

WŁODZIMIERZ M. MIKULSKI

Liftings of 1-forms to the bundle of affinors

*Dedicated to Professor Ivan Kolář
on the occasion of his 65-th birthday*

ABSTRACT. All natural operators $T^* \rightsquigarrow T^*(T \otimes T^*)$ over n -manifolds are described. Non-existence of canonical volume forms on some natural bundles is deduced.

We study how a 1-form ω on a n -manifold M induces a 1-form $B(\omega)$ on $TM \otimes T^*M$. This problem is reflected in natural operators $T^* \rightsquigarrow T^*(T \otimes T^*)$ over n -manifolds, [5]. Using the results from [1] and [2], we prove that the set of natural operators $T^* \rightsquigarrow T^*(T \otimes T^*)$ over n -manifolds is a free $C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ -module. We construct a basis of this module. See [6] (or [3]), for the similar problem with T (or T^*) instead of $T \otimes T^*$.

We deduce non-existence of canonical volume forms (symplectic, cosymplectic, contact structures) on some natural bundles, e.g. $T \otimes T^*$.

From now on $\pi : TM \otimes T^*M \rightarrow M$ is the bundle projection for every n -manifold M , x^1, \dots, x^n are the usual coordinates on \mathbf{R}^n and $\partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 58A20, 53A55.

Key words and phrases. Natural bundles, natural operators.

All manifolds and maps are assumed to be of class C^∞ .

I. The natural operators $T^* \rightsquigarrow T^*(T \otimes T^*)$. If $L : V \rightarrow V$ is an endomorphism of an n -dimensional vector space V then $a_1(L), \dots, a_n(L)$ denote the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial

$$W_L(\lambda) = \det(\lambda \text{id}_V - L) = \lambda^n + a_1(L)\lambda^{n-1} + \dots + a_{n-1}(L)\lambda + a_n(L).$$

1. Example. For every n -manifold M we have $a_1, \dots, a_n : TM \otimes T^*M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ (as $T_x M \otimes T_x^* M = \text{End}(T_x M)$) and $da_1, \dots, da_n \in \Omega^1(TM \otimes T^*M)$. $da_1, \dots, da_n : T^* \rightsquigarrow T^*(T \otimes T^*)$ are constant natural operators over n -manifolds.

2. Example. For every n -manifold M , $\omega \in \Omega^1(M)$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$ let $B^{(i)}(\omega) \in \Omega^1(TM \otimes T^*M)$, $B^{(i)}(\omega)_\tau = \omega_x \circ \tau^{n-i} \circ T_\tau \pi$, $\tau \in T_x M \otimes T_x^* M$, $x \in M$, $\tau^{n-i} = \tau \circ \dots \circ \tau$ ($n-i$)-times. $B^{(1)}, \dots, B^{(n)} : T^* \rightsquigarrow T^*(T \otimes T^*)$ are nat. operators over n -manifolds.

The set of natural operators $T^* \rightsquigarrow T^*(T \otimes T^*)$ over n -manifolds is a $C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ -module: $(fB)(\omega) = f((a_i)_{i=1}^n)B(\omega)$, $B : T^* \rightsquigarrow T^*(T \otimes T^*)$, $\omega \in \Omega^1(M)$, $f \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

3. Theorem. *The operators da_i and $B^{(i)}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ form a basis of the $C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ -module of natural operators $T^* \rightsquigarrow T^*(T \otimes T^*)$ over n -manifolds.*

Proof. Let $B : T^* \rightsquigarrow T^*(T \otimes T^*)$ be a natural operator over n -manifolds. We have to show that B is the linear combination of the operators da_i and $B^{(i)}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ with uniquely determined coefficients from $C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$. \square

4. Lemma. $B(\omega) = B(0)$ on $V(TM \otimes T^*M)$ for $\omega \in \Omega^1(M)$.

Proof. Let $v \in V_\tau(TM \otimes T^*\mathbf{R}^n)$, $\tau \in T_0\mathbf{R}^n \otimes T_0^*\mathbf{R}^n$, $\omega \in \Omega^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Since $t \text{id}_{\mathbf{R}^n}$ for $t \neq 0$ preserve v , $B((t \text{id}_{\mathbf{R}^n})^*\omega)_\tau(v) = B(\omega)_\tau(v)$. If $t \rightarrow 0$, $B(\omega)_\tau(v) = B(0)_\tau(v)$. \square

5. Lemma. *There exist the maps $f_i \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that $B(\omega)_\tau((v^o)_\tau^C) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(a_1(\tau), \dots, a_n(\tau))\omega_0(\tau^{n-i}(v))$ for $\tau \in T_0\mathbf{R}^n \otimes T_0^*\mathbf{R}^n$, $\omega \in \Omega^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $v \in T_0\mathbf{R}^n$, where v^o is the constant vector field on \mathbf{R}^n with $v_0^o = v$ and $(v^o)^C$ its complete lifting.*

Proof. Consider $\tau \in T_0\mathbf{R}^n \otimes T_0^*\mathbf{R}^n$. Given $\omega \in \Omega(\mathbf{R}^n)$, by [5], $B(\omega)_\tau$ depends only on $j_0^r \omega$ for some finite $r = r(\tau)$. Define $\bar{B}_\tau : (J_0^r T^*\mathbf{R}^n) \times T_0\mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, $\bar{B}_\tau(j_0^r \omega, v) = B(\omega)_\tau((v^o)_\tau^C)$, $\omega \in \Omega^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $v \in T_0\mathbf{R}^n$. By the invariance of B with respect to $t \text{id}_{\mathbf{R}^n}$ for $t \in \mathbf{R}_+$ and the homogeneous function theorem, [5], \bar{B}_τ depends linearly on (ω_0, v) .

So, we can define $\tilde{B} : T_0\mathbf{R}^n \otimes T_0^*\mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow T_0\mathbf{R}^n \otimes T_0^*\mathbf{R}^n$, $\tilde{B}(\tau)(\omega_0, v) = B(\omega)_\tau((v^\circ)_\tau^C)$, $\omega \in \Omega^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $v \in T_0\mathbf{R}^n$. By the $GL(\mathbf{R}^n)$ -invariance of B , \tilde{B} is $GL(\mathbf{R}^n)$ -equivariant. Then, by Proposition 2.2 in [1], there exist the maps $f_i \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with $\tilde{B}(\tau) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(a_1(\tau), \dots, a_n(\tau))\tau^{n-i}$ for $\tau \in T_0\mathbf{R}^n \otimes T_0^*\mathbf{R}^n$. \square

Replacing B by $B - \sum_{i=1}^n f_i B^{(i)}$, we can assume that B is constant.

6. Lemma. *There exist the maps $g_i \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with $B = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i da_i$.*

Proof. Since B is constant, we can define new natural operator $B^\circ : T \otimes T^* \rightsquigarrow T^*$ such that $B^\circ(\tau) = \tau^* B$ for any tensor field τ on an n -manifold M .

By Theorem 2.2 in [2], there exist the maps $g_{ij} \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ such that $B^\circ(\tau) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n g_{ij}(a_1(\tau), \dots, a_n(\tau))d(a_i(\tau)) \circ \tau^{n-j}$ for any τ as above.

Since the correspondence $B \rightarrow B^\circ$ is injective, it remains to verify that $g_{ij} = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $j = 1, \dots, n-1$. We can assume $n \geq 2$.

Consider $i_o = 1, \dots, n$ and $j_o = 1, \dots, n-1$ and $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$. Let $A \in gl(n)$ be such that $Ae_i = e_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ and $Ae_n = -b_n e_1 - \dots - b_1 e_n$.

Let τ be the tensor field on \mathbf{R}^n of type $(1, 1)$ such that $\tau_x \in \text{End}(T_x \mathbf{R}^n)$ has matrix A with respect to $\partial_{1|x}, \dots, \partial_{n|x}$ for $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$. Then $a_i(\tau) = b_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Let η be the tensor field on \mathbf{R}^n of type $(1, 1)$ such that η_x has matrix $A - x^{n-j_o+1} E_{n-i_o+1, n}$ for any $x = (x^1, \dots, x^n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$, where $E_{k,l} \in gl(n)$ has 1 in the (k, l) position and 0 in other positions. Then $a_i(\eta) = b_i + \delta_{i_o}^i x^{n-j_o+1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Clearly $T\eta(\partial_{1|0}) = T\tau(\partial_{1|0})$ and $d(a_i(\tau)) = db_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then $B^\circ(\eta)_0(\partial_{1|0}) = B^\circ(\tau)_0(\partial_{1|0}) = 0$. Then, since $(\eta_0)^{n-j}(\partial_{1|0}) = \partial_{n-j+1|0}$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$, $\sum_{i,j=1}^n g_{ij}(b)d(a_i(\eta))(\partial_{n-j+1|0}) = 0$. Since $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{n-j+1}}(a_i(\eta)) = \delta_{i_o}^i \delta_{n-j_o+1}^{n-j+1}$, $g_{i_o j_o}(b) = 0$.

The proof of Theorem 3 is complete. \square

7. Corollary. *The operators $B^{(i)}$ (or da_i) for $i = 1, \dots, n$ form a basis of the $C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ -module of linear natural operators $T^* \rightsquigarrow T^*(T \otimes T^*)$ (or canonical 1-forms on $T \otimes T^*$) over n -manifolds.*

8. Remark. If $n \geq 2$, there are non-zero canonical 2-forms on $T \otimes T^*$ (for example, $da_i \wedge da_j$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$) but there are no canonical symplectic structures because there are no canonical volume forms, see Proposition 10 (c).

II. Non-existence of canonical volume forms on some natural bundles.

9. Lemma. *Let F be a natural bundle over n -manifolds. Suppose there is $v_o \in F_0\mathbf{R}^n$ with $F(t \operatorname{id}_{\mathbf{R}^n})(v_o) = v_o$ for all $t \in \mathbf{R}$ and there are a basis u_1, \dots, u_k of $V_{v_o}F\mathbf{R}^n$ and $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbf{R}$ with $TF(t \operatorname{id}_{\mathbf{R}^n})(u_j) = t^{a_j}u_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, k$ and $t \in \mathbf{R}_+$. Suppose also that there is a canonical volume form Ω on F . Then $n + \sum_{j=1}^k a_j = 0$.*

Proof. Using basis $\partial_1^C|_{v_o}, \dots, \partial_n^C|_{v_o}, u_1, \dots, u_k$ of $T_{v_o}F\mathbf{R}^n$ we see that $t \operatorname{id}_{\mathbf{R}^n}$ maps Ω_{v_o} into $t^{-(n+\sum_{j=1}^k a_j)}\Omega_{v_o}$. On the other hand, $t \operatorname{id}_{\mathbf{R}^n}$ preserves Ω_{v_o} and $\Omega_{v_o} \neq 0$. \square

10. Proposition. *There are no canonical volume forms on:*

- (a) $(F|_{\mathcal{M}f_n})^*$ for every bundle functor $F : \mathcal{M}f \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\mathcal{B}$ with $\dim(F_0\mathbf{R}^n) - \dim(F\mathbf{R}^0) \geq n + 1$ (in particular, on $T_p^{r*} = J^r(\cdot, \mathbf{R}^p)_0$ for $p, r \in \mathbf{N}$ with $(p, r) \neq (1, 1)$, on J^rT^* for $r \in \mathbf{N}$ and on Λ^pT^* for $n \geq 4$ and $p = 2, \dots, n - 2$);
- (b) $\Lambda^{n-1}T^*$ for $n \geq 3$;
- (c) $\otimes^p T \otimes \otimes^q T^*$ for $p, q \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$ with $(p, q) \neq (0, 1)$ if $n \geq 2$ and $(p, q) \neq (p, p + 1)$ if $n = 1$;
- (d) $\otimes^p(\Lambda^n T^*)$ for $p \geq 2$.

Proof. ad (a) Put $v_o = 0 \in (F_0\mathbf{R}^n)^*$. There are a basis u_1, \dots, u_k of $V_{v_o}(F\mathbf{R}^n)^*$ and $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \{0, -1, \dots\}$ with $T((F)^*(t \operatorname{id}_{\mathbf{R}^n}))(u_j) = t^{a_j}u_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, k$ and $t > 0$, [4]. We see $\operatorname{card}\{j \mid a_j = 0\} = \dim(F\mathbf{R}^0)$. So, $n + \sum_{j=1}^k a_j < 0$. Apply Lemma 9.

ad (b)–(d) Consider $v_o = 0$ over $0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and the obvious bases u_1, \dots, u_k of $F_0\mathbf{R}^n \cong V_{v_o}F\mathbf{R}^n$ for $F = \otimes^p T \otimes \otimes^q T^*$, $\Lambda^{n-1}T^*$, $\otimes^p(\Lambda^n T^*)$. Find a_1, \dots, a_k with $F(t \operatorname{id}_{\mathbf{R}^n})(u_j) = t^{a_j}u_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, k$ and $t \in \mathbf{R}_+$ and apply Lemma 9. \square

11. Remark. (a) There is the well-known symplectic structure (and the volume form) on T^* . If $(p, r) = (1, 1)$, $T_p^{r*} \cong T^*$. If $n = 1$, $\otimes^p T \otimes \otimes^{p+1} T^* \cong T^*$ by a contraction.

(b) We have a volume form $d\Theta$ on $\Lambda^n T^*$, $\Theta_\omega(v_1, \dots, v_n) = \omega(T\pi(v_1), \dots, T\pi(v_n))$, $v_1, \dots, v_n \in T_\omega \Lambda^n T^* M$, $\omega \in \Lambda^n T_x^* M$, $x \in M$.

(c) If $F : \mathcal{M}f \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\mathcal{B}$ is a bundle functor with $\dim(F_0\mathbf{R}^n) - \dim(F\mathbf{R}^0) = n$ ($< n$), then a volume form on $(F|_{\mathcal{M}f_n})^*$ can exist or not, see (a) and 10(b) ((b) and 10(d)).

REFERENCES

- [1] Debecki, J., *Natural transformations of affinors into functions and affinors*, Suppl. Rend. Circolo Mat. Palermo **30(II)** (1993), 101–112.
- [2] ———, *Natural transformations of affinors into linear forms*, Suppl. Rend. Circolo Mat. Palermo **32(II)** (1993), 49–59.
- [3] Doupovec, M., J. Kurek, *Lifting of tensor fields to the cotangent bundle*, Proc Conf. Differential Geom. and Appl., Brno, 1995, pp. 141–150.
- [4] Epstein, D.B.A., *Natural vector bundles*, Category theory, Homology theory and their applications III, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 99, Springer Verlag, 1969, pp. 171–195.
- [5] Kolář, I., P.W. Michor and J. Slovák, *Natural Operations in Differential Geometry*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [6] Mikulski, W.M., *The natural operators lifting 1-forms on manifolds to the bundles of A-velocities*, Mh. Math. **119** (1995), 63–77.

Institute of Mathematics
Jagiellonian University
Reymonta 4, 30-059 Kraków, Poland
e-mail: mikulski@im.uj.edu.pl

received December 15, 2000