

MARCIN DUDZIŃSKI

**The almost sure central limit theorems  
for certain order statistics  
of some stationary Gaussian sequences**

ABSTRACT. Suppose that  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  is some stationary zero mean Gaussian sequence with unit variance. Let  $\{k_n\}$  be a certain nondecreasing sequence of positive integers,  $M_n^{(k_n)}$  denote the  $k_n$ th largest maximum of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ . We aim at proving the almost sure central limit theorems for the suitably normalized sequence  $\{M_n^{(k_n)}\}$  under certain additional assumptions on  $\{k_n\}$  and the covariance function  $r(t) := \text{Cov}(X_1, X_{1+t})$ .

**1. Introduction.** The almost sure central limit theorem (ASCLT) has become an intensively studied subject in recent time. In the research concerning the ASCLT the following property is investigated. Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be some r.v.'s,  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k, \dots$  denote some real-valued measurable functions, defined on  $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^2, \dots, \mathbb{R}^k, \dots$ , respectively. We seek conditions under which, for some nondegenerate d.f.  $G$ ,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_N} \sum_{n=1}^N d_n I(f_n(X_1, \dots, X_n) \leq x) = G(x) \quad \text{a.s.}$$

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 60F15; Secondary 60F05.

*Key words and phrases.* Almost sure central limit theorem,  $k_n$ th largest maxima, stationary Gaussian sequences, Normal Comparison Lemma.

for all  $x \in C_G$ , where:  $\{d_n\}$  is some sequence of weights,  $D_N = \sum_{n=1}^N d_n$ ,  $I$  stands for the indicator function, and  $C_G$  denotes the set of continuity points of  $G$ .

In our investigations, we will restrict ourselves to the case, when the relation above holds with:  $d_n = 1/n$ ,  $D_N \sim \log N$ ,  $f_n(X_1, \dots, X_n) = a_n(M_n^{(k_n)} - b_n)$ , where:  $\{k_n\}$  is a certain nondecreasing sequence of positive integers,  $M_n^{(k_n)}$  denotes the  $k_n$ th largest maximum of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ , and  $a_n > 0$ ,  $b_n$  are certain normalizing constants.

Let  $\Phi$  be the standard normal d.f. The purpose of this paper is to prove that if  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  is a standardized stationary Gaussian sequence, then, under some assumptions on the numerical sequences  $\{k_n\}$ ,  $\{u_n\}$  and the covariance function  $r(t) := Cov(X_1, X_{1+t})$ , we have for some  $\tau$ ,  $0 < \tau < \infty$ ,

$$(1) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log N} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n} I(M_n^{(k_n)} \leq u_n) = \Phi(\tau) \quad \text{a.s.}$$

As a direct consequence, we will also show that if:

$$(2) \quad a_n = \left( \frac{2 \log(n/k_n)}{k_n} \right)^{1/2},$$

$$b_n = (2 \log(n/k_n))^{1/2} - \frac{\log \log(n/k_n) + \log 4\pi}{2(2 \log(n/k_n))^{1/2}},$$

then the following strong convergence occurs for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$(3) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log N} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n} I(a_n(M_n^{(k_n)} - b_n) \leq x) = \Phi(x) \quad \text{a.s.}$$

In the case, when  $k_n \equiv k$ , where  $k$  is a fixed positive integer, we will show that, under certain conditions on  $\{u_n\}$  and  $r(t)$ ,

$$(4) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log N} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n} I(M_n^{(k)} \leq u_n) = e^{-\tau} \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} \frac{\tau^s}{s!} \quad \text{a.s.}$$

for some  $\tau$ ,  $0 < \tau < \infty$ .

As a direct consequence, we will also show that if:

$$(5) \quad a_n = (2 \log n)^{1/2}, \quad b_n = (2 \log n)^{1/2} - \frac{\log \log n + \log 4\pi}{2(2 \log n)^{1/2}},$$

then the following strong convergence occurs for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$(6) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log N} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n} I(a_n(M_n^{(k)} - b_n) \leq x) = \exp(-e^{-x}) \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} \frac{(e^{-x})^s}{s!} \quad \text{a.s.}$$

We should mention here that, in the case of i.i.d. r.v.'s, the ASCLT for the order statistics  $M_n^{(k_n)}$  has been proved in Stadtmueller [4], under some extra

assumptions on  $\{k_n\}$ . It is also worthwhile to mention that the ASCLT for the ordinary maxima  $M_n = M_n^{(1)} := \max(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  of some dependent stationary Gaussian sequences has been proved in Csaki and Gonchigdanzan [1] and Dudziński [2].

The following notations will be used throughout the paper:

$M_n^{(k_n)}$  – the  $k_n$ th largest maximum of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ ;  $M_{m,n}^{(k_n)}$  – the  $k_n$ th largest maximum among  $X_{m+1}, \dots, X_n$ ;  $r(t) := \text{Cov}(X_1, X_{1+t})$ ;  $\Phi$  – the standard normal d.f.;  $\#A$  – the cardinality of the set  $A$ ;  $|x|$  – an absolute value of  $x$ ;  $[x]$  – the greatest integer less than or equal to  $x$ . Furthermore,  $f(n) \ll g(n)$  and  $f(n) \sim g(n)$  will stand for  $f(n) = \mathcal{O}(g(n))$  and  $f(n)/g(n) \rightarrow 1$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , respectively.

**2. Main results.** Our main results are the ASCLTs for certain order statistics of some stationary Gaussian sequences. The first one can be formulated as follows.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be a stationary zero mean Gaussian sequence with unit variance and  $\{k_n\}$  denote a nondecreasing sequence of positive integers, which satisfies:*

$$(7) \quad k_n \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$(8) \quad \log k_n \ll (\log n)^{1-\alpha} \text{ for some } \alpha > 0,$$

$$(9) \quad \text{there exists a number } \beta > 1, \text{ such that the sequence } \{(\log n)/k_n^\beta\} \text{ is nondecreasing for all sufficiently large } n.$$

Assume in addition that the covariance function  $r(t) := \text{Cov}(X_1, X_{1+t})$  fulfils the following condition

$$(10) \quad \sum_{t=\lceil n^{1/k_n^\beta} \rceil}^{\infty} |r(t)| \ll \frac{1}{n^{k_n-1-1/k_n^{\beta-1}+1/k_n^\beta}} \text{ for some } \beta \text{ satisfying (9)}.$$

Then:

(i) if the numerical sequence  $\{u_n\}$  satisfies:

$$(11) \quad n(1 - \Phi(u_n))\Phi(u_n) \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$(12) \quad \frac{k_n - n(1 - \Phi(u_n))}{\{n(1 - \Phi(u_n))\Phi(u_n)\}^{1/2}} \rightarrow \tau \text{ for some } \tau, 0 < \tau < \infty, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

then relation (1) holds,

(ii) if the sequences  $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\}$  are such as in (2), then relation (3) holds for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Our next main result is the ASCLT for the  $k$ th largest maxima. Here it is.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be a stationary zero mean Gaussian sequence with unit variance and  $k$  denote a fixed positive integer. Assume moreover that the covariance function  $r(t) := \text{Cov}(X_1, X_{1+t})$  fulfils the following condition*

$$(13) \quad \sum_{t=\lceil n^{1/k^\beta} \rceil}^{\infty} |r(t)| \ll \frac{1}{n^{k-1-1/k^\beta-1+1/k^\beta}} \quad \text{for some } \beta > 1.$$

Then:

(i) *if the numerical sequence  $\{u_n\}$  satisfies*

$$(14) \quad n(1 - \Phi(u_n)) \rightarrow \tau \quad \text{for some } \tau, 0 < \tau < \infty, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

*then relation (4) holds,*

(ii) *if the sequences  $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\}$  are such as in (5), then relation (6) holds for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

**3. Auxiliary results.** In this section, we state and prove three lemmas, which will be used in the proofs of Theorems 1, 2.

**Lemma 1.** *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, we have that if  $m, n$  satisfy  $m \leq n/k_n - 1$ , then*

$$(15) \quad E \left| I \left( M_n^{(k_n)} \leq u_n \right) - I \left( M_{m,n}^{(k_n)} \leq u_n \right) \right| \ll \frac{1}{n^\gamma} + \frac{k_n m}{n - k_n}$$

*for some  $\gamma > 0$ .*

**Proof.** We have

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} E \left| I \left( M_n^{(k_n)} \leq u_n \right) - I \left( M_{m,n}^{(k_n)} \leq u_n \right) \right| \\ = \left| P \left( M_n^{(k_n)} \leq u_n \right) - P \left( M_{m,n}^{(k_n)} \leq u_n \right) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that  $P \left( M_n^{(k_n)} \leq u_n \right) = P(\text{at most } k_n - 1 \text{ of } X_1, \dots, X_n \text{ exceed } u_n)$ .

Similarly,  $P \left( M_{m,n}^{(k_n)} \leq u_n \right) = P(\text{at most } k_n - 1 \text{ of } X_{m+1}, \dots, X_n \text{ exceed } u_n)$ .

For the given  $m, n$ , we put:

$$(17) \quad \sum_{(A_1, A_2)} := \sum_{\substack{(A_1, A_2): \\ A_1 \cup A_2 = \{1, \dots, n\}, \#A_1 \leq k_n - 1, A_2 = \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus A_1}},$$

$$(18) \quad \sum_{(B_1, B_2)} := \sum_{\substack{(B_1, B_2): \\ B_1 \cup B_2 = \{m+1, \dots, n\}, \#B_1 \leq k_n - 1, B_2 = \{m+1, \dots, n\} \setminus B_1}}.$$

Hence, by applying (16) and the notations in (17), (18),

$$\begin{aligned} & E \left| I \left( M_n^{(k_n)} \leq u_n \right) - I \left( M_{m,n}^{(k_n)} \leq u_n \right) \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{(A_1, A_2)} P \left( \bigcap_{a_p \in A_1} \{X_{a_p} > u_n\} \cap \bigcap_{a_s \in A_2} \{X_{a_s} \leq u_n\} \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \sum_{(B_1, B_2)} P \left( \bigcap_{b_p \in B_1} \{X_{b_p} > u_n\} \cap \bigcap_{b_s \in B_2} \{X_{b_s} \leq u_n\} \right) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we can write that

$$\begin{aligned} & E \left| I \left( M_n^{(k_n)} \leq u_n \right) - I \left( M_{m,n}^{(k_n)} \leq u_n \right) \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{(A_1, A_2)} \left| P \left( \bigcap_{a_p \in A_1} \{X_{a_p} > u_n\} \cap \bigcap_{a_s \in A_2} \{X_{a_s} \leq u_n\} \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \prod_{a_p \in A_1} P(X_{a_p} > u_n) \prod_{a_s \in A_2} P(X_{a_s} \leq u_n) \right| \\ & + \sum_{(B_1, B_2)} \left| P \left( \bigcap_{b_p \in B_1} \{X_{b_p} > u_n\} \cap \bigcap_{b_s \in B_2} \{X_{b_s} \leq u_n\} \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \prod_{b_p \in B_1} P(X_{b_p} > u_n) \prod_{b_s \in B_2} P(X_{b_s} \leq u_n) \right| \\ & + \left\{ \sum_{(B_1, B_2)} \prod_{b_p \in B_1} P(X_{b_p} > u_n) \prod_{b_s \in B_2} P(X_{b_s} \leq u_n) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \sum_{(A_1, A_2)} \prod_{a_p \in A_1} P(X_{a_p} > u_n) \prod_{a_s \in A_2} P(X_{a_s} \leq u_n) \right\} \\ & =: D_1 + D_2 + D_3. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

By (10) and the fact that  $k_n - 1 - 1/k_n^{\beta-1} + 1/k_n^\beta \geq 0$  for any  $n \geq 1$ , we obtain

$$\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} |r(t)| < \infty. \tag{20}$$

It follows from (20) and (7) that there exist positive numbers  $\delta, \gamma, n_0$ , such that:

$$(21) \quad \sup_{t \geq 1} |r(t)| = \delta < 1,$$

$$(22) \quad 1/k_n^{\beta-1} - 1/k_n^\beta < 2/(1+\delta) - 1 - 2\gamma$$

for all  $n > n_0$ , if  $\beta$  fulfils (9), (10). Let  $c(n)$  denote the largest integer, such that

$$(23) \quad c(n) \left[ n^{1/k_n^\beta} \right] + 1 < n.$$

Thus, we can divide the sequence  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  into the following  $c(n) + 1$  blocks:

$$\left( X_1, \dots, X_{\left[ n^{1/k_n^\beta} \right]} \right), \left( X_{\left[ n^{1/k_n^\beta} \right] + 1}, \dots, X_{2 \left[ n^{1/k_n^\beta} \right]} \right), \dots, \\ \left( X_{c(n) \left[ n^{1/k_n^\beta} \right] + 1}, \dots, X_n \right).$$

Since  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  is a standard normal sequence and (21), (23) hold, then, by applying Theorem 4.2.1 in Leadbetter et al. [3] (the so-called Normal Comparison Lemma), and by using the previously described division of  $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ , as well as the definitions of  $D_1$  in (19) and  $\sum_{(A_1, A_2)}$  in (17), we have

$$(24) \quad D_1 \ll \\ \ll \left\{ C_1(n) \sum_{d=0}^{c(n)-2} \sum_{i=d \left[ n^{1/k_n^\beta} \right] + 1}^{(d+1) \left[ n^{1/k_n^\beta} \right]} \sum_{j=i+1}^{(d+2) \left[ n^{1/k_n^\beta} \right]} |r(j-i)| \exp \left( -\frac{u_n^2}{1+\delta} \right) \right. \\ \left. + C_1(n) \sum_{i=(c(n)-1) \left[ n^{1/k_n^\beta} \right] + 1}^{c(n) \left[ n^{1/k_n^\beta} \right]} \sum_{j=i+1}^n |r(j-i)| \exp \left( -\frac{u_n^2}{1+\delta} \right) \right. \\ \left. + C_1(n) \sum_{i=c(n) \left[ n^{1/k_n^\beta} \right] + 1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^n |r(j-i)| \exp \left( -\frac{u_n^2}{1+\delta} \right) \right\} \\ + \left\{ C_2(n) \sum_{d=0}^{c(n)-2} \sum_{i=d \left[ n^{1/k_n^\beta} \right] + 1}^{(d+1) \left[ n^{1/k_n^\beta} \right]} \sum_{j=(d+2) \left[ n^{1/k_n^\beta} \right] + 1}^n |r(j-i)| \exp \left( -\frac{u_n^2}{1+\delta} \right) \right\},$$

where  $C_1(n)$ ,  $C_2(n)$  satisfy:

$$(25) \quad C_1(n) = \sum_{l=0}^{k_n-1} \binom{\lfloor n^{1/k_n^\beta} \rfloor}{l} + \sum_{l=0}^{k_n-1} \binom{\lfloor n^{1/k_n^\beta} \rfloor}{l} \binom{\lfloor n^{1/k_n^\beta} \rfloor}{k_n-1-l},$$

$$(26) \quad C_2(n) = \sum_{l=0}^{k_n-1} \binom{\lfloor n^{1/k_n^\beta} \rfloor}{l} \binom{n-2\lfloor n^{1/k_n^\beta} \rfloor}{k_n-1-l}.$$

Due to the derivation in (24), we have

$$(27) \quad D_1 \ll (c(n)+1) C_1(n) \sum_{t=1}^{2\lfloor n^{1/k_n^\beta} \rfloor-1} |r(t)| \exp\left(-\frac{u_n^2}{1+\delta}\right) \\ + (c(n)-1) C_2(n) \sum_{t=\lfloor n^{1/k_n^\beta} \rfloor+1}^{n-1} |r(t)| \exp\left(-\frac{u_n^2}{1+\delta}\right).$$

Notice that, by the definition of  $c(n)$  in (23),

$$(28) \quad (c(n)+1) \lfloor n^{1/k_n^\beta} \rfloor \ll n.$$

Consequently, due to (27), (28),

$$(29) \quad D_1 \ll n C_1(n) \sum_{t=1}^{2\lfloor n^{1/k_n^\beta} \rfloor-1} |r(t)| \exp\left(-\frac{u_n^2}{1+\delta}\right) \\ + n C_2(n) \sum_{t=\lfloor n^{1/k_n^\beta} \rfloor+1}^{n-1} |r(t)| \exp\left(-\frac{u_n^2}{1+\delta}\right).$$

In addition, it follows from (25), (26) that:

$$(30) \quad C_1(n) \ll k_n \left(n^{1/k_n^\beta}\right)^{k_n-1} = k_n n^{1/k_n^{\beta-1}-1/k_n^\beta},$$

$$(31) \quad C_2(n) \ll k_n n^{k_n-1}.$$

Relations (30), (31) together with derivation (29) imply

$$(32) \quad D_1 \ll k_n n^{1+1/k_n^{\beta-1}-1/k_n^\beta} \sum_{t=1}^{2\lfloor n^{1/k_n^\beta} \rfloor-1} |r(t)| \exp\left(-\frac{u_n^2}{1+\delta}\right) \\ + k_n n^{k_n} \sum_{t=\lfloor n^{1/k_n^\beta} \rfloor+1}^{n-1} |r(t)| \exp\left(-\frac{u_n^2}{1+\delta}\right).$$

Recall that, by (10) and (20) (see the reasoning above (20)),

$$(33) \quad \sum_{t=\lceil n^{1/k_n^\beta} \rceil + 1}^{n-1} |r(t)| \ll \frac{1}{n^{k_n-1-1/k_n^{\beta-1}+1/k_n^\beta}} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} |r(t)| < \infty.$$

The relations in (32), (33) yield

$$(34) \quad D_1 \ll k_n n^{1+1/k_n^{\beta-1}-1/k_n^\beta} \exp\left(-\frac{u_n^2}{1+\delta}\right).$$

Since

$$1 - \Phi(u_n) \sim \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{u_n^2}{2}\right)}{u_n}$$

and, by (11), (12),  $k_n \sim n(1 - \Phi(u_n))$ , we get  $u_n \sim (2 \log(n/k_n))^{1/2}$  and

$$\exp\left(-\frac{u_n^2}{2}\right) \sim \sqrt{2\pi} \frac{k_n}{n} (2 \log(n/k_n))^{1/2}.$$

Hence

$$(35) \quad \exp\left(-\frac{u_n^2}{1+\delta}\right) \ll \frac{(k_n)^{2/(1+\delta)}}{n^{2/(1+\delta)}} (\log(n/k_n))^{1/(1+\delta)}.$$

From (34), (35), we get

$$D_1 \ll \frac{(k_n)^{1+2/(1+\delta)} (\log(n/k_n))^{1/(1+\delta)}}{n^{2/(1+\delta)-1-1/k_n^{\beta-1}+1/k_n^\beta}}.$$

Furthermore, notice that  $\log(n/k_n) \leq \log n$  and, by (8),  $k_n \ll n^\epsilon$  for any  $\epsilon > 0$ . Therefore

$$(36) \quad D_1 \ll \frac{(n^\epsilon)^{1+2/(1+\delta)} (\log n)^{1/(1+\delta)}}{n^{2/(1+\delta)-1-1/k_n^{\beta-1}+1/k_n^\beta}} \quad \text{for any } \epsilon > 0.$$

Since in addition, (22) holds, we have  $2/(1+\delta) - 1 - 1/k_n^{\beta-1} + 1/k_n^\beta > 2\gamma$  for any  $n > n_0$  and some  $\gamma > 0$ . As  $\epsilon$  in (36) may be arbitrary positive number, we can choose  $\epsilon$  satisfying the relation  $\epsilon(1 + 2/(1+\delta)) < \gamma$ . Then

$$(n^\epsilon)^{1+2/(1+\delta)} (\log n)^{1/(1+\delta)} \ll n^\gamma,$$

and we can write that

$$(37) \quad D_1 \ll \frac{n^\gamma}{n^{2\gamma}} = 1/n^\gamma \quad \text{for some } \gamma > 0.$$

In order to estimate the component  $D_2$  in (19), it is sufficient to apply identical methods to those used in the estimation of  $D_1$ . Therefore, we obtain that

$$(38) \quad D_2 \ll 1/n^\gamma \quad \text{for some } \gamma > 0.$$



Thus, it remains to bound the term  $D_3$  in (19). Let  $\tilde{X}_1, \dots, \tilde{X}_n$  be an i.i.d. standard normal sequence. We denote by  $\tilde{M}_n^{(k_n)}$  the  $k_n$ th largest maximum of  $\tilde{X}_1, \dots, \tilde{X}_n$  and by  $\tilde{M}_{m,n}^{(k_n)}$  the  $k_n$ th largest maximum among  $\tilde{X}_{m+1}, \dots, \tilde{X}_n$ . By the notations in (17), (18) and the definition of the component  $D_3$  in (19)

$$(39) \quad D_3 = P\left(\tilde{M}_{m,n}^{(k_n)} \leq u_n\right) - P\left(\tilde{M}_n^{(k_n)} \leq u_n\right) \leq P\left(\tilde{M}_n^{(k_n)} \neq \tilde{M}_{m,n}^{(k_n)}\right).$$

As  $m \leq n/k_n - 1$  and  $k_n \ll n^\epsilon$  for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , it follows from Lemma 1 in Stadtmueller [4] that

$$P\left(\tilde{M}_n^{(k_n)} \neq \tilde{M}_{m,n}^{(k_n)}\right) \ll k_n m / (n - k_n).$$

Thus, due to (39),

$$(40) \quad D_3 \ll k_n m / (n - k_n).$$

Relations (19), (37), (38) and (40) imply the desired result in (15).  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, we have that if  $m, n$  satisfy  $m \leq n/k_n - 1$ , then*

$$(41) \quad \left| \text{Cov}\left(I\left(M_m^{(k_m)} \leq u_m\right), I\left(M_{m,n}^{(k_n)} \leq u_n\right)\right) \right| \ll \frac{1}{n^\gamma}$$

for some  $\gamma > 0$ .

**Proof.** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be a standardized stationary Gaussian sequence,  $\{k_n\}, \{r(t)\}, \{u_n\}$  satisfy (7)–(12), respectively, and  $m \leq n/k_n - 1$ . Clearly

$$(42) \quad \left| \text{Cov}\left(I\left(M_m^{(k_m)} \leq u_m\right), I\left(M_{m,n}^{(k_n)} \leq u_n\right)\right) \right| \\ = \left| P\left(M_m^{(k_m)} \leq u_m, M_{m,n}^{(k_n)} \leq u_n\right) - P\left(M_m^{(k_m)} \leq u_m\right)P\left(M_{m,n}^{(k_n)} \leq u_n\right) \right|.$$

For the given  $m, n$ , we set

$$(43) \quad \sum_{(A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2)} := \sum_{\substack{(A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2): \\ A_1 \cup A_2 = \{1, \dots, m\}, \#A_1 \leq k_m - 1, A_2 = \{1, \dots, m\} \setminus A_1, \\ B_1 \cup B_2 = \{m+1, \dots, n\}, \#B_1 \leq k_n - 1, B_2 = \{m+1, \dots, n\} \setminus B_1}}$$

Since

$$P\left(M_m^{(k_m)} \leq u_m\right) = P(\text{at most } k_m - 1 \text{ of } X_1, \dots, X_m \text{ exceed } u_m)$$

and

$$P\left(M_{m,n}^{(k_n)} \leq u_n\right) = P(\text{at most } k_n - 1 \text{ of } X_{m+1}, \dots, X_n \text{ exceed } u_n),$$

then, by relation (42) and the notation in (43), we can write that

$$(44) \quad \left| Cov \left( I \left( M_m^{(k_m)} \leq u_m \right), I \left( M_{m,n}^{(k_n)} \leq u_n \right) \right) \right| \\ \leq \sum_{(A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2)} F(A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2),$$

where

$$F(A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2) \\ := \left| P \left( \bigcap_{a_p \in A_1} \{X_{a_p} > u_m\} \cap \bigcap_{a_s \in A_2} \{X_{a_s} \leq u_m\} \cap \bigcap_{b_p \in B_1} \{X_{b_p} > u_n\} \cap \bigcap_{b_s \in B_2} \{X_{b_s} \leq u_n\} \right) \right. \\ \left. - P \left( \bigcap_{a_p \in A_1} \{X_{a_p} > u_m\} \cap \bigcap_{a_s \in A_2} \{X_{a_s} \leq u_m\} \right) P \left( \bigcap_{b_p \in B_1} \{X_{b_p} > u_n\} \cap \bigcap_{b_s \in B_2} \{X_{b_s} \leq u_n\} \right) \right|.$$

By (7) and (10) (see the reasoning above (20)),  $|r(t)| \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence, there exist positive numbers  $\delta, \gamma, n_1$ , such that:

$$(45) \quad \sup_{t \geq 1} |r(t)| = \delta < 1,$$

$$(46) \quad 1/k_n^{\beta-1} - 1/k_n^\beta < 1/(1+\delta) - 1/2 - \gamma$$

for all  $n > n_1$ , if  $\beta$  fulfils (9), (10).

Let  $c(m)$  denote the largest integer, such that

$$c(m) \left[ m^{1/k_m^\beta} \right] + 1 < m.$$

Thus, we can divide the sequence  $X_1, \dots, X_m, X_{m+1}, \dots, X_n$  into the blocks:

$$\left( X_1, \dots, X_{\left[ m^{1/k_m^\beta} \right]} \right), \left( X_{\left[ m^{1/k_m^\beta} \right] + 1}, \dots, X_{2 \left[ m^{1/k_m^\beta} \right]} \right), \dots, \\ \left( X_{c(m) \left[ m^{1/k_m^\beta} \right] + 1}, \dots, X_m \right), \left( X_{m+1}, \dots, X_{m + \left[ n^{1/k_n^\beta} \right]} \right), \\ \left( X_{m + \left[ n^{1/k_n^\beta} \right] + 1}, \dots, X_n \right).$$

By using such a division, as well as Theorem 4.2.1 in Leadbetter et al. [3], the relation in (44) and the definition of  $\sum_{(A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2)}$  in (43), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(47) \quad & \left| \text{Cov} \left( I \left( M_m^{(k_m)} \leq u_m \right), I \left( M_{m,n}^{(k_n)} \leq u_n \right) \right) \right| \\
& \ll \left\{ C_1(m, n) \sum_{d=0}^{c(m)-1} \sum_{i=d}^{(d+1) \left[ m^{1/k_m^\beta} \right] + 1} \sum_{j=m+1}^{m + \left[ n^{1/k_n^\beta} \right]} |r(j-i)| \exp \left( -\frac{u_m^2 + u_n^2}{2(1+\delta)} \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + C_1(m, n) \sum_{i=c(m) \left[ m^{1/k_m^\beta} \right] + 1}^m \sum_{j=m+1}^{m + \left[ n^{1/k_n^\beta} \right]} |r(j-i)| \exp \left( -\frac{u_m^2 + u_n^2}{2(1+\delta)} \right) \right\} \\
& + \left\{ C_2(m, n) \sum_{d=0}^{c(m)-1} \sum_{i=d}^{(d+1) \left[ m^{1/k_m^\beta} \right] + 1} \sum_{j=m + \left[ n^{1/k_n^\beta} \right] + 1}^n |r(j-i)| \exp \left( -\frac{u_m^2 + u_n^2}{2(1+\delta)} \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + C_2(m, n) \sum_{i=c(m) \left[ m^{1/k_m^\beta} \right] + 1}^m \sum_{j=m + \left[ n^{1/k_n^\beta} \right] + 1}^n |r(j-i)| \exp \left( -\frac{u_m^2 + u_n^2}{2(1+\delta)} \right) \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

where  $C_1(m, n)$ ,  $C_2(m, n)$  satisfy:

$$(48) \quad C_1(m, n) = \sum_{l_1=0}^{k_m-1} \sum_{l_2=0}^{k_n-1} \binom{\left[ m^{1/k_m^\beta} \right]}{l_1} \binom{\left[ n^{1/k_n^\beta} \right]}{l_2},$$

$$(49) \quad C_2(m, n) = \sum_{l_1=0}^{k_m-1} \sum_{l_2=0}^{k_n-1} \binom{\left[ m^{1/k_m^\beta} \right]}{l_1} \binom{n - m - \left[ n^{1/k_n^\beta} \right]}{l_2}.$$

It follows from the derivation in (47) that

$$\begin{aligned}
(50) \quad & \left| \text{Cov} \left( I \left( M_m^{(k_m)} \leq u_m \right), I \left( M_{m,n}^{(k_n)} \leq u_n \right) \right) \right| \\
& \ll (c(m) + 1) C_1(m, n) \left[ m^{1/k_m^\beta} \right] \sum_{t=1}^{m + \left[ n^{1/k_n^\beta} \right] - 1} |r(t)| \exp \left( -\frac{u_m^2 + u_n^2}{2(1+\delta)} \right) \\
& \quad + (c(m) + 1) C_2(m, n) \left[ m^{1/k_m^\beta} \right] \sum_{t=\left[ n^{1/k_n^\beta} \right] + 1}^{n-1} |r(t)| \exp \left( -\frac{u_m^2 + u_n^2}{2(1+\delta)} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

In addition, by the definition of  $c(m)$  (see the relation below (46)),

$$(51) \quad (c(m) + 1) \left[ m^{1/k_m^\beta} \right] \ll m.$$

Relations (50), (51) yield

$$(52) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| Cov \left( I \left( M_m^{(k_m)} \leq u_m \right), I \left( M_{m,n}^{(k_n)} \leq u_n \right) \right) \right| \\ & \ll m C_1(m, n) \sum_{t=1}^{m + \left[ n^{1/k_n^\beta} \right] - 1} |r(t)| \exp \left( -\frac{u_m^2 + u_n^2}{2(1+\delta)} \right) \\ & \quad + m C_2(m, n) \sum_{t=\left[ n^{1/k_n^\beta} \right] + 1}^{n-1} |r(t)| \exp \left( -\frac{u_m^2 + u_n^2}{2(1+\delta)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, observe that, due to (48), (49):

$$(53) \quad \begin{aligned} C_1(m, n) & \ll k_m k_n \left( m^{1/k_m^\beta} \right)^{k_m - 1} \left( n^{1/k_n^\beta} \right)^{k_n - 1} \\ & = k_m k_n m^{1/k_m^{\beta-1} - 1/k_m^\beta} n^{1/k_n^{\beta-1} - 1/k_n^\beta}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(54) \quad C_2(m, n) \ll k_m k_n \left( m^{1/k_m^\beta} \right)^{k_m - 1} n^{k_n - 1} = k_m k_n m^{1/k_m^{\beta-1} - 1/k_m^\beta} n^{k_n - 1}.$$

Relations (53), (54) together with derivation (52) imply

$$(55) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| Cov \left( I \left( M_m^{(k_m)} \leq u_m \right), I \left( M_{m,n}^{(k_n)} \leq u_n \right) \right) \right| \\ & \ll k_m k_n m^{1+1/k_m^{\beta-1} - 1/k_m^\beta} n^{1/k_n^{\beta-1} - 1/k_n^\beta} \sum_{t=1}^{m + \left[ n^{1/k_n^\beta} \right] - 1} |r(t)| \exp \left( -\frac{u_m^2 + u_n^2}{2(1+\delta)} \right) \\ & \quad + k_m k_n m^{1+1/k_m^{\beta-1} - 1/k_m^\beta} n^{k_n - 1} \sum_{t=\left[ n^{1/k_n^\beta} \right] + 1}^{n-1} |r(t)| \exp \left( -\frac{u_m^2 + u_n^2}{2(1+\delta)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By assumption (10) and relation (20) (see the reasoning above (20))

$$\sum_{t=\left[ n^{1/k_n^\beta} \right] + 1}^{n-1} |r(t)| \ll \frac{1}{n^{k_n - 1 - 1/k_n^{\beta-1} + 1/k_n^\beta}} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} |r(t)| < \infty.$$

This and (55) yield

$$(56) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| Cov \left( I \left( M_m^{(k_m)} \leq u_m \right), I \left( M_{m,n}^{(k_n)} \leq u_n \right) \right) \right| \\ & \ll k_m k_n m^{1+1/k_m^{\beta-1} - 1/k_m^\beta} n^{1/k_n^{\beta-1} - 1/k_n^\beta} \exp \left( -\frac{u_m^2 + u_n^2}{2(1+\delta)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, it follows from (35) that

$$(57) \quad \begin{aligned} & \exp\left(-\frac{u_m^2 + u_n^2}{2(1+\delta)}\right) \\ & \ll \frac{(k_m)^{1/(1+\delta)}}{m^{1/(1+\delta)}} (\log(m/k_m))^{1/2(1+\delta)} \frac{(k_n)^{1/(1+\delta)}}{n^{1/(1+\delta)}} (\log(n/k_n))^{1/2(1+\delta)}. \end{aligned}$$

As in addition, the sequence  $\{k_n\}$  is nondecreasing, we have  $k_m \leq k_n$ . This, relation (57) and the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} (\log(m/k_m))^{1/2(1+\delta)} (\log(n/k_n))^{1/2(1+\delta)} & \leq (\log m)^{1/2(1+\delta)} (\log n)^{1/2(1+\delta)} \\ & \leq (\log n)^{1/(1+\delta)} \end{aligned}$$

yield

$$(58) \quad \exp\left(-\frac{u_m^2 + u_n^2}{2(1+\delta)}\right) \ll \frac{(k_n)^{2/(1+\delta)} (\log n)^{1/(1+\delta)}}{m^{1/(1+\delta)} n^{1/(1+\delta)}}.$$

Relations (56), (58) imply

$$(59) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \text{Cov}\left(I\left(M_m^{(k_m)} \leq u_m\right), I\left(M_{m,n}^{(k_n)} \leq u_n\right)\right) \right| \\ & \ll \frac{m^{1+1/k_m^{\beta-1}-1/k_m^\beta-1/(1+\delta)}}{n^{1/(1+\delta)-1/k_n^{\beta-1}+1/k_n^\beta}} (k_n)^{2+2/(1+\delta)} (\log n)^{1/(1+\delta)} \\ & \ll \frac{(k_n)^{2+2/(1+\delta)} (\log n)^{1/(1+\delta)}}{n^{2/(1+\delta)-1-1/k_n^{\beta-1}+1/k_n^\beta-1/k_m^{\beta-1}+1/k_m^\beta}} \\ & = \frac{(k_n)^{2+2/(1+\delta)} (\log n)^{1/(1+\delta)}}{n^{1/(1+\delta)-1/2-1/k_n^{\beta-1}+1/k_n^\beta+1/(1+\delta)-1/2-1/k_m^{\beta-1}+1/k_m^\beta}}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that, by (46), we obtain

$$(60) \quad 1/(1+\delta)-1/2-1/k_n^{\beta-1}+1/k_n^\beta+1/(1+\delta)-1/2-1/k_m^{\beta-1}+1/k_m^\beta > 2\gamma$$

for all  $m, n > n_1$  and some  $\gamma > 0$ .

Due to (59) and (60) and the fact that, by assumption (8),  $k_n \ll n^\epsilon$  for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , we have

$$(61) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \text{Cov}\left(I\left(M_m^{(k_m)} \leq u_m\right), I\left(M_{m,n}^{(k_n)} \leq u_n\right)\right) \right| \\ & \ll \frac{(k_n)^{2+2/(1+\delta)} (\log n)^{1/(1+\delta)}}{n^{2\gamma}} \\ & \ll \frac{(n^\epsilon)^{2+2/(1+\delta)} (\log n)^{1/(1+\delta)}}{n^{2\gamma}} \end{aligned}$$

for some  $\gamma > 0$  and any  $\epsilon > 0$ . As  $\epsilon$  in (61) may be arbitrary positive number, we can choose  $\epsilon$  satisfying the relation  $\epsilon(2+2/(1+\delta)) < \gamma$ . Then

$(n^\epsilon)^{2+2/(1+\delta)} (\log n)^{1/(1+\delta)} \ll n^\gamma$  and

$$\left| \text{Cov} \left( I \left( M_m^{(k_m)} \leq u_m \right), I \left( M_{m,n}^{(k_n)} \leq u_n \right) \right) \right| \ll \frac{n^\gamma}{n^{2\gamma}} = \frac{1}{n^\gamma}$$

for some  $\gamma > 0$ , which is the result in (41), we wished to prove.  $\square$

The following property will be also needed in our further considerations.

**Lemma 3.** *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, we have*

$$(62) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P \left( M_n^{(k_n)} \leq u_n \right) = \Phi(\tau),$$

where  $\tau$  satisfies (12).

**Proof.** The relation in (62) follows immediately from Theorem 4.2.1 (the Normal Comparison Lemma) and Theorem 2.5.2 in Leadbetter et al. [3].  $\square$

**4. Proofs of main results.** In this section, we give the proofs of Theorems 1, 2. As we mentioned earlier, the results stated in Lemmas 1–3 are important ingredients of these proofs.

**Proof of Theorem 1 (i).** First, we will show that

$$(63) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log N} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n} \left\{ I \left( M_n^{(k_n)} \leq u_n \right) - P \left( M_n^{(k_n)} \leq u_n \right) \right\} = 0 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

By Lemma 3.1 in Csaki and Gonchigdanzan [1], in order to prove (63), it is sufficient to show that the following property occurs for some  $\epsilon > 0$

$$(64) \quad \text{Var} \left( \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n} I \left( M_n^{(k_n)} \leq u_n \right) \right) \ll (\log N)^2 (\log \log N)^{-(1+\epsilon)}.$$

We have

$$(65) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Var} \left( \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n} I \left( M_n^{(k_n)} \leq u_n \right) \right) &\leq \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n^2} \text{Var} \left( I \left( M_n^{(k_n)} \leq u_n \right) \right) \\ &+ 2 \sum_{1 \leq m < n \leq N} \frac{1}{mn} \left| \text{Cov} \left( I \left( M_m^{(k_m)} \leq u_m \right), I \left( M_n^{(k_n)} \leq u_n \right) \right) \right| \\ &=: \sum_1 + \sum_2. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that

$$(66) \quad \sum_1 \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} < \infty.$$

Our purpose now is to estimate  $\sum_2$  in (65). Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \text{Cov} \left( I \left( M_m^{(k_m)} \leq u_m \right), I \left( M_n^{(k_n)} \leq u_n \right) \right) \right| \\ & \ll E \left| I \left( M_n^{(k_n)} \leq u_n \right) - I \left( M_{m,n}^{(k_n)} \leq u_n \right) \right| \\ & \quad + \left| \text{Cov} \left( I \left( M_m^{(k_m)} \leq u_m \right), I \left( M_{m,n}^{(k_n)} \leq u_n \right) \right) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (67) and Lemmas 1, 2, we have that if  $m, n$  satisfy  $m \leq \frac{n}{k_n} - 1$ , then

$$\left| \text{Cov} \left( I \left( M_m^{(k_m)} \leq u_m \right), I \left( M_n^{(k_n)} \leq u_n \right) \right) \right| \ll \frac{1}{n^\gamma} + \frac{k_n m}{n - k_n}$$

for some  $\gamma > 0$ . Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} (67) \quad \sum_2 & \ll \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m < n \leq N, \\ m \leq n/k_n - 1}} \frac{1}{mn} \frac{1}{n^\gamma} + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m < n \leq N, \\ m \leq n/k_n - 1}} \frac{1}{mn} \frac{k_n m}{n - k_n} + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m < n \leq N, \\ m > n/k_n - 1}} \frac{1}{mn} \\ & =: G_1 + G_2 + G_3. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$(68) \quad G_1 \leq \sum_{1 \leq m < n \leq N} \frac{1}{mn} \frac{1}{n^\gamma} = \sum_{m=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=m+1}^N \frac{1}{n^{1+\gamma}} \leq \frac{1}{\gamma} \sum_{m=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{m^{1+\gamma}} < \infty.$$

In order to estimate  $G_2$  in (67), observe that

$$\begin{aligned} (69) \quad G_2 & \ll \sum_{1 \leq n \leq N} \sum_{1 \leq m \leq n/k_n - 1} \frac{k_n}{n(n - k_n)} \ll \sum_{1 \leq n \leq N} (n/k_n - 1) \frac{k_n}{n(n - k_n)} \\ & \ll \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n} \ll \log N. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it remains to estimate component  $G_3$ . From its definition in (67), we get

$$G_3 \leq \sum_{1 \leq n \leq N} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=\lceil n/k_n \rceil}^{n-1} \frac{1}{m} \ll \sum_{1 \leq n \leq N} \frac{1}{n} \log \frac{n}{\lceil n/k_n \rceil} \ll \sum_{1 \leq n \leq N} \frac{1}{n} \log \frac{n}{n/k_n - 1}.$$

Therefore

$$(70) \quad G_3 \ll \sum_{1 \leq n \leq N} \frac{1}{n} \log \frac{nk_n}{n - k_n}.$$

It follows from (8) that, there exists constant  $n_0$ , such that  $k_n \leq n/2$  for all  $n > n_0$ . Hence, for any  $n > n_0$ ,

$$\log \frac{nk_n}{n - k_n} \leq \log \frac{nk_n}{n - n/2} = \log 2k_n,$$

and

$$(71) \quad \log \frac{nk_n}{n - k_n} \ll \log k_n.$$

Relations (70), (71) together with assumption (8) imply

$$(72) \quad G_3 \ll \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n} \log k_n \ll \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n} (\log n)^{1-\alpha} \ll (\log N)^{2-\alpha}$$

for some  $\alpha > 0$ . Due to (67)–(69) and (72)

$$(73) \quad \sum_2 \ll (\log N)^{2-\alpha}$$

for some  $\alpha > 0$ . It follows from (65), (66) and (73) that

$$\text{Var} \left( \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n} I \left( M_n^{(k_n)} \leq u_n \right) \right) \ll (\log N)^{2-\alpha}$$

for some  $\alpha > 0$ . Thus, (64) holds for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Consequently, by the already mentioned Lemma 3.1 in Csaki and Gonchigdanzan [1], condition (63) is also satisfied. In turn, as (63) holds, then Lemma 3 and the regularity property of logarithmic means imply (1). Thus, statement (i) of our assertion has been proved.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1 (ii).** Let  $x$  be arbitrary real number. It is easy to check that, provided  $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\}$  are such as in (2), then, under the assumptions of our theorem,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P \left( a_n \left( M_n^{(k_n)} - b_n \right) \leq x \right) = \Phi(x)$$

(see also the remark on p. 416 in Stadtmueller [4]). This and Theorem 2.5.2 in Leadbetter et al. [3] imply that assumptions (11), (12) are satisfied with:  $u_n := x/a_n + b_n$ ,  $\tau := x$ . It is easily seen now that statement (ii) of Theorem 1 is a special case of its, the earlier proved, statement (i).  $\square$

**Remark.** Suppose that  $k_n = [(\log n)^c]$  for some  $0 < c < 1$ , and the number  $\beta > 1$  satisfies the condition  $c\beta < 1$ . Let in addition,  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be a stationary zero mean Gaussian sequence with unit variance and the covariance function  $r(t) = e^{-\lambda t}$  for some  $\lambda > 0$ . Then, the assumptions (7)–(10) of Theorem 1 are fulfilled and the property in (3) holds with  $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\}$  given by (2).

We now prove our second main result.

**Proof of Theorem 2 (i).** Let  $k$  denote a fixed positive integer,  $M_n^{(k)}$  stand for the  $k$ th largest maximum of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ . By applying assumption (13) on the covariance function  $r(t) = \text{Cov}(X_1, X_{1+t})$  and assumption (14) on



the sequence  $\{u_n\}$ , and by using similar methods to that applied in the proofs of Lemmas 1, 2, we can show that if  $m \leq n/k - 1$ , then:

$$E \left| I \left( M_n^{(k)} \leq u_n \right) - I \left( M_{m,n}^{(k)} \leq u_n \right) \right| \ll \frac{1}{n^\gamma} + \frac{km}{n-k} \quad \text{for some } \gamma > 0,$$

$$\left| Cov \left( I \left( M_m^{(k)} \leq u_m \right), I \left( M_{m,n}^{(k)} \leq u_n \right) \right) \right| \ll \frac{1}{n^\gamma} \quad \text{for some } \gamma > 0.$$

This and the relation in (67), applied for  $k_n \equiv k$ , yield

$$(74) \quad \left| Cov \left( I \left( M_m^{(k)} \leq u_m \right), I \left( M_n^{(k)} \leq u_n \right) \right) \right| \ll \frac{1}{n^\gamma} + \frac{km}{n-k}$$

for some  $\gamma > 0$ , provided  $m \leq n/k - 1$ . Suppose that  $\{\tilde{X}_i\}$  is an i.i.d. standard normal sequence and  $\tilde{M}_n^{(k)}$  denotes the  $k$ th largest maximum of  $\tilde{X}_1, \dots, \tilde{X}_n$ . It follows from Theorem 2.2.1 in Leadbetter et al. [3] that, under the assumptions of our theorem,

$$(75) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P \left( \tilde{M}_n^{(k)} \leq u_n \right) = e^{-\tau} \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} \frac{\tau^s}{s!},$$

where  $\tau$  satisfies (14). By using similar methods to those applied in the estimation of  $D_1$  in the proof of Lemma 1, it is easy to check that

$$(76) \quad \left| P \left( M_n^{(k)} \leq u_n \right) - P \left( \tilde{M}_n^{(k)} \leq u_n \right) \right| \ll \frac{1}{n^\gamma}$$

for some  $\gamma > 0$ . Relations (75) and (76) imply

$$(77) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P \left( M_n^{(k)} \leq u_n \right) = e^{-\tau} \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} \frac{\tau^s}{s!},$$

provided  $\tau$  fulfils (14). Thus, in view of the already mentioned Lemma 3.1 in Csaki and Gonchigdanzan [1], in order to prove (4), it is enough to show that

$$(78) \quad Var \left( \sum_{n=k}^N \frac{1}{n} I \left( M_n^{(k)} \leq u_n \right) \right) \ll (\log N)^2 (\log \log N)^{-(1+\varepsilon)}$$

for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We have

$$(79) \quad Var \left( \sum_{n=k}^N \frac{1}{n} I \left( M_n^{(k)} \leq u_n \right) \right) \leq \sum_3 + \sum_4,$$

where  $\sum_3, \sum_4$  are defined as  $\sum_1, \sum_2$  in (65), but for the case, when  $k_n \equiv k$ .

Obviously

$$(80) \quad \sum_3 < \infty.$$

In addition, by (74),

$$(81) \quad \sum_4 \ll \sum_{\substack{k \leq m < n \leq N, \\ m \leq n/k-1}} \frac{1}{mn} \frac{1}{n^\gamma} + \sum_{\substack{k \leq m < n \leq N, \\ m \leq n/k-1}} \frac{1}{mn} \frac{km}{n-k} + \sum_{\substack{k \leq m < n \leq N, \\ m > n/k-1}} \frac{1}{mn} \\ =: H_1 + H_2 + H_3.$$

By proceeding analogously as in the estimation of  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$  in the proof of Theorem 1 (i), we immediately get

$$(82) \quad H_1 + H_2 \ll \log N.$$

Furthermore, it is easily seen from the definition of  $H_3$  in (81) that

$$(83) \quad H_3 \ll \sum_{n=k}^N \frac{1}{n} \ll \log N.$$

Thus, due to (81)–(83),

$$(84) \quad \sum_4 \ll \log N.$$

By (79), (80) and (84), we obtain

$$\text{Var} \left( \sum_{n=k}^N \frac{1}{n} I(M_n^{(k)} \leq u_n) \right) \ll \log N.$$

Hence, the relation in (78) is satisfied. Consequently, by Lemma 3.1 in Csaki and Gonchigdanzan [1],

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log N} \sum_{n=k}^N \frac{1}{n} \left\{ I(M_n^{(k)} \leq u_n) - P(M_n^{(k)} \leq u_n) \right\} = 0 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

This, (77) and the regularity property of logarithmic means yield (4), which is statement (i) of Theorem 2.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 2 (ii).** Let  $x$  be arbitrary real number. Since (13) holds, it follows from Theorem 4.3.3 in Leadbetter et al. [3] that, provided  $\{a_n\}$ ,  $\{b_n\}$  are such as in (5), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n(1 - \Phi(x/a_n + b_n)) = e^{-x}.$$

It is easily seen now that statement (ii) of Theorem 2 is a special case of its, the earlier proved, statement (i), with:  $u_n := x/a_n + b_n$ ,  $\tau := e^{-x}$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Csaki, E., Gonchigdanzan, K., *Almost sure limit theorem for the maximum of stationary Gaussian sequences*, Statist. Probab. Lett. **58** (2002), 195–203.
- [2] Dudziński, M., *An almost sure maximum limit theorem for certain class of dependent stationary Gaussian sequences*, Demonstratio Math. **35** (4) (2002), 879–890.
- [3] Leadbetter, M. R., Lindgren, G. and Rootzen, H., *Extremes and Related Properties of Random Sequences and Processes*, Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, 1983.
- [4] Stadtmueller, U., *Almost sure versions of distributional limit theorems for certain order statistics*, Statist. Probab. Lett. **58** (2002), 413–426.

Marcin Dudziński  
Faculty of Applied Informatics and Mathematics  
(Wydział Zastosowań Informatyki i Matematyki)  
Department of Applied Mathematics  
(Katedra Zastosowań Matematyki)  
Warsaw University of Life Sciences (SGGW)  
ul. Nowoursynowska 159  
02-776 Warszawa  
Poland  
e-mail: marcin.dudzinski@sggw.pl

Received October 9, 2008