(g(t))\right|,\left|\Phi^{\prime}(x)\right|\right),
\end{align*}
$$

for any $x \in[a, b]$.
Making use of (3.19) and (3.20), we get the first inequality in (3.16).
The second inequality in (3.16) follows by the fact that

$$
L(\alpha, \beta) \leq \frac{\alpha+\beta}{2} \text { for any } \alpha, \beta>0
$$

The last inequality in (3.16) is obvious.

## 4. Inequalities for $\left|\Phi^{\prime}\right|^{q}$ being $h$-convex or log-convex.

We have:
Theorem 8. Let $\Phi: I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a differentiable function on $\stackrel{\circ}{I}$, the interior of $I$ and such that for $p>1, q>1$ with $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1,\left|\Phi^{\prime}\right|^{q}$ is $h$-convex on the interval $[a, b] \subset \stackrel{\circ}{I}$.

If $g: \Omega \rightarrow[a, b]$ is Lebesgue $\mu$-measurable on $\Omega$ and such that $\Phi \circ g$, $g \in L(\Omega, \mu)$ and $\Phi^{\prime} \circ g \in L_{q}(\Omega, \mu)$, then we have the inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{\Omega} \Phi \circ g d \mu-\Phi(x)\right| \\
& \quad \leq\left(\int_{0}^{1} h(s) d s\right)^{1 / q}\|g-x\|_{\Omega, p}\left(\left|\Phi^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q}+\int_{\Omega}\left|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right|^{q} d \mu\right)^{1 / q}  \tag{4.1}\\
& \quad \leq\left(\int_{0}^{1} h(s) d s\right)^{1 / q}\|g-x\|_{\Omega, p}\left(\left|\Phi^{\prime}(x)\right|+\left\|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right\|_{\Omega, q}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for any $x \in[a, b]$.

In particular, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{\Omega} \Phi \circ g d \mu-\Phi\left(\int_{\Omega} g d \mu\right)\right| \\
& \quad \leq\left(\int_{0}^{1} h(s) d s\right)^{1 / q} \\
& \quad \times\left\|g-\int_{\Omega} g d \mu\right\|_{\Omega, p}\left(\left|\Phi^{\prime}\left(\int_{\Omega} g d \mu\right)\right|^{q}+\int_{\Omega}\left|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right|^{q} d \mu\right)^{1 / q} \\
& \quad \leq\left(\int_{0}^{1} h(s) d s\right)^{1 / q} \\
& \quad \times\left\|g-\int_{\Omega} g d \mu\right\|_{\Omega, p}\left(\left|\Phi^{\prime}\left(\int_{\Omega} g d \mu\right)\right|+\left\|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right\|_{\Omega, q}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{\Omega} \Phi \circ g d \mu-\Phi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right| \\
& \quad \leq\left(\int_{0}^{1} h(s) d s\right)^{1 / q} \\
& \quad \times\left\|g-\frac{a+b}{2}\right\|_{\Omega, p}\left(\left|\Phi^{\prime}\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right|^{q}+\int_{\Omega}\left|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right|^{q} d \mu\right)^{1 / q}  \tag{4.3}\\
& \leq \\
& \quad\left(\int_{0}^{1} h(s) d s\right)^{1 / q} \\
& \quad \times\left\|g-\frac{a+b}{2}\right\|_{\Omega, p}\left(\left|\Phi^{\prime}\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right|+\left\|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right\|_{\Omega, q}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. From the proof of Theorem 5 we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{\Omega} \Phi \circ g d \mu-\Phi(x)\right| \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega}|g-x|\left|\int_{0}^{1} \Phi^{\prime}((1-s) x+s g) d s\right| d \mu \\
& \leq\left(\int_{\Omega}|g-x|^{p} d \mu\right)^{1 / p}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\int_{0}^{1} \Phi^{\prime}((1-s) x+s g) d s\right|^{q} d \mu\right)^{1 / q}  \tag{4.4}\\
& \leq\left(\int_{\Omega}|g-x|^{p} d \mu\right)^{1 / p}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|\Phi^{\prime}((1-s) x+s g)\right|^{q} d s\right) d \mu\right)^{1 / q}
\end{align*}
$$

for $p>1, q>1$ with $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$ and $x \in[a, b]$.

Since $\left|\Phi^{\prime}\right|^{q}$ is $h$-convex on the interval $[a, b]$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{1}\left|\Phi^{\prime}((1-s) x+s g(t))\right|^{q} d s \\
& \quad \leq\left|\Phi^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q} \int_{0}^{1} h(1-s) d s+\left|\Phi^{\prime}(g(t))\right|^{q} \int_{0}^{1} h(s) d s \\
& \quad=\left[\left|\Phi^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q}+\left|\Phi^{\prime}(g(t))\right|^{q}\right] \int_{0}^{1} h(s) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $x \in[a, b]$ and $t \in \Omega$.
Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|\Phi^{\prime}((1-s) x+s g)\right|^{q} d s\right) d \mu\right)^{1 / q} \\
& \quad \leq\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(\left[\left|\Phi^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q}+\left|\Phi^{\prime}(g(t))\right|^{q}\right] \int_{0}^{1} h(s) d s\right) d \mu\right)^{1 / q}  \tag{4.5}\\
& \quad=\left(\int_{0}^{1} h(s) d s\right)^{1 / q}\left(\left|\Phi^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q}+\int_{\Omega}\left|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right|^{q} d \mu\right)^{1 / q}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $x \in[a, b]$.
This proves the first inequality in (4.1).
Now, we observe that the following elementary inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\alpha+\beta)^{r} \geq(\leq) \alpha^{r}+\beta^{r} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ and $r \geq 1(0<r<1)$.
Indeed, if we consider the function $f_{r}:[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f_{r}(t)=(t+1)^{r}-t^{r}$ we have $f_{r}^{\prime}(t)=r\left[(t+1)^{r-1}-t^{r-1}\right]$. Observe that for $r>1$ and $t>0$ we have that $f_{r}^{\prime}(t)>0$ showing that $f_{r}$ is strictly increasing on the interval $[0, \infty)$. Now for $t=\frac{\alpha}{\beta}(\beta>0, \alpha \geq 0)$ we have $f_{r}(t)>f_{r}(0)$ giving that $\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}+1\right)^{r}-\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{r}>1$, i.e., the desired inequality (4.6).

For $r \in(0,1)$ we have that $f_{r}$ is strictly decreasing on $[0, \infty)$ which proves the second case in (4.6).

Making use of (4.6) for $r=1 / q \in(0,1)$, we have

$$
\left(\left|\Phi^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q}+\int_{\Omega}\left|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right|^{q} d \mu\right)^{1 / q} \leq\left|\Phi^{\prime}(x)\right|+\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right|^{q} d \mu\right)^{1 / q}
$$

and then we get the second part of (4.1).

Finally, we have:
Theorem 9. Let $\Phi: I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a differentiable function on $\stackrel{\circ}{I}$, the interior of $I$ and such that for $p>1, q>1$ with $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1,\left|\Phi^{\prime}\right|^{q}$ is log-convex on the interval $[a, b] \subset \stackrel{\circ}{I}$. If $g: \Omega \rightarrow[a, b]$ is Lebesgue $\mu$-measurable on $\Omega$ and such that $\Phi \circ g, g \in L(\Omega, \mu)$ and $\Phi^{\prime} \circ g \in L_{q}(\Omega, \mu)$, then we have the inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{\Omega} \Phi \circ g d \mu-\Phi(x)\right| \\
& \quad \leq\|g-x\|_{\Omega, p}\left(\int_{\Omega} L\left(\left|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right|^{q},\left|\Phi^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q}\right) d \mu\right)^{1 / q}  \tag{4.7}\\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{2^{1 / q}}\|g-x\|_{\Omega, p}\left[\left|\Phi^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q}+\int_{\Omega}\left|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right|^{q} d \mu\right]^{1 / q} \\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{2^{1 / q}}\|g-x\|_{\Omega, p}\left[\left|\Phi^{\prime}(x)\right|+\left\|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right\|_{\Omega, q}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

for any $x \in[a, b]$.
In particular, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{\Omega} \Phi \circ g d \mu-\Phi\left(\int_{\Omega} g d \mu\right)\right| \\
& \quad \leq\left\|g-\int_{\Omega} g d \mu\right\|_{\Omega, p}\left(\int_{\Omega} L\left(\left|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right|^{q},\left|\Phi^{\prime}\left(\int_{\Omega} g d \mu\right)\right|^{q}\right) d \mu\right)^{1 / q} \\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{2^{1 / q}}\left\|g-\int_{\Omega} g d \mu\right\|_{\Omega, p}\left[\left|\Phi^{\prime}\left(\int_{\Omega} g d \mu\right)\right|^{q}+\int_{\Omega}\left|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right|^{q} d \mu\right]^{1 / q} \\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{2^{1 / q}}\left\|g-\int_{\Omega} g d \mu\right\|_{\Omega, p}\left[\left|\Phi^{\prime}\left(\int_{\Omega} g d \mu\right)\right|+\left\|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right\|_{\Omega, q}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{\Omega} \Phi \circ g d \mu-\Phi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right| \\
& \quad \leq\left\|g-\frac{a+b}{2}\right\|_{\Omega, p}\left(\int_{\Omega} L\left(\left|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right|^{q},\left|\Phi^{\prime}\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right|^{q}\right) d \mu\right)^{1 / q} \\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{2^{1 / q}}\left\|g-\frac{a+b}{2}\right\|_{\Omega, p}\left[\left|\Phi^{\prime}\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right|^{q}+\int_{\Omega}\left|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right|^{q} d \mu\right]^{1 / q}  \tag{4.9}\\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{2^{1 / q}}\left\|g-\frac{a+b}{2}\right\|_{\Omega, p}\left[\left|\Phi^{\prime}\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right|+\left\|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right\|_{\Omega, q}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Since $\left|\Phi^{\prime}\right|^{q}$ is log-convex on the interval $[a, b]$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1} \mid \Phi^{\prime}((1-s) x & +s g(t))\left.\right|^{q} d s \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left|\Phi^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q(1-s)}|g(t)|^{s q} d s \\
& =\left|\Phi^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q} \int_{0}^{1}\left|\frac{g(t)}{\Phi^{\prime}(x)}\right|^{s q} d s \\
& =L\left(\left|\Phi^{\prime}(g(t))\right|^{q},\left|\Phi^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $x \in[a, b]$ and $t \in \Omega$.
Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|\Phi^{\prime}((1-s) x+s g)\right|^{q} d s\right) d \mu\right)^{1 / q} \\
& \quad \leq\left(\int_{\Omega} L\left(\left|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right|^{q},\left|\Phi^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q}\right) d \mu\right)^{1 / q}
\end{aligned}
$$

and by (4.4) we get the first inequality in (4.7).
Since, in general

$$
L(\alpha, \beta) \leq \frac{\alpha+\beta}{2} \text { for any } \alpha, \beta>0
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} L\left(\left|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right|^{q},\left|\Phi^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q}\right) d \mu & \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left[\left|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right|^{q}+\left|\Phi^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q}\right] d \mu \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left[\left|\Phi^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q}+\int_{\Omega}\left|\Phi^{\prime} \circ g\right|^{q} d \mu\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and we get the second inequality in (4.7).
The last part is obvious.
5. Applications for $\boldsymbol{f}$-divergence. One of the important issues in many applications of probability theory is finding an appropriate measure of distance (or difference or discrimination) between two probability distributions. A number of divergence measures for this purpose have been proposed and extensively studied by Jeffreys [67], Kullback and Leibler [74], Rényi [87], Havrda and Charvat [65], Kapur [70], Sharma and Mittal [92], Burbea and Rao [12], Rao [86], Lin [75], Csiszár [20], Ali and Silvey [1], Vajda [100], Shioya and Da-Te [94] and others (see for example [77] and the references therein).

These measures have been applied in a variety of fields such as: anthropology [86], genetics [77], finance, economics, and political science [93], [96], [97], biology [84], the analysis of contingency tables [62], approximation of probability distributions [18], [71], signal processing [68], [69] and pattern recognition [7], [17]. A number of these measures of distance are specific cases of Csiszár $f$-divergence and so further exploration of this concept will
have a flow on effect to other measures of distance and to areas in which they are applied.

Assume that a set $\Omega$ and the $\sigma$-finite measure $\mu$ are given. Consider the set of all probability densities on $\mu$ to be

$$
\mathcal{P}:=\left\{p \mid p: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, p(t) \geq 0, \int_{\Omega} p(t) d \mu(t)=1\right\}
$$

The Kullback-Leibler divergence [74] is well known among the information divergences. It is defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{K L}(p, q):=\int_{\Omega} p(t) \ln \left[\frac{p(t)}{q(t)}\right] d \mu(t), \quad p, q \in \mathcal{P} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\ln$ is to base $e$.
In information theory and statistics, various divergences are applied in addition to the Kullback-Leibler divergence. These are: variation distance $D_{v}$, Hellinger distance $D_{H}[66], \chi^{2}$-divergence $D_{\chi^{2}}, \alpha$-divergence $D_{\alpha}$, Bhattacharyya distance $D_{B}[8]$, Harmonic distance $D_{H a}$, Jeffrey's distance $D_{J}$ [67], triangular discrimination $D_{\Delta}$ [98], etc... They are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
D_{v}(p, q):=\int_{\Omega}|p(t)-q(t)| d \mu(t), p, q \in \mathcal{P} ;  \tag{5.2}\\
D_{H}(p, q):=\int_{\Omega}|\sqrt{p(t)}-\sqrt{q(t)}| d \mu(t), p, q \in \mathcal{P} ; \\
D_{\chi^{u}}(p, q):=\int_{\Omega} p(t)\left[\left(\frac{q(t)}{p(t)}\right)^{r}-1\right] d \mu(t), u \geq 2, p, q \in \mathcal{P} ; \\
D_{\alpha}(p, q):=\frac{4}{1-\alpha^{2}}\left[1-\int_{\Omega}[p(t)]^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}}[q(t)]^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}} d \mu(t)\right], p, q \in \mathcal{P} ; \\
D_{B}(p, q):=\int_{\Omega} \sqrt{p(t) q(t)} d \mu(t), \quad p, q \in \mathcal{P} ; \\
D_{H a}(p, q):=\int_{\Omega} \frac{2 p(t) q(t)}{p(t)+q(t)} d \mu(t), \quad p, q \in \mathcal{P} ; \\
D_{J}(p, q):=\int_{\Omega}[p(t)-q(t)] \ln \left[\frac{p(t)}{q(t)}\right] d \mu(t), \quad p, q \in \mathcal{P} ; \\
D_{\Delta}(p, q):=\int_{\Omega} \frac{[p(t)-q(t)]^{2}}{p(t)+q(t)} d \mu(t), \quad p, q \in \mathcal{P} . \tag{5.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

For other divergence measures, see the paper [70] by Kapur or the online book [95] by Taneja.

Csiszár $f$-divergence is defined as follows [21]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{f}(p, q):=\int_{\Omega} p(t) f\left[\frac{q(t)}{p(t)}\right] d \mu(t), \quad p, q \in \mathcal{P} \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ is convex on $(0, \infty)$. It is assumed that $f(u)$ is zero and strictly convex at $u=1$. By appropriately defining this convex function, various divergences are derived. Most of the above distances (5.1)-(5.9), are particular instances of Csiszár $f$-divergence. There are also many others which are not in this class (see for example [95]). For the basic properties of Csiszár $f$-divergence see [21], [22] and [100].

The following result holds:
Proposition 3. Let $f:(0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function with the property that $f(1)=0$. Assume that $p, q \in \mathcal{P}$ and there exist constants $0<r<1<$ $R<\infty$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r \leq \frac{q(t)}{p(t)} \leq R \text { for } \mu \text {-a.e. } t \in \Omega \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\left|f^{\prime}\right|$ is $h$-convex on the interval $[r, R]$, then we have the inequalities

$$
0 \leq I_{f}(p, q) \leq \int_{0}^{1} h(s) d s\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(R-r)\left[\left|\Phi^{\prime}(1)\right|+I_{\left|f^{\prime}\right|}(p, q)\right]  \tag{5.12}\\
D_{v}(p, q)\left[\left|\Phi^{\prime}(1)\right|+\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{[r, R], \infty}\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. Applying the inequality (3.2), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{\Omega} p(t) f\left(\frac{q(t)}{p(t)}\right) d \mu(t)-f(1)\right| \\
& \quad \leq \int_{0}^{1} h(s) d s \\
& \\
& \quad \times\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\operatorname{ess}_{\sup }^{t \in \Omega} \\
\left|\frac{q(t)}{p(t)}-1\right|\left[\left|\Phi^{\prime}(1)\right|+\int_{\Omega} p(t)\left|f^{\prime}\left(\frac{q(t)}{p(t)}\right)\right| d \mu(t)\right] \\
\|q-p\|_{\Omega, 1}\left[\left|\Phi^{\prime}(1)\right|+\operatorname{ess}^{2} \sup _{t \in \Omega}\left|f^{\prime}\left(\frac{q(t)}{p(t)}\right)\right|\right]
\end{array}\right. \\
& \quad \leq \int_{0}^{1} h(s) d s \\
& \quad \times\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(R-r)\left[\left|\Phi^{\prime}(1)\right|+I_{\left|f^{\prime}\right|}(p, q)\right] \\
D_{v}(p, q)\left[\left|\Phi^{\prime}(1)\right|+\operatorname{esssup}_{x \in[r, R]}\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|\right]
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

and the inequality (5.12) is obtained.
Consider the convex function $f(x)=x^{u}-1, u \geq 2$. Then $f(1)=0$, $f^{\prime}(x)=u x^{u-1}$ and $\left|f^{\prime}\right|$ is convex on the interval $[r, R]$ for any $0<r<1<$ $R<\infty$.

Then by (5.12) we have

$$
0 \leq D_{\chi^{u}}(p, q) \leq \frac{1}{2} u\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(R-r)\left[1+D_{\chi^{u-1}}(p, q)\right]  \tag{5.13}\\
D_{v}(p, q)\left(1+R^{u-1}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

provided

$$
r \leq \frac{q(t)}{p(t)} \leq R \text { for } \mu \text {-a.e. } t \in \Omega
$$

If we consider the convex function $f:(0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f(t)=-\ln t$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{f}(p, q) & :=-\int_{\Omega} p(t) \ln \left[\frac{q(t)}{p(t)}\right] d \mu(t)=\int_{\Omega} p(t) \ln \left[\frac{p(t)}{q(t)}\right] d \mu(t) \\
& =D_{K L}(p, q)
\end{aligned}
$$

We have $f^{\prime}(t)=-\frac{1}{t}$ and $\left|f^{\prime}\right|$ is convex on the interval $[r, R]$ for any $0<r<$ $1<R<\infty$. If we apply the inequality (5.12) we have

$$
0 \leq D_{K L}(p, q) \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(R-r)\left[2+D_{\chi^{2}}(q, p)\right]  \tag{5.14}\\
\frac{r+1}{r} D_{v}(p, q)
\end{array}\right.
$$

provided

$$
r \leq \frac{q(t)}{p(t)} \leq R \text { for } \mu \text {-a.e. } t \in \Omega
$$
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