DOI: 10.2478/v10062-008-0003-5

## ANNALES UNIVERSITATIS MARIAE CURIE-SKŁODOWSKA LUBLIN – POLONIA

NOL LVIL 2000	GEOTIO A	01 00
VOL. LXII, 2008	SECTIO A	31 - 36

ANNA BEDNARSKA

## Canonical vector valued 1-forms on higher order adapted frame bundles over category of fibered squares

ABSTRACT. Let Y be a fibered square of dimension  $(m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2)$ . Let V be a finite dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$ . We describe all  $\mathcal{F}^2\mathcal{M}_{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}$ canonical V-valued 1-form  $\Theta: TP_A^r(Y) \to V$  on the r-th order adapted frame bundle  $P_A^r(Y)$ .

A fibered square (or fibered-fibered manifold) is any commutative diagram

(1) 
$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{n} & X \\ q \downarrow & & \downarrow^{p} \\ N & \xrightarrow{\pi_{0}} & M \end{array}$$

where maps  $\pi, \pi_0, q, p$  are surjective submersions and induced map  $Y \to X \times_M N, y \mapsto (\pi(y), q(y))$  is a surjective submersion. We will denote a fibered square (1) by Y in short, [3], [5].

A fibered square (1) has dimension  $(m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2)$ , if dim  $Y = m_1 + m_2 + n_1 + n_2$ , dim  $X = m_1 + m_2$ , dim  $N = m_1 + n_1$ , dim  $M = m_1$ . For two fibered squares  $Y_1, Y_2$  of the same dimension  $(m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2)$ , a fibered squares morphism  $f: Y_1 \to Y_2$  is quadruple of local diffeomorphisms  $f: Y_1 \to Y_2$ ,

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 58A20, 58A32.

Key words and phrases. Fibered square, projectable-projectable vector field, r-th order adapted frame bundle, canonical 1-forms.

 $f_1: X_1 \to X_2, f_2: N_1 \to N_2, f_0: M_1 \to M_2$  such that all squares of the cube in question are commutative.

All fibered squares of given dimension  $(m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2)$  and their morphisms form a category which we denote by  $\mathcal{F}^2\mathcal{M}_{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}$ .

Every object from the category  $\mathcal{F}^2\mathcal{M}_{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}$  is locally isomorphic to the standard fibered square

which we denote by  $\mathbb{R}^{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}$ , where arrows are obvious projections.

Let Y be a fibered square (1) of dimension  $(m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2)$ . We define the r-th order adapted frame bundle

(3) 
$$P_A^r(Y) = \{ j_{(0,0,0,0)}^r \varphi | \varphi \colon \mathbb{R}^{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2} \to Y \text{ is}$$
$$\mathcal{F}^2 \mathcal{M}_{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2} \text{ - morphism} \}$$

over Y with the projection  $\beta: P_A^r(Y) \to Y$ ,  $\beta(j_{(0,0,0,0)}^r \varphi) = \varphi(0,0,0,0)$ . The adapted frame bundle  $P_A^r(Y)$  is a principal bundle with Lie group  $G_{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}^r = P_A^r(\mathbb{R}^{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2})_{(0,0,0,0)}$  (with multiplication given by the composition of jets) acting on the right on  $P_A^r(Y)$  by composition of jets. Every  $\mathcal{F}^2\mathcal{M}_{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}$ -morphism  $\Phi: Y_1 \to Y_2$  induces a local diffeomorphism  $P_A^r\Phi: P_A^r(Y_1) \to P_A^r(Y_2)$  given by  $P_A^r\Phi(j_{(0,0,0,0)}^r\varphi) = j_{(0,0,0,0)}^r(\Phi \circ \varphi)$ , [1], [4].

**Definition 1.** Let V be a finite dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$ . A  $\mathcal{F}^2\mathcal{M}_{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}$ -canonical V-valued 1-form  $\Theta$  on  $P_A^r$  is any  $\mathcal{F}^2\mathcal{M}_{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}$ -invariant family  $\Theta = \{\Theta_Y\}$  of V-valued 1-forms  $\Theta_Y : TP_A^r(Y) \to V$  on  $P_A^r(Y)$  for any  $\mathcal{F}^2\mathcal{M}_{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}$ -object Y, [2], [4].

The invariance of canonical 1-form  $\Theta$  means that two V-valued forms  $\Theta_{Y_1}$  and  $\Theta_{Y_2}$  are  $P_A^r \Phi$ -related (that is  $P_A^r \Phi^* \Theta_{Y_2} = \Theta_{Y_1}$ , where  $P_A^r \Phi^* \Theta_{Y_2} = \Theta_{Y_2} \circ TP_A^r \Phi$ ) for any  $\mathcal{F}^2 \mathcal{M}_{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}$ -morphism  $\Phi: Y_1 \to Y_2$ .

**Example 1.** For every  $\mathcal{F}^2\mathcal{M}_{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}$ -object Y we define  $\mathbb{R}^{m_1+m_2+n_1+n_2}$ valued 1-form  $\theta_Y$  on  $P_A^1(Y)$  as follows. Consider the projection  $\beta \colon P_A^1(Y) \to Y$  given by  $\beta(j_{(0,0,0,0)}^1\varphi) = \varphi(0,0,0,0)$ , an element  $u = j_{(0,0,0,0)}^1\psi \in P_A^1(Y)$ and a tangent vector  $W = j_0^1 c \in T_u P_A^1(Y)$ . We define the form  $\theta_Y$  by

(4) 
$$\begin{aligned} \theta_Y(W) &= u^{-1} \circ T\beta(W) \\ &= j_0^1(\psi^{-1} \circ \beta \circ c) \in T_{(0,0,0,0)} \mathbb{R}^{m_1 + m_2 + n_1 + n_2} = \mathbb{R}^{m_1 + m_2 + n_1 + n_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously,  $\theta = \{\theta_Y\}$  is a  $\mathcal{F}^2\mathcal{M}_{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}$ -canonical 1-form on  $P_A^1$ .

A vector field W on Y is projectable-projectable on  $\mathcal{F}^2\mathcal{M}_{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}$ object (1), if there exists vector fields  $W_1$  on X and  $W_2$  on N and  $W_0$  on

M such that  $W, W_1$  are  $\pi$ -related and  $W, W_2$  are q-related and  $W_1, W_0$  are p-related and  $W_2, W_0$  are  $\pi_0$ -related, [5].

We therefore see that vector field W on Y is projectable-projectable on  $\mathcal{F}^2\mathcal{M}_{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}$ -object (1) if and only if the flow  $\{\Phi_t\}$  of vector field W is formed by local  $\mathcal{F}^2\mathcal{M}_{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}$ -maps.

The space of all projectable-projectable vector fields on  $\mathcal{F}^2\mathcal{M}_{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}$ object Y will be denoted by  $\mathfrak{X}_{proj-proj}(Y)$ . It is Lie subalgebra of Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{X}(Y)$  of all vector fields on Y.

For projectable-projectable vector field  $W \in \mathfrak{X}_{proj-proj}(Y)$  the flow lifting  $\mathcal{P}_A^r W$  is vector field on  $P_A^r(Y)$  such that if  $\{\Phi_t\}$  is the flow of field W, then  $\{P_A^r(\Phi_t)\}$  is the flow of field  $\mathcal{P}_A^r W$ . (Since  $\Phi_t$  are  $\mathcal{F}^2 \mathcal{M}_{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}$ -maps, we can apply functor  $P_A^r$  to  $\Phi_t$ ).

To present a general example of a  $\mathcal{F}^2\mathcal{M}_{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}$ -canonical V-valued 1form on  $P_A^r$  we need the following lemma, which is an obvious modification of the known fact for usual manifolds.

**Lemma 1.** Let Y be a fibered square (1) from the category  $\mathcal{F}^2\mathcal{M}_{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}$ . Then any vector  $w \in T_v P_A^r(Y)$ , where  $v \in (P_A^r(Y))_y$ ,  $y \in Y$ , is of the form  $w = \mathcal{P}_A^r W_v$  for any projectable-projectable vector field  $W \in \mathfrak{X}_{proj-proj}(Y)$ , where  $\mathcal{P}_A^r W \in \mathfrak{X}(P_A^r(Y))$  is the flow lifting of field W to  $P_A^r(Y)$ . Moreover  $j_y^r W$  is uniquely determined.

**Proof.** We can assume that  $Y = \mathbb{R}^{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}$  and  $y = (0,0,0,0) \in \mathbb{R}^{m_1+m_2+n_1+n_2}$ . Since  $P_A^r(\mathbb{R}^{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2})$  is obviously a principal subbundle of the *r*-th order frame bundle  $P^r(\mathbb{R}^{m_1+m_2+n_1+n_2})$ , by the well-known manifolds version of Lemma 1, we find  $W \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{R}^{m_1+m_2+n_1+n_2})$  such that  $w = \mathcal{P}^r W_v$  and  $j_{(0,0,0,0)}^r W$  is uniquely determined, where  $\mathcal{P}^r W$  is a vector field on  $P^r(\mathbb{R}^{m_1+m_2+n_1+n_2})$  being a flow lifting of vector field W and  $v \in P_A^r(\mathbb{R}^{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2})$ .

For a projectable-projectable vector field  $\widetilde{W} \in \mathfrak{X}_{proj-proj}(\mathbb{R}^{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2})$  the vector  $\mathcal{P}^r \widetilde{W}_v \in T_v \mathcal{P}^r(\mathbb{R}^{m_1+m_2+n_1+n_2})$  is tangent to  $P_A^r(\mathbb{R}^{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2})$  at the point v. On the other hand, the dimension of space  $P_A^r(\mathbb{R}^{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2})$  and the dimension of space of r-jets  $j_{(0,0,0,0)}^r \widetilde{W}$  of projectable-projectable vector fields  $\widetilde{W} \in \mathfrak{X}_{proj-proj}(\mathbb{R}^{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2})$  are equal. Then Lemma 1 follows from dimension equality, since flow operators are linear.

Example 2. Let

(5) 
$$\lambda \colon J^{r-1}_{(0,0,0,0)}(T_{proj-proj}(\mathbb{R}^{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2})) \to V$$

be a  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear map, where  $J_{(0,0,0,0)}^{r-1}(T_{proj-proj}(\mathbb{R}^{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}))$  is the vector space of all (r-1)-jets  $j_{(0,0,0,0)}^{r-1}W$  at point  $(0,0,0,0) \in \mathbb{R}^{m_1+m_2+n_1+n_2}$  of projectable-projectable vector fields  $W \in \mathfrak{X}_{proj-proj}(\mathbb{R}^{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2})$ . Given A. Bednarska

a fibered square Y, (1), from the category  $\mathcal{F}^2 \mathcal{M}_{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}$  we define Vvalued 1-form  $\Theta_Y^{\lambda}$ :  $TP_A^r(Y) \to V$  on  $P_A^r(Y)$  as follows. Let  $w \in T_v P_A^r(Y)$ , where  $v = j_{(0,0,0)}^r \varphi \in (P_A^r(Y))_y$ ,  $y \in Y$ . By Lemma 1, we have  $w = \mathcal{P}_A^r W_v$  for some projectable-projectable vector field  $W \in \mathfrak{X}_{proj-proj}(Y)$  and  $j_y^r W$  is uniquely determined. Then is uniquely determined the (r-1)-jet  $j_{(0,0,0)}^{r-1}((\varphi^{-1})_*W)$ , where  $(\varphi^{-1})_*W = T\varphi^{-1} \circ W \circ \varphi$ . We define

(6) 
$$\Theta_Y^{\lambda}(w) := \lambda(j_{(0,0,0,0)}^{r-1}((\varphi^{-1})_*W)).$$

Obviously,  $\Theta^{\lambda} = \{\Theta_{Y}^{\lambda}\}$  is  $\mathcal{F}^{2}\mathcal{M}_{m_{1},m_{2},n_{1},n_{2}}$ -canonical V-valued 1-form on  $P_{A}^{r}$ .

The main result of this note is the following classification theorem.

**Theorem 1.** Any  $\mathcal{F}^2\mathcal{M}_{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}$ -canonical V-valued 1-form on  $P_A^r$  is of the form  $\Theta^{\lambda}$  for some uniquely determined  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear map

$$\lambda: J_{(0,0,0,0)}^{r-1}(T_{proj-proj}(\mathbb{R}^{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2})) \to V.$$

In the proof of Theorem 1 we use the following fact.

**Lemma 2.** Let  $W_1, W_2 \in \mathfrak{X}_{proj-proj}(Y)$  be projectable-projectable vector fields on  $\mathcal{F}^2\mathcal{M}_{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}$ -object Y and let  $y \in Y$  be a point. We suppose that  $j_y^{r-1}W_1 = j_y^{r-1}W_2$  and  $W_1(y)$  is not vertical vector with respect to composition of projections  $\pi: Y \to X$  and  $p: X \to M$ . Then there exists a (locally defined)  $\mathcal{F}^2\mathcal{M}_{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}$ -map  $\Phi: Y \to Y$  such that  $j_y^r(\Phi) = j_y^r(id_Y)$ and  $\Phi_*W_1 = W_2$  near y.

**Proof.** It is a direct modification of the proof of Lemma 42.4 in [2].  $\Box$ 

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Let  $\Theta$  be  $\mathcal{F}^2\mathcal{M}_{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}$ -canonical V-valued 1form on  $P_A^r$ . We must define  $\lambda: J_{(0,0,0,0)}^{r-1}(T_{proj-proj}(\mathbb{R}^{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2})) \to V$  by

(7) 
$$\lambda(\xi) := (\Theta_{\mathbb{R}^{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2}}) (\mathcal{P}^r \widetilde{W}_{j^r_{(0,0,0,0)}}(id_{\mathbb{R}^{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2}}))$$

for all  $\xi \in J_{(0,0,0,0)}^{r-1}(T_{proj-proj}(\mathbb{R}^{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}))$ , where  $\widetilde{W}$  is a unique (germ at (0,0,0,0)) of projectable-projectable vector field on  $\mathbb{R}^{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}$  such that  $j_{(0,0,0,0)}^{r-1}\widetilde{W} = \xi$  and coefficients of  $\widetilde{W}$  with respect to the basis of space  $\mathfrak{X}_{proj-proj}(\mathbb{R}^{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2})$  composed of canonical vector fields are polynomials of degree  $\leq r-1$ . We are going to show that  $\Theta = \Theta^{\lambda}$ . Because of the  $\mathcal{F}^2\mathcal{M}_{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}$ -invariance of  $\Theta$  and  $\Theta^{\lambda}$  it remains to show that

(8) 
$$(\Theta_{\mathbb{R}^{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}})(w) = (\Theta_{\mathbb{R}^{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}}^{\lambda})(w)$$

for any  $w \in T_{j_{(0,0,0,0)}^r}(id_{\mathbb{R}^{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}})P_A^r(\mathbb{R}^{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}).$ 

By the definition of  $\lambda$  and  $\Theta^{\lambda}$  we have (8) for any w of the form

$$w = \mathcal{P}_A^r \widetilde{W}_{j_{(0,0,0,0)}^r}(id_{\mathbb{R}^{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}}),$$

where  $\widetilde{W} \in \mathfrak{X}_{proj-proj}(\mathbb{R}^{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2})$  is a projectable-projectable vector field such that coefficients  $\widetilde{W}$  with respect to the above mentioned basis of the space  $\mathfrak{X}_{proj-proj}(\mathbb{R}^{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2})$  are polynomials of degree  $\leq r-1$ .

Now, let  $w \in T_{j_{(0,0,0)}^r}(id_{\mathbb{R}^{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}})P_A^r(\mathbb{R}^{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2})$ . Then by Lemma 1, w is of the form  $w = \mathcal{P}_A^r W_{j_{(0,0,0)}^r}(id_{\mathbb{R}^{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}})$  for some projectable-projectable vector field  $W \in \mathfrak{X}_{proj-proj}(\mathbb{R}^{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2})$  and  $j_{(0,0,0)}^rW$  is uniquely determined. We can additionally assume that W(0,0,0,0) is not vertical vector with respect to projection  $\mathbb{R}^{m_1+m_2+n_1+n_2} \to \mathbb{R}^{m_1}$ . Let  $\widetilde{W} \in \mathfrak{X}_{proj-proj}(\mathbb{R}^{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2})$  be projectable-projectable vector field such that  $j_{(0,0,0,0)}^{r-1}\widetilde{W} = j_{(0,0,0)}^{r-1}W$  and coefficients of field  $\widetilde{W}$  with respect to the basis of constant vector fields on  $\mathbb{R}^{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}$  are polynomials of degree  $\leq r-1$ . Let  $\widetilde{w} = \mathcal{P}_A^r \widetilde{W}_{j_{(0,0,0,0)}}(id_{\mathbb{R}^{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2})}$ . Then (see above) it holds  $(\Theta_{\mathbb{R}^{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2})(\widetilde{w}) = (\Theta_{\mathbb{R}^{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}}^\lambda)(\widetilde{w}).$ 

On the other hand by Lemma 2 there exists a  $\mathcal{F}^2 \mathcal{M}_{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}$ -map  $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2} \to \mathbb{R}^{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}$  such that  $j^r_{(0,0,0,0)} \Phi = j^r_{(0,0,0,0)}(id_{\mathbb{R}^{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}})$ and  $\Phi_* \widetilde{W} = W$  near  $(0,0,0,0) \in \mathbb{R}^{m_1+m_2+n_1+n_2}$ . Since  $j^r_{(0,0,0,0)} \Phi = id$ , then  $\Phi$  preserves  $j^r_{(0,0,0,0)}(id_{\mathbb{R}^{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}})$ . Then since  $\Phi_* \widetilde{W} = W$ , so  $\Phi$  sends  $\widetilde{w}$ into w. Then because of invariance of  $\Theta$  and  $\Theta^{\lambda}$  with respect to  $\Phi$ , we obtain

$$(\Theta_{\mathbb{R}^{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}})(w) = (\Theta_{\mathbb{R}^{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}})(\widetilde{w}) = (\Theta_{\mathbb{R}^{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}}^{\lambda})(\widetilde{w})$$
  
=  $(\Theta_{\mathbb{R}^{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}}^{\lambda})(w).$ 

For r = 1 we have  $J^0_{(0,0,0,0)}(T_{proj-proj}\mathbb{R}^{m_1+m_2+n_1+n_2}) \cong \mathbb{R}^{m_1+m_2+n_1+n_2}$ . Then by Theorem 1, the vector space of  $\mathcal{F}^2\mathcal{M}_{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}$ -canonical V-valued 1-forms is of dimension  $(m_1 + m_2 + n_1 + n_2) \dim V$ . Then we have:

**Corollary 1.** Any  $\mathcal{F}^2\mathcal{M}_{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}$ -canonical 1-form  $\Theta = \{\Theta_Y\}$  on  $P_A^1$  is of the form

(9) 
$$\Theta_Y = \lambda \circ \theta_Y \colon TP^1_A(Y) \to V$$

for some unique linear map  $\lambda \colon \mathbb{R}^{m_1+m_2+n_1+n_2} \to V$ , where  $\theta = \{\theta_Y\}$  is a canonical  $\mathbb{R}^{m_1+m_2+n_1+n_2}$ -valued 1-form on  $P_A^1$  from Example 1.

**Example 3.** Notice that it holds

(10)  $J_{(0,0,0,0)}^{r-1}(T_{proj-proj}\mathbb{R}^{m_1+m_2+n_1+n_2}) \cong \mathbb{R}^{m_1+m_2+n_1+n_2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}^{r-1}$ ,

where  $\mathfrak{g}_{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}^{r-1} = \mathcal{L}ie(G_{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}^{r-1}).$ 

In this way for  $\lambda = id_{\mathbb{R}^{m_1+m_2+n_1+n_2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}^{r-1}}$  we have  $\mathcal{F}^2\mathcal{M}_{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}$ -canonical 1-form

(11) 
$$\theta_Y^r := \Theta^{id_{\mathbb{R}^{m_1+m_2+n_1+n_2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}^{r-1}}} : TP_A^r(Y) \to \mathbb{R}^{m_1+m_2+n_1+n_2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}^{r-1}$$

on  $P_A^r$  (see Example 2). For r = 1, we have  $\theta^1 = \theta$  as in Example 1.

Analogously as in Corollary 1 we have

**Corollary 2.** Any  $\mathcal{F}^2\mathcal{M}_{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}$ -canonical V-valued 1-form  $\Theta = \{\Theta_Y\}$ on  $P_A^r$  is of the form:

(12) 
$$\Theta_Y = \lambda \circ \theta_Y^r \colon TP_A^r(Y) \to V$$

for some uniquely determined linear map  $\lambda \colon \mathbb{R}^{m_1+m_2+n_1+n_2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}^{r-1} \to V$ , where  $\theta^r$  is from Example 3.

**Remark 1.** A notion of fibered square is a generalization of a fibered manifold. The theory of projectable natural bundles over fibered manifolds is essentially related with the idea of fibered square, [2], [3], [5].

## References

- Doupovec, M., Mikulski, W. M., Gauge natural constructions on higher order principal prolongations, Ann. Polon. Math. 92 (2007), no. 1, 87–97.
- [2] Kolář, I., Michor, P. W. and Slovák, J., Natural Operations in Differential Geometry, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [3] Kolář, I., Connections on fibered squares, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska, Sect. A 59 (2005), 67–76.
- [4] Kurek, J., Mikulski, W. M., Canonical vector valued 1-forms on higher order adapted frame bundles, Arch. Math. (Brno) 44 (2008), 115–118.
- [5] Mikulski, W. M., The jet prolongations of fibered-fibered manifolds and the flow operator, Publ. Math. Debrecen 59 (3-4) (2001), 441–458.

Anna Bednarska Institute of Mathematics M. Curie-Skłodowska University pl. Marii Curie-Skłodowskiej 1 20-031 Lublin, Poland e-mail: bednarska@hektor.umcs.lublin.pl

Received April 7, 2008