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## On the boundary behaviour of functions of several complex variables


#### Abstract

In this paper we study the boundary behaviour of holomorphic functions defined in either the unit ball, or in the unit polydisk.


I. Functions in the unit ball. Let $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ denote the $n$-dimensional complex space of all ordered $n$-tuples $z=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)$ of complex numbers with the inner product $\langle z, w\rangle=z_{1} \bar{w}_{1}+\ldots+z_{n} \bar{w}_{n}$. For $z \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ let $z=\left(z_{1}, z^{\prime}\right)$, where $z^{\prime}=\left(z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$. The unit ball $\mathbf{B}^{n}$ of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ is the set of all $z \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ with $\|z\|=(\langle z, z\rangle)^{\frac{1}{2}}<1$. For $\varepsilon>0$ let $\mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon}^{n}=\varepsilon \mathbf{B}^{n}$ and let $\mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon}$ denote $\mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon}^{1}$. Let $\mathbf{S}$ be the unit sphere. To every fixed $a \in \mathbf{B}^{n}$ corresponds an automorphism $\varphi_{a}$ of $\mathbf{B}^{n}$ that interchanges $a$ and $\mathbb{O}=(0, \ldots, 0)$. Let $\mathrm{P}_{a}$ be the orthogonal projection of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ onto the subspace $[a]=\{\lambda a: \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\}$, i.e.

$$
\mathrm{P}_{a} z= \begin{cases}\frac{\langle z, a\rangle}{\langle a, a\rangle} a, & a \neq \mathbb{O} \\ 0, & a=\mathbb{O},\end{cases}
$$

and let $\mathrm{Q}_{a}=\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P}_{a}$ be the projection onto the orthogonal complement of $[a]$. For $s_{a}=\left(1-\|a\|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ write

$$
\varphi_{a}(z)=\frac{a-\mathrm{P}_{a} z-s_{a} \mathrm{Q}_{a} z}{1-\langle z, a\rangle}
$$

[^0]Now, let us fix $a=(r, 0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbf{B}^{n}$ and $\varepsilon, 0<\varepsilon<1$. Then the image of the ball $\mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon}^{n}$ under $\varphi_{a}$ is an ellipsoid

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left|z_{1}-c\right|^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2} \rho^{2}}+\frac{t^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2} \rho}<1 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c=a\left(1-\varepsilon^{2}\right) /\left(1-\varepsilon^{2} r^{2}\right), \rho=\left(1-r^{2}\right) /\left(1-\varepsilon^{2} r^{2}\right), t=\left\|z^{\prime}\right\|^{2}$.
For $\alpha>0$ and $\zeta \in \mathbf{S}$ let a Korányi-Stein wedge $\Omega_{\alpha}^{\zeta}$ (see $[\mathrm{Ru}]$ ) be the set of all $z \in \mathbf{B}^{n}$ such that

$$
|1-\langle z, \zeta\rangle|<\frac{\alpha}{2}\left(1-\|z\|^{2}\right)
$$

For $\alpha \leq 1, \Omega_{\alpha}^{\zeta}=\emptyset$, and for $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ the regions $\Omega_{\alpha}^{\zeta}$ fill up $\mathbf{B}^{n}$ for every fixed $\zeta \in \mathbf{S}$. In the paper [GS1] the authors obtained results on the boundary behaviour of functions holomorphic in the unit disk. If $\zeta=e_{1}:=(1,0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ then the Korányi-Stein wedge is given by the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|1-z_{1}\right|<\frac{\alpha}{2}\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}-\left\|z^{\prime}\right\|^{2}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then set $\Omega_{\alpha}=\Omega_{\alpha}^{e_{1}}$. Put $\Phi_{\varepsilon}=\cup_{r \in(0,1)} \varphi_{a}\left(\mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon}^{n}\right)$. We shall need the following result.

Lemma 1.1. Let $\alpha>1$ and $0<\varepsilon<1$.
$\mathbf{1}^{\mathbf{o}}$ If $\left(\frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}\right)^{2}<\alpha$, then $\Phi_{\varepsilon} \subset \Omega_{\alpha}$ in a sufficiently small neighbourhood of $e_{1}$.
$\mathbf{2}^{\text {o }}$ If $\min \left\{1+\varepsilon^{2}, \sqrt{\left.1+\frac{4 \varepsilon^{2}}{\left(1+\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{2}}\right)}\right\}>\alpha$, then $\Omega_{\alpha} \subset \Phi_{\varepsilon}$ in a sufficiently small neighbourhood of $e_{1}$.

## Proof.

$1^{\text {o }}$ Let us fix $\left\|z^{\prime}\right\|^{2}=t$. Note that the inequalities (1.1) and (1.2) can be written in the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z_{1}-c\right|^{2}<\varepsilon^{2} \rho^{2}-\rho t^{2} \tag{1.1’}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|1-z_{1}\right|<\frac{\alpha}{2}\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}-t\right) \tag{1.2'}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. Denote by $\Phi_{\varepsilon}(t)$ and $\Omega_{\alpha}(t)$ the sets of $z_{1} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that (1.1') and (1.2') hold, respectively. We show that the region $\Omega_{\alpha}(t)$ is convex in
the direction of the imaginary axis. Let $z_{1}=x+i y, y^{2}=\tau$. Then (1.2') can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-x)^{2}-\frac{\alpha^{2}}{4}\left(1-t-x^{2}\right)^{2}<\frac{\alpha^{2}}{4}\left[\tau^{2}-2 \tau\left(1-t-x^{2}\right)-\tau \frac{4}{\alpha^{2}}\right] . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can show that the right-hand side expression in (1.3) decreases with respect to $\tau$. Thus, if (1.3) holds for some $\tau_{0}$, then the same is true for $0<\tau \leq \tau_{0}$. This means that $\Omega_{\alpha}(t)$ is convex in the direction of the imaginary axis.

Note that for the rest of the proof it suffices to prove that for every sufficiently small $t$ the region $\Omega_{\alpha}(t)$ contains all the disks (1.1') in a small neighbourhood of $z_{1}=1$. From (1.1) it follows that in (1.1') we have $t \leq \varepsilon^{2} \rho$. Since $c \rightarrow 1$ and $\rho \rightarrow 0$ for $r \rightarrow 1^{-}$, we show that for $r$ close to 1 the disks (1.1') are contained in $\Omega_{\alpha}(t)$.

Since there is $\lambda$ such that $t=\varepsilon^{2} \rho \lambda$, we have $\rho=(1-r) \frac{2}{1-\varepsilon^{2}}+o(1-r)$, $1-c=(1-r) \frac{1+\varepsilon^{2}}{1-\varepsilon^{2}}+o(1-r), t=\frac{2 \varepsilon^{2} \lambda}{1-\varepsilon^{2}}(1-r)+o(1-r), \lambda \in[0,1]$, for $r \rightarrow 1^{-}$. Since $\Omega_{\alpha}(t)$ is a simply connected region (because of its convexity in the direction of the imaginary axis), it suffices to show that the boundaries of the disks (1.1') lie in $\overline{\Omega_{\alpha}(t)}$. We show that

$$
\begin{align*}
\overline{\Omega_{\alpha}(t)} \ni z_{1} & =c+e^{i \theta} \sqrt{\varepsilon^{2} \rho^{2}-\rho t} \\
& =1-\frac{1+\varepsilon^{2}}{1-\varepsilon^{2}}(1-r)+e^{i \theta} \frac{2 \varepsilon \sqrt{1-\lambda}}{1-\varepsilon^{2}}(1-r)+o(1-r), \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

for $\theta \in[0,2 \pi]$. Let us insert (1.4) into (1.2'). Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\frac{1-\varepsilon^{2}}{1-\varepsilon^{2}}(1-r)-e^{i \theta} \frac{2 \varepsilon \sqrt{1-\lambda}}{1-\varepsilon^{2}}(1-r)+o(1-r)\right| \\
\leq \frac{\alpha}{2}\left[1-\left(1-\frac{1+\varepsilon^{2}}{1-\varepsilon^{2}}(1-r)+\cos \theta \frac{2 \varepsilon \sqrt{1-\lambda}}{1-\varepsilon^{2}}(1-r)\right)^{2}-\frac{2 \varepsilon^{2} \lambda}{1-\varepsilon^{2}}(1-r)\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

or equivalently

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (1-r) \sqrt{\left(\frac{1+\varepsilon^{2}}{1-\varepsilon^{2}}\right)^{2}-2 \frac{2 \varepsilon \sqrt{1-\lambda}\left(1+\varepsilon^{2}\right)}{\left(1-\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{2}} \cos \theta+\frac{4 \varepsilon^{2}(1-\lambda)}{\left(1-\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{2}}}+o(1-r) \\
& \quad \leq \frac{\alpha}{2}\left[2 \frac{1+\varepsilon^{2}}{1-\varepsilon^{2}}(1-r)-\frac{4 \varepsilon \sqrt{1-\lambda}}{1-\varepsilon^{2}}(1-r) \cos \theta-\frac{2 \varepsilon^{2} \lambda}{1-\varepsilon^{2}}(1-r)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

The last inequality is a consequence of the following one:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sqrt{\left(1+\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{2}+4 \varepsilon\left(1+\varepsilon^{2}\right) \sqrt{1-\lambda}+4 \varepsilon^{2}(1-\lambda)}+o(1) \\
& \leq \frac{\alpha}{2}\left[2\left(1+\varepsilon^{2}\right)-4 \varepsilon \sqrt{1-\lambda} \cos \theta-2 \varepsilon^{2} \lambda\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is sufficient to show that (1.5) is true with $\cos \theta=1$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{\left(1+\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{2}+4 \varepsilon\left(1+\varepsilon^{2}\right) \sqrt{1-\lambda}+4 \varepsilon^{2}(1-\lambda)} \leq \alpha(1-\varepsilon \sqrt{1-\lambda})^{2} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The left-hand side expression in (1.6) increases and the right-hand side decreases with respect to $v=\sqrt{1-\lambda}$. Therefore it suffices to prove (1.6) for $\lambda=0$. Then we have

$$
\sqrt{\left(1+\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{2}+4 \varepsilon\left(1+\varepsilon^{2}\right)+4 \varepsilon^{2}}=(1+\varepsilon)^{2} \leq \alpha(1-\varepsilon)^{2},
$$

which is equivalent to $\left(\frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}\right)^{2} \leq \alpha$. For such an $\varepsilon$ we have $\Omega_{\alpha} \subset \Phi_{\varepsilon}$ in a sufficiently small neighbourhood of $e_{1}$.
$\mathbf{2}^{\text {o }}$ Let us fix $\left\|z^{\prime}\right\|^{2}=t$ and $x=\operatorname{Re} z_{1}$. We show that

$$
Y_{1}:=\left\{y: z=x+i y \in \Omega_{\alpha}(t)\right\} \subset Y_{2}:=\left\{y: z=x+i y \in \Phi_{\varepsilon}(t)\right\} .
$$

Let $M_{\varepsilon}:=\left\{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: \exists y \exists z^{\prime}\left\|z^{\prime}\right\|^{2}=t, \quad\left(x+i y, z^{\prime}\right) \in \Phi_{\varepsilon}\right\}$ and $N_{\alpha}:=$ $\left\{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: \exists y \geq 0 \exists z^{\prime}\left\|z^{\prime}\right\|^{2}=t, \quad\left(x+i y, z^{\prime}\right) \in \Omega_{\alpha}\right\}$. Since $x \rightarrow 1$ in an arbitrary way, we may assume that $x=c=1-(1-r) \frac{1+\varepsilon^{2}}{1-\varepsilon^{2}}+o(1-r)$, $\left(r \rightarrow 1^{-}\right)$is the centre of the disc $\left(1,1^{\prime}\right)$. Note that we have to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\alpha} \subset M_{\varepsilon} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

in a neighbourhood of $(1,0) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$. Let $M_{\varepsilon}(x):=\left\{t:(x, t) \in M_{\varepsilon}\right\}$ and $N_{\alpha}(x)=\left\{t:(x, t) \in N_{\alpha}\right\}$. We shall show that $N_{\alpha}(x) \subset M_{\varepsilon}(x)$ for $x$ close to 1. The right-hand side expression in (1.3) decreases with respect to $\tau$. Thus the supremum of $t_{x}$ from $N_{\alpha}(x)$ fulfills the following equation: $(1-x)^{2}-\frac{\alpha^{2}}{4}\left(1-t_{x}-x^{2}\right)^{2}=0$, or equivalently $t_{x}=1-x^{2}-\frac{2}{\alpha}(1-x)=$ $(1-r)\left[2 \frac{1+\varepsilon^{2}}{1-\varepsilon^{2}}-\frac{2}{\alpha} \frac{1+\varepsilon^{2}}{1-\varepsilon^{2}}\right]+o(1-r)$, for $r \rightarrow 1$ (that is for $x=1-(1-r) \frac{1+\varepsilon^{2}}{1-\varepsilon^{2}}+$ $o(1-r) \rightarrow 1)$. Note that the supremum of $t$ from $M_{\varepsilon}(x)$ is greater or equal to $t_{x}^{\prime}=\varepsilon^{2} \rho=(1-r) \frac{2 \varepsilon^{2}}{1-\varepsilon^{2}}+o(1-r)$. (Note that from (1.1') and (1.2') it follows that the sets $M_{\varepsilon}$ and $N_{\alpha}$ are convex in the direction of $t$-axis.) The inclusion $N_{\alpha}(x) \subset M_{\varepsilon}(x)$ will be shown if $t_{x} \leq t_{x}^{\prime}$ for $x$ sufficiently small
$(r \rightarrow 1)$, that is if $\frac{2 \varepsilon^{2}}{1-\varepsilon^{2}} \geq 2 \frac{1+\varepsilon^{2}}{1-\varepsilon^{2}}\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)$ or equivalently $\alpha \leq 1+\varepsilon^{2}$. Thus (1.7) holds. Now, we will show that $Y_{1} \subset Y_{2}$ for $r \rightarrow 1(x=c=c(r) \rightarrow 1$, $t=t(r) \rightarrow 0$ and $\rho=\rho(r) \rightarrow 0)$. From (1.1') we have

$$
\sup Y_{2} \geq \sqrt{\varepsilon^{2} \rho^{2}-\rho t}=\left[(1-r)^{2} \frac{4 \varepsilon^{2}(1-\lambda)}{\left(1-\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{2}}+o\left(\left(1-r^{2}\right)\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

We have to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall y \in Y_{1}: \tau=\left(\sup Y_{1}\right)^{2} \leq(1-r)^{2} \frac{4 \varepsilon^{2}(1-\lambda)}{\left(1-\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{2}}+o\left(\left(1-r^{2}\right)\right) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (1.2') we see that $\tau$ is a solution of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{(1-c)^{2}+\tau}=\frac{\alpha}{2}\left(1-c^{2}-\tau-t\right) \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for fixed $x=c=c(r)$ close to 1 . Evidently $\tau=\tau(r)=(1-r) K+(1-$ $r)^{2} L+o\left((1-r)^{2}\right)$ for $r \rightarrow 1$, where $K, L$ are constants. And now we express (1.9) in $r$-terms.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sqrt{(1-r)^{2}\left(\frac{1+\varepsilon^{2}}{1-\varepsilon^{2}}\right)^{2}+(1-r) K+(1-r)^{2} L+o\left((1-r)^{2}\right)} \\
=\frac{\alpha}{2}\left[2(1-r) \frac{1+\varepsilon^{2}}{1-\varepsilon^{2}}-(1-r) K-\left(1-r^{2}\right) L-2 \frac{\varepsilon^{2} \lambda}{1-\varepsilon^{2}}(1-r)\right]+o(1-r) .
\end{gathered}
$$

From the above it follows that $K=0$ and

$$
L=\left(\alpha^{2}-1\right)\left(\frac{1+\varepsilon^{2}}{1-\varepsilon^{2}}\right)^{2}-2 \alpha^{2} \frac{\lambda \varepsilon^{2}\left(1+\varepsilon^{2}\right)}{\left(1-\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{2}}+\frac{\alpha^{2} \lambda^{2} \varepsilon^{4}}{\left(1-\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

For $r \rightarrow 1$ the inequality (1.8) is equivalent to the following one:

$$
\left(\alpha^{2}-1\right)\left(1+\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{2}-4 \varepsilon^{2} \leq-\lambda^{2} \alpha^{2} \varepsilon^{4}+\lambda\left(2 \alpha^{2} \varepsilon^{2}\left(1+\varepsilon^{2}\right)-4 \varepsilon^{2}\right)
$$

Minimum with respect to $\lambda \in[0,1]$ of the right-hand side in the last inequality is attained for $\lambda=0$ or $\lambda=1$. Thus let us consider two cases:
(i) $\lambda=0$. Then $\left(\alpha^{2}-1\right)\left(1+\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{2}-4 \varepsilon^{2} \leq 0$, or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{2} \leq \frac{4 \varepsilon^{2}}{\left(1+\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{2}}+1 \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) $\lambda=1$. Then $\alpha \leq 1+\varepsilon^{2}$.

Now note that $1+\varepsilon^{2}$ is less than the right-hand side of (1.10).

Theorem 1.2. Let $f$ be a function holomorphic in $\mathbf{B}^{n}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{n}$ be real integers, $c_{1} \in \mathbb{C}$ and let $\Omega_{\alpha}$ be a Korányi-Stein wedge at $e_{1}$. If

$$
\lim _{\Omega_{\alpha} \ni z \rightarrow e_{1}}\left[f(z)\left(1-z_{1}\right)^{c_{1}} \prod_{k=2}^{n} z_{k}^{c_{k}}\right]=A \neq \infty
$$

then there exists $\alpha_{1}<\alpha$ such that

$$
\lim _{\Omega_{\alpha_{1}} \ni z \rightarrow e_{1}} \frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z_{1}}\left(1-z_{1}\right)^{c_{1}+1} \prod_{k=2}^{n} z_{k}^{c_{k}}=A c_{1}
$$

and

$$
\lim _{\Omega_{\alpha_{1}} \ni z \rightarrow e_{1}} \frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z_{l}}\left(1-z_{1}\right)^{c_{1}} \prod_{k=2}^{n} z_{k}^{c_{k}} z_{l}=-A c_{l}, \quad l=2, \ldots, n
$$

Proof. Let us consider the function

$$
h(z)=f\left(\varphi_{a}(z)\right)\left(1-\varphi_{a}^{(1)}(z)\right)^{c_{1}} \prod_{k=2}^{n}\left(\varphi_{a}^{(k)}(z)\right)^{c_{k}}
$$

The automorphism $\varphi_{a}$, with $a=(r, 0, \ldots, 0)$ and $r$ close to 1 , maps every ball $\mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon(\alpha)-\delta}^{n}$, with $\delta$ sufficiently small, into a Korányi-Stein wedge $\Omega_{\alpha}=\Omega_{\alpha}^{e_{1}}$. Therefore, if there exists $\lim _{\Omega_{\alpha} \ni z \rightarrow e_{1}} h(z)=A \in \mathbb{C}$, then $f\left(\varphi_{a}(z)\right)(1-$ $\left.\varphi_{a}^{(1)}(z)\right)^{c_{1}} \prod_{k=2}^{n}\left(\varphi_{a}^{(k)}(z)\right)^{c_{k}}$ tends uniformly in $\mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon}^{n}$ to $A$ for $r \rightarrow 1$. Note that for the above $a$ we have $\varphi_{a}(z)=\left(\varphi_{a}^{(1)}(z), \ldots, \varphi_{a}^{(n)}(z)\right)$, with $\varphi_{a}^{(1)}(z)=$ $\frac{r-z_{1}}{1-r z_{1}}$, and $\varphi_{a}^{(k)}(z)=\frac{-\sqrt{1-r^{2}} z_{k}}{1-r z_{1}}, k=2, \ldots, n$.

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial h}{\partial z_{1}}(z) & =\left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial \varphi^{(1)}}\left(\varphi_{a}(z)\right) \frac{-1+r^{2}}{\left(1-r z_{1}\right)^{2}}\left(1-\varphi_{a}^{(1)}(z)\right)^{c_{1}+1} \prod_{k=2}^{n}\left(\varphi_{a}^{(k)}(z)\right)^{c_{k}}\right. \\
& \left.-c_{1} f\left(\varphi_{a}(z)\right)\left(1-\varphi_{a}^{(1)}(z)\right)^{c_{1}} \prod_{k=2}^{n}\left(\varphi_{a}^{(k)}(z)\right)^{c_{k}} \frac{-1+r^{2}}{\left(1-r z_{1}\right)^{2}}\right] \frac{1}{1-\varphi_{a}^{(1)}(z)} \\
& +\sum_{j=2}^{n}\left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial \varphi^{(j)}}\left(\varphi_{a}(z)\right)\left(\frac{-r \sqrt{1-r^{2}} z_{j}}{\left(1-r z_{1}\right)^{2}}\right)\left(1-\varphi_{a}^{(1)}(z)\right)^{c_{1}} \prod_{k=2}^{n}\left(\varphi_{a}^{(k)}(z)\right)^{c_{k}}\right. \\
& \left.+f\left(\varphi_{a}(z)\right)\left(1-\varphi_{a}^{(1)}(z)\right)^{c_{1}} \prod_{k=2}^{n}\left(\varphi_{a}^{(k)}(z)\right)^{c_{k}} \frac{c_{j}}{\varphi_{a}^{(j)}(z)} \frac{-r \sqrt{1-r^{2}} z_{j}}{\left(1-r z_{1}\right)^{2}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and this uniformly tends to 0 , as $r \rightarrow 1$ in $\mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon}^{n}$.
Now, let us observe that

$$
\frac{r^{2}-1}{\left(1-r z_{1}\right)^{2}} \frac{1}{1-\varphi_{a}^{(1)}(z)}=-\frac{1+r}{\left(1+z_{1}\right)\left(1-r z_{1}\right)}
$$

and that the last term is bounded for $r$ close to 1 . Moreover, each term under the sign of sum $\sum_{j=2}^{n}$ has the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial w_{j}}(w)\left(1-w_{1}\right)^{c_{1}} \prod_{k=2}^{n} w_{k}^{c_{k}} w_{j}+f(w)\left(1-w_{1}\right)^{c_{1}} \prod_{k=2}^{n} w_{k}^{c_{k}} c_{j}\right] \frac{r}{1-r z_{1}}, \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the expression $\frac{r}{1-r z_{1}}$ is bounded for $r$ close to 1 . Therefore, using Lemma 1.1 one can see that for $\varepsilon$ sufficiently small (1.11) tends to 0 as $w \rightarrow e_{1}$ in $\Phi_{\varepsilon}$.

Moreover, from the definition of $h$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial h}{\partial z_{l}}(z) & =\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{l}}\left(\varphi_{a}(z)\right) \frac{-\sqrt{1-r^{2}}}{1-r z_{1}}\left(1-\varphi_{a}^{(1)}(z)\right)^{c_{1}} \prod_{k=2}^{n}\left(\varphi_{a}^{(k)}(z)\right)^{c_{k}} \\
& +f\left(\varphi_{a}(z)\right)\left(1-\varphi_{a}^{(1)}(z)\right)^{c_{1}} \prod_{k=2}^{n}\left(\varphi_{a}^{(k)}(z)\right)^{c_{k}} c_{l} \frac{1}{z_{l}} \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

uniformly, as $r \rightarrow 1$ in $\mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon}^{n}$. Then

$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{l}}\left(\varphi_{a}(z)\right) \frac{\sqrt{1-r^{2}} z_{l}}{1-r z_{1}}\left(1-\varphi_{a}^{(1)}(z)\right)^{c_{1}} \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(\varphi_{a}^{(k)}(z)\right)^{c_{k}} \rightarrow c_{l} A,
$$

uniformly, as $r \rightarrow 1$ in $\mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon}^{n}$. Thus

$$
\lim _{\Phi_{\varepsilon} \ni w \rightarrow e_{1}}\left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{l}}(w)\left(1-w_{1}\right)^{c_{1}} \prod_{k=1}^{n} w_{k}^{c_{k}} w_{l}\right]=-c_{l} A .
$$

The proof is complete.
Corollary 1.3. Let $f$ be a function holomorphic in $\mathbf{B}^{n}$. If $\lim _{\Omega_{\alpha} \ni z \rightarrow e_{1}} f(z)=$ $A \neq \infty$, then there exists $\alpha_{1}<\alpha$ such that in $\Omega_{\alpha_{1}}$ we have $\frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z_{1}}=o\left(\frac{1}{\left|1-z_{1}\right|}\right)$ and $\frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z_{l}}=o\left(\frac{1}{\left|z_{l}\right|}\right)$ for $z \rightarrow e_{1}$ and every $l=2, \ldots, n$.

In the next theorem we give results concerning the behaviour of $\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{j}}$, which is essentially different from that presented in Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 1.4. Let $f$ be a function holomorphic in $\mathbf{B}^{n}, c \in \mathbb{C}$ and let $\Omega_{\alpha}$ be a Korányi-Stein wedge at $e_{1}$. Assume that there exists the limit

$$
\lim _{\Omega_{\alpha_{1}} \ni z \rightarrow e_{1}} f(z)\left(1-z_{1}^{2}-\ldots-z_{n}^{2}\right)^{c}=A \in \mathbb{C}
$$

Then
(i) for every $l=2, \ldots, n$ the expression $\frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z_{l}}\left(1-z_{1}^{2}-\ldots-z_{n}^{2}\right)^{c+\frac{1}{2}}$ is bounded in $\Omega_{\alpha_{1}}$ for $z \rightarrow e_{1}$, but the limit $\lim _{\Omega_{\alpha_{1}} \ni z \rightarrow e_{1}} \frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z_{l}}\left(1-z_{1}^{2}-\ldots-z_{n}^{2}\right)^{c+\frac{1}{2}}$ does not exist with $c \neq 0$.
(ii) there exists $\alpha_{1}<\alpha$ such that

$$
\lim _{\Omega_{\alpha_{1}} \ni z \rightarrow e_{1}} \frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z_{1}}\left(1-z_{1}^{2}-\ldots-z_{n}^{2}\right)^{c+1}=2 c A
$$

Proof. Let us consider an automorphism

$$
\varphi_{a}(z)=\left(\frac{r-z_{1}}{1-r z_{1}},-\frac{\sqrt{1-r^{2}} z_{2}}{1-r z_{1}}, \ldots,-\frac{\sqrt{1-r^{2}} z_{n}}{1-r z_{1}}\right)
$$

with $a=(r, 0, \ldots, 0)$. Then $\varphi\left(\mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon}^{n}\right) \subset \Phi_{\varepsilon} \subset \Omega_{\alpha},\left(\frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}\right)^{2}<\alpha$. Write

$$
h(z)=f\left(\varphi_{a}(z)\right)\left(1-\left(\varphi_{a}^{(1)}(z)\right)^{2}-\ldots-\left(\varphi_{a}^{(n)}(z)\right)^{2}\right)^{c}
$$

and $w_{j}=\varphi_{a}^{(j)}(z)$. From the assumption we have $\lim _{\mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon}^{n} \ni z \rightarrow e_{1}} h(z)=A$.
First we prove $(i)$.
For every $j=2, \ldots, n$ we get (after some calculations)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial h(z)}{\partial z_{j}}= & \left.\frac{\partial f}{\partial w_{j}}(w)\left[1-\left(\varphi_{a}^{(1)}(z)\right)^{2}-\ldots-\left(\varphi_{a}^{(n)}(z)\right)^{2}\right)\right]^{c+1} \frac{1-r z_{1}}{\sqrt{1-r^{2}}\left(1-z_{1}^{2}-\ldots-z_{n}^{2}\right)} \\
& -f(w) c\left[1-\left(\varphi_{a}^{(1)}(z)\right)^{2}-\ldots-\left(\varphi_{a}^{(n)}(z)\right)^{2}\right]^{c} \frac{2 z_{j}}{1-z_{1}^{2}-\ldots-z_{n}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which tends to 0 uniformly for $z \in \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon}^{n}$ and $r \rightarrow 1$. From the above we see that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial h(z)}{\partial z_{j}}=-\frac{\partial f}{\partial w_{j}}(w)\left(1-w_{1}^{2}-\ldots-w_{n}^{2}\right)^{c+\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\left(1-w_{1}^{2}-\ldots-w_{n}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(1-r z_{1}\right)}{\sqrt{1-r^{2}}\left(1-z_{1}^{2}-\ldots-z_{n}^{2}\right)} \\
-f(w) c\left[1-w_{1}^{2}-\ldots-w_{n}^{2}\right]^{c} \frac{2 z_{j}}{1-z_{1}^{2}-\ldots-z_{n}^{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

tends to 0 uniformly for $z \in \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon}^{n}$ and $r \rightarrow 1$. Since $\sqrt{\frac{1-w^{2}}{1-r^{2}}}=\frac{\sqrt{1-z_{1}^{2}-\ldots-z_{n}^{2}}}{1-r z_{1}}$ and $\sqrt{1-z_{1}^{2}-\ldots-z_{n}^{2}}$ are bounded in $\mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon}^{n}$,

$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial w_{j}}(w)\left(1-w_{1}^{2}-\ldots-w_{n}^{2}\right)^{c+\frac{1}{2}}+f(w) c\left[1-w_{1}^{2}-\ldots-w_{n}^{2}\right]^{c} \frac{2 z_{j}}{1-z_{1}^{2}-\ldots-z_{n}^{2}}
$$

tends to 0 uniformly for $z \in \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon}^{n}$ and $r \rightarrow 1$. Therefore

$$
\frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z_{l}}\left(1-z_{1}^{2}-\ldots-z_{n}^{2}\right)^{c+\frac{1}{2}}
$$

is bounded in $\Omega_{\alpha_{1}}$ for $z \rightarrow e_{1}$ and $j=2, \ldots, n$.
We will show that the expression

$$
\frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z_{l}}\left(1-z_{1}^{2}-\ldots-z_{n}^{2}\right)^{c+\frac{1}{2}}
$$

with $c \neq 0$, has no limit for $\Omega_{\alpha_{1}} \ni z \rightarrow e_{1}$. In the case $n=2$ let us consider the function

$$
f(z)=\frac{1}{1-z_{1}^{2}-z_{2}^{2}}
$$

Note that $\lim _{\Omega_{\alpha_{1}} \exists z \rightarrow e_{1}} f(z)\left(1-z_{1}^{2}-z_{2}^{2}\right)=1$, with $c=1$ and $A=1$. Then

$$
\lim _{\Omega_{\alpha_{1}} \ni z \rightarrow e_{1}} \frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z_{2}}\left(1-z_{1}^{2}-z_{2}^{2}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{2}}=2 \lim _{\Omega_{\alpha_{1}} \ni z \rightarrow e_{1}} \frac{z_{2}}{\sqrt{1-z_{1}^{2}-z_{2}^{2}}}
$$

We will prove that the last limit does not exist. By the definition of the Korányi-Stein wedge in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ we have

$$
\left|1-z_{1}\right|<\frac{\alpha}{2}\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}-\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}\right) .
$$

Then for $z_{1}=1-r$ we get $\left|z_{2}\right|^{2} \leq r\left(2\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)-r\right)$. Note that for $r$ sufficiently small we may take $z_{2}^{2}=r\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) t$, where $t \in[0,1]$. Then

$$
\sqrt{1-z_{1}^{2}-z_{2}^{2}}=\sqrt{2 r-r^{2}\left(1+\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)^{2} t^{2}\right)}
$$

and therefore

$$
\lim _{\Omega_{\alpha_{1}} \ni z \rightarrow e_{1}} \frac{z_{2}}{\sqrt{1-z_{1}^{2}-z_{2}^{2}}}=\sqrt{\frac{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}}{2} t}
$$

The last expression depends on $t$, so that $\lim _{\Omega_{\alpha_{1}} \ni z \rightarrow e_{1}} \frac{z_{2}}{\sqrt{1-z_{1}^{2}-z_{2}^{2}}}$ does not exist. For $n>2$ one may consider the function

$$
f(z)=\frac{1}{1-z_{1}^{2}-\ldots-z_{n}^{2}}
$$

Now we prove (ii).
Put $w=\varphi_{a}(z)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial h(z)}{\partial z_{1}}= & {\left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial w_{1}}(w) \frac{r^{2}}{\left(1-r z_{1}\right)^{2}}\left(1-w_{1}^{2}-\ldots-w_{n}^{2}\right)^{c}\right.} \\
+ & \left.c f(w)\left(1-w_{1}^{2}-\ldots-w_{n}^{2}\right)^{c-1}\left(-2 w_{1} \frac{r^{2}-1}{\left(1-r z_{1}\right)^{2}}\right)\right]_{1} \\
- & \sum_{k=2}^{n}\left[\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial w_{k}}(w)\left(1-w_{1}^{2}-\ldots-w_{n}^{2}\right)^{c}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad-2 c f(w)\left(1-w_{1}^{2}-\ldots-w_{n}^{2}\right)^{c-1} w_{k}\right) \frac{r \sqrt{1-r^{2}} z_{k}}{\left(1-r z_{1}\right)^{2}}\right]_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

tends to 0 uniformly for $z \in \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon}^{n}$ and $r \rightarrow 1^{-}$.
Since $\left(1-w_{1}^{2}-\ldots-w_{n}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}=\sqrt{1-r^{2}} \frac{\sqrt{1-z_{1}^{2}-\ldots-z_{n}^{2}}}{1-r z_{1}}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[\ldots]_{k}=} & \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial w_{k}}(w)\left(1-w_{1}^{2}-\ldots-w_{n}^{2}\right)^{c+\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1-r z_{1}}{\sqrt{1-r^{2}} \sqrt{1-z^{2}}}\right. \\
& \left.+2 c f(w)\left(1-w_{1}^{2}-\ldots-w_{n}^{2}\right)^{c} \frac{\left(1-r z_{1}\right) z_{k}}{\sqrt{1-r^{2}\left(1-z^{2}\right)}}\right) \frac{r \sqrt{1-r^{2}} z_{k}}{\left(1-r z_{1}\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

From the first part of the proof we have

$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial w_{k}}(w)\left(1-w_{1}^{2}-\ldots-w_{n}^{2}\right)^{c+\frac{1}{2}}=-c f(w)\left(1-w_{1}^{2}-\ldots-w_{n}^{2}\right)^{c} \frac{2 z_{k}}{\sqrt{1-z^{2}}}+o(1) .
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[\ldots]_{k}=-c f(w) } & \left(1-w_{1}^{2}-\ldots-w_{n}^{2}\right)^{c} \frac{r z_{k}}{\sqrt{1-z^{2}}} \frac{1}{1-r z_{1}}+o(1) \\
& +c f(w)\left(1-w_{1}^{2}-\ldots-w_{n}^{2}\right)^{c} \frac{r z_{k}}{\sqrt{1-z^{2}}} \frac{1}{1-r z_{1}}=o(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

tends to 0 uniformly for $z \in \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon}^{n}$ and $r \rightarrow 1^{-}$. Moreover

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[\ldots]_{1}=\frac{\partial f}{\partial w_{1}}(w)\left(1-w_{1}^{2}-\ldots-w_{n}^{2}\right)^{c+1} \frac{-1}{1-z^{2}} } \\
&+c f(w)\left(1-w_{1}^{2}-\ldots-w_{n}^{2}\right)^{c} \frac{2}{1-z^{2}} \frac{r-z_{1}}{1-r z_{1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus from the above considerations we get

$$
\lim _{\Omega_{\alpha_{1}} \ni z \rightarrow e_{1}} \frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z_{1}}\left(1-z_{1}^{2}-\ldots-z_{n}^{2}\right)^{c+1}=2 c A .
$$

Rudin obtained the following result ([Ru], Lemma 6.4.6).
Theorem R. If $f$ is a function holomorphic in $\mathbf{B}^{n}, c \geq 0$, and

$$
|f(z)| \leq(1-\|z\|)^{-c} \quad \text { for } \quad z \in \mathbf{B}^{n}
$$

then for $l=2, \ldots, n, 0<r<1$,

$$
\left|\frac{\partial f\left(r e_{1}\right)}{\partial z_{l}}\right| \leq A_{c}(1-r)^{-c-\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Note that Theorem R is interesting in the case when $\left|f\left(r e_{1}\right)\right| \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow 1^{-}$. The following corollary describing the behaviour of functions, in the case of existence of a finite limit $\lim _{\Omega_{\alpha_{1}} \ni z \rightarrow e_{1}} f(z)$, may be concluded from the proof of Theorem 1.4.

Corollary 1.5. If there exists a finite limit $\lim _{\Omega_{\alpha_{1}} \ni z \rightarrow e_{1}} f(z)$ then for every $l=2, \ldots, n$

$$
\lim _{\Omega_{\alpha_{1}} \ni z \rightarrow e_{1}} \frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z_{l}}\left(1-z_{1}^{2}-\ldots-z_{n}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}=0 .
$$

From the proof of Theorem 1.4 also the next corollary follows.
Corollary 1.6. If there exists $\lim _{\Omega_{\alpha_{1}} \ni z \rightarrow e_{1}} f(z)\left(1-z_{1}^{2}-\ldots-z_{n}^{2}\right)^{c}=A$, then for every $l=2, \ldots, n$

$$
\lim _{\Omega_{\alpha_{1}} \ni z \rightarrow e_{1}} \frac{\partial f\left(z_{1}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)}{\partial z_{l}}\left(1-z_{1}^{2}\right)^{c+\frac{1}{2}}=0 .
$$

Corollary 1.7. Let $f$ be a function holomorphic in $\mathbf{B}^{n}$. If there exists a finite limit $\lim _{\Omega_{\alpha} \ni z \rightarrow e_{1}} f(z)$, then

$$
\frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z_{1}}=o\left(\frac{1}{1-z_{1}^{2}-\ldots-z_{n}^{2}}\right)
$$

for $z \rightarrow e_{1}$ in $\Omega_{\alpha_{1}}$.
II. Functions in the unit polydisk. Let $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ be the unit disk in the plane. For $e^{i \theta}=\left(e^{i \theta_{1}}, \ldots, e^{i \theta_{n}}\right)$ and $\eta=\left(\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{n}\right)$ let us consider Stolz domains at $e^{i \theta_{k}}$, i.e. the domains

$$
W_{\eta_{k}}\left(e^{i \theta_{k}}\right)=\left\{z_{k} \in \boldsymbol{\Delta}:\left|\arg \left(1-z_{k} e^{-i \theta_{k}}\right)\right|<\eta_{k}\right\}
$$

where $\eta_{k} \in(0, \pi / 2], \rho>0, k=1, \ldots, n$. Let

$$
W_{\eta}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)=W_{\eta_{1}}\left(e^{i \theta_{1}}\right) \times \ldots \times W_{\eta_{n}}\left(e^{i \theta_{n}}\right)
$$

be the Stolz domain.
In this part of the paper we solve some problems concerning the behaviour of functions holomorphic in the polydisk near "the vertex" of a Stolz domain.

Theorem 2.1. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}, c=\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{W_{\eta} \ni z \rightarrow e^{i \theta}} f(z) \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(1-z_{k} e^{-i \theta_{k}}\right)^{c_{n}}=A \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then
$\mathbf{1}^{\mathbf{o}}$ for every $\varepsilon_{k} \in\left(0, \eta_{k}\right), k=1, \ldots, n$ and each $l=1, \ldots, n$

$$
\lim _{W_{\eta-\varepsilon} \ni z \rightarrow e^{i \theta}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{l}} \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(1-z_{k} e^{-i \theta_{k}}\right)^{c_{n}}=A c_{l} e^{-i \theta_{l}}
$$

$\mathbf{2}^{\mathbf{o}}$ if $A \neq 0$ and if the limit (2.1) exists for every $W_{\eta}$ with the vertex at $\mathbf{1}$, then

$$
\lim _{a \rightarrow \mathbf{1}} \frac{f\left(\varphi_{a}(z)\right)}{f(a)}=\prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(\frac{1+z_{k}}{1-z_{k}}\right)^{c_{k}}
$$

where $\varphi_{a}(z)=\left(\frac{z_{1}+a_{1}}{1+a_{1} z_{1}} e^{i \theta_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{z_{n}+a_{n}}{1+a_{n} z_{n}} e^{i \theta_{n}}\right)$ is an automorphism of $\boldsymbol{\Delta}^{n}$.

## Proof.

$\mathbf{1}^{\mathbf{o}}$ For $\delta>0$ sufficiently small and $l=1, \ldots, n$, put $K_{\eta_{l}}(\delta)=\left\{z_{l}:\left|z_{l}\right| \leq\right.$ $\left.r_{\eta_{k}}-\delta\right\}$ and $K_{\eta}(\delta)=K_{\eta_{1}}(\delta) \times \ldots \times K_{\eta_{n}}(\delta)$. Then for $a=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in$ $(0,1)^{n}$ we have

$$
f\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right) \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(1-w_{k}\right)^{c_{k}} \rightarrow A
$$

uniformly in $K_{\eta}(\delta)$, as $a \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$ where $w_{k}=\frac{z_{k}+a_{k}}{1+a_{k} z_{k}}$. Now for fixed $l$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{l}}(w) \prod_{k=1}^{n} & \left(1-w_{k}\right)^{c_{k}} e^{i \theta_{l}} \frac{1-a_{l}^{2}}{\left(1+a_{l} z_{l}\right)^{2}} \\
& \quad-f(w) \prod_{k=1, k \neq l}^{n}\left(1-w_{k}\right)^{c_{k}} \frac{1-a_{l}^{2}}{\left(1+a_{l} z_{l}\right)^{2}} c_{l}\left(1-w_{l}\right)^{c_{l}-1} \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

uniformly in $K_{\eta}(\delta)$, as $a \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$. Note that $\frac{1-a_{l}^{2}}{\left(1+a_{l} z_{l}\right)^{2}}=\left(1-w_{l}\right) \frac{1+a_{l}}{\left(1+a_{l} z_{l}\right)\left(1-z_{l}\right)}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{l}}(w) \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(1-w_{k}\right)^{c_{k}} e^{i \theta_{l}}\left(1-w_{l}\right)\right.} \\
& \left.\quad-f(w) \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(1-w_{k}\right)^{c_{k}} c_{l}\right] \frac{1+a_{l}}{\left(1+a_{l} z_{l}\right)\left(1-z_{l}\right)} \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

uniformly in $K_{\eta}(\delta)$, as $a \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$. Therefore
(2.2)
$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{l}}(w) \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(1-w_{k} e^{-i \theta_{k}}\right)^{c_{k}} e^{i \theta_{l}}\left(1-w_{l} e^{-i \theta_{l}}\right)-f(w) \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(1-w_{k} e^{-i \theta_{k}}\right)^{c_{k}} c_{l} \rightarrow 0$
as $w=\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$ in a domain $\Omega_{\alpha}$ which is the image of $K_{\eta}(\delta)$ under the map $\left(\frac{z_{1}+a_{1}}{1+a_{1} z_{1}} e^{i \theta_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{z_{n}+a_{n}}{1+a_{n} z_{n}} e^{i \theta_{n}}\right)$. In the same way as in the case $n=1$ ([GS1]) one can show that $W_{\eta-\varepsilon} \subset \Omega_{\alpha}$ for every $\varepsilon=\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n}\right)$ with sufficiently small $\|\varepsilon\|$. Thus from (2.2) we obtain

$$
\lim _{W_{\eta-\varepsilon} \ni w \rightarrow \mathbf{1}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{l}}(w) \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(1-w_{k} e^{-i \theta_{k}}\right)^{c_{k}} e^{i \theta_{l}}\left(1-w_{l} e^{-i \theta_{l}}\right)=A c_{l}
$$

$\mathbf{2}^{\mathbf{o}}$ Note that for $g(z)=\frac{\left(1+z_{1}\right)^{c_{1}-2} \ldots\left(1+z_{n}\right)^{c_{n}-2}}{\left(1-z_{1}\right)^{c_{1}} \ldots\left(1-z_{n}\right)^{c_{n}}}$ there exists the limit

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{W_{\eta} \ni z \rightarrow \mathbf{1}} \frac{f(z)}{g(z)}=A \cdot 2^{q}, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q=2 n=\sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{k}$. In particular, $\lim _{W_{\eta} \ni a \rightarrow 1} \frac{f(a)}{g(a)}=A \cdot 2^{q}$. Similarly as in the proof of $1^{\circ}$ one can rewrite (2.3) in the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{W_{\eta} \ni a \rightarrow 1} \frac{f\left(\varphi_{a}(z)\right) g(a)}{g\left(\varphi_{a}(z)\right) f(a)}=1, \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the convergence is uniform in $\boldsymbol{\Delta}^{n}$. Since $g(z)=\frac{g\left(\varphi_{a}(z)\right)}{g(a) \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(1+a_{k} z_{k}\right)^{2}}$, from (2.4) we get

$$
\lim _{W_{n} \ni a \rightarrow \mathbf{1}} \frac{f\left(\varphi_{a}(z)\right)}{f(z)}=g(z) \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(1+z_{k}\right)^{2}=\prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(\frac{1+z_{k}}{1-z_{k}}\right)^{c_{k}} .
$$

Corollary 2.2. Let $c=\mathbb{O}$. If the limit $\lim _{W_{\eta} \ni z \rightarrow e^{i \theta}} f(z)$ is finite then for every $l=1, \ldots, n$ and every $\varepsilon=\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n}\right) \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{l}}(z)=o\left(\frac{1}{1-\|z\|}\right)$ for $z \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$ in $W_{\eta-\varepsilon}$. Moreover $\frac{\partial^{m} f}{\partial^{k_{1}} z_{1} \ldots \partial^{k_{n} z_{n}}}(z)=o\left(\left(\frac{1}{1-\|z\|}\right)^{m}\right)$, where $m=k_{1}+\ldots+k_{n}$, and on the right-hand side of the last equality it is not possible to put a number less than $m$.

The proof of Theorem 2.1 implies a modification of Hardy-Littlewood theorem ([Du], [Ru]; also cf. [GS2]).
Theorem 2.3. Let $f$ be a function holomorphic in $W_{\eta}$ with the vertex at 1, where $\eta$ is sufficiently small and suppose that for fixed $c \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ the limit $\lim _{W_{\eta} \ni z \rightarrow 1} f(z) \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(1-z_{k}\right)^{c_{k}}=A \in \mathbb{C}$ does exist. Then for every $l=1, \ldots, n$

$$
\lim _{\mathbf{r} \rightarrow 1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{l}}(\mathbf{r}) \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(1-r_{k}\right)^{c_{k}}\left(1-r_{l}\right)=A c_{l},
$$

where $\mathbf{r}=\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right) \in(0,1)^{n}$.
Theorem 2.4. Let $c=\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n}, \mu=\left(\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$. If

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{W_{\eta} \ni z \rightarrow 1} f(z) \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(\left(1-z_{k}\right)^{c_{k}}\left(\log \frac{1}{1-z_{k}}\right)^{\mu_{k}}\right)=A \in \mathbb{C} \\
\left(\text { or } \lim _{W_{\eta} \ni z \rightarrow 1} f(z) \prod_{k=1}^{n} \exp \frac{c_{k}}{1-z_{k}}=A \in \mathbb{C}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

then for every $\varepsilon=\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n}\right), 0<\varepsilon_{k}<\eta_{k}$ and every $l=1, \ldots, n$

$$
\lim _{W_{n}-\varepsilon \exists z \rightarrow 1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{l}}(w) \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(\left(1-w_{k}\right)^{c_{k}}\left(\log \frac{1}{1-w_{k}}\right)^{\mu_{k}}\right)\left(1-w_{k}\right)=A c_{l} .
$$

$$
\left(\lim _{W_{\eta-\varepsilon} \ni z \rightarrow \mathbf{1}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{l}}(w) \prod_{k=1}^{n} \exp \frac{c_{k}}{1-w_{k}}=-A c_{l}\right)
$$

The proof of this theorem is similar to that of Theorem 2.1.
The authors wish to express their gratitude to Maria Nowak for many stimulating conversations.
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