

I. R. KAYUMOV

Estimates of L_p norms for sums of positive functions

ABSTRACT. We present new inequalities of L_p norms for sums of positive functions. These inequalities are useful for investigation of convergence of simple partial fractions in $L_p(\mathbb{R})$.

Let p_n be a polynomial of degree n with zeros z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n . The logarithmic derivative of p_n

$$g_n(t) = \frac{p'_n(t)}{p_n(t)} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{t - z_k}$$

is called a simple partial fraction.

Let $z_k = x_k + iy_k$. V. Yu. Protasov [1] showed that if

$$(1) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|y_k|^{1/q}} < +\infty, \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1,$$

then the series

$$g_{\infty}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t - z_k}$$

converges in $L_p(\mathbb{R})$.

In [1] the problem to find necessary and sufficient conditions for convergence of the series g_{∞} in $L_p(\mathbb{R})$ was posed. Protasov proved that if g_{∞}

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 28B05.

Key words and phrases. Simple partial fractions, L_p estimates.

This work was supported by RFBR (11-01-00762).

converges in $L_p(\mathbb{R})$ and all z_k lie in the angle $|z| \leq C|y|$ with a fixed C , then for all $\varepsilon > 0$ the following condition holds:

$$(2) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|y_k|^{1/q+\varepsilon}} < +\infty$$

Therefore, we see that the sufficient condition (1) is quite close to the necessary condition (2).

In the paper [2] we proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let $p > 1$. If*

$$(3) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k^{p-1}}{|y_k|^{p-1}} < +\infty,$$

then the series

$$g_{\infty}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t - z_k}$$

converges in $L_p(\mathbb{R})$. Conversely, if $g_{\infty}(t)$ converges in $L_p(\mathbb{R})$, the sequence $|y_n|$ is increasing and $|z_k| \leq C|y_k|$, then the condition (3) holds.

The proof of Theorem 1 is based on the following fact.

For any $p \geq 2$ there exists a positive constant C_p depending only on p such that the following inequality holds

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{y_k}{(t - x_k)^2 + y_k^2} \right)^p dt \leq C_p \sum_{k=1}^n \left| \frac{k}{y_k} \right|^{p-1}.$$

It turns out that there exists a nontrivial generalization of this result for arbitrary positive functions from arbitrary measurable space.

To be precise, let X be a measurable space with positive measure μ . Suppose that $f_k \in L_1(X, \mu) \cap L_{\infty}(X, \mu)$ and $f_k \geq 0$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

We set

$$L = \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \int_X f_k d\mu,$$

$$M_k = \|f_k\|_{\infty}.$$

The aim of the present paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *If $p \in (1, 2]$, then there exists C_p such that*

$$(4) \quad \int_X \left(\sum_{k=1}^n f_k \right)^p d\mu \leq C_p L \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\sum_{k=j}^n M_k \right)^{p-1}.$$

If $p \in [2, +\infty)$, then there exists C_p such that

$$(5) \quad \int_X \left(\sum_{k=1}^n f_k \right)^p d\mu \leq C_p L \sum_{k=1}^n (kM_k)^{p-1}.$$

To prove Theorem 2 we need the following

Lemma. For any natural p the following inequality holds

$$(6) \quad \int_X \left(\sum_{k=1}^n f_k \right)^p d\mu \leq p!(p-1)!L \sum_{k=1}^n (kM_k)^{p-1}.$$

Proof. We multiply out and then integrate term by term:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_X \left(\sum_{k=1}^n f_k \right)^p d\mu \\ &= \sum_{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_p} \int_X f_{k_1} f_{k_2} \cdots f_{k_p} d\mu \\ &\leq p! \sum_{k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \cdots \geq k_p} \int_X f_{k_1} f_{k_2} \cdots f_{k_p} d\mu \\ &\leq p! \sum_{k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \cdots \geq k_p} \int_X M_{k_1} M_{k_2} \cdots M_{k_{p-1}} f_{k_p} d\mu \\ &= p! \sum_{k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \cdots \geq k_{p-1}} M_{k_1} M_{k_2} \cdots M_{k_{p-1}} \sum_{k_p=1}^{k_{p-1}} \int_X f_{k_p} d\mu \\ &\leq p! L \sum_{k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \cdots \geq k_{p-1}} M_{k_1} M_{k_2} \cdots M_{k_{p-2}} k_{p-1} M_{k_{p-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

In these inequalities the indexes k_1, k_2, \dots, k_p are varying from 1 to n . We note that for $p = 1$ the last sum is equal to $n\pi$. For $p = 2$ that sum is equal to $2\pi \sum_{k=1}^n kM_k$.

It is clear that to prove (6) it is enough to show that

$$\sum_{k_1=1}^n M_{k_1} \sum_{k_2=1}^{k_1} M_{k_2} \cdots \sum_{k_{p-2}=1}^{k_{p-3}} M_{k_{p-2}} \sum_{k_{p-1}=1}^{k_{p-2}} M_{k_{p-1}} k_{p-1} \leq (p-1)! \sum_{k=1}^n (kM_k)^{p-1}.$$

This inequality was established in the paper [2]. Lemma is proved. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. We have

$$\int_X \left(\sum_{k=1}^n f_k \right)^p d\mu = \int_X \left(\sum_{k=1}^n f_k \right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^n f_k \right)^{p-1} d\mu \leq 2^{p-1} (I_1 + I_2),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int_X \sum_{j=1}^n f_j \left(\sum_{k=1}^j f_k \right)^{p-1} d\mu, \\ I_2 &= \int_X \sum_{j=1}^n f_j \left(\sum_{k=j+1}^n f_k \right)^{p-1} d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the classical inequality $(a+b)^\alpha \leq 2^\alpha(a^\alpha + b^\alpha)$ which holds for all positive a, b, α .

It is easy to see that

$$(7) \quad I_2 \leq \int_X \sum_{j=1}^n f_j \left(\sum_{k=j+1}^n M_k \right)^{p-1} d\mu \leq L \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\sum_{k=j+1}^n M_k \right)^{p-1}.$$

Further we shall consider the cases $p \leq 2$ and $p > 2$ separately.

Case $p \in (1, 2]$.

To get an upper estimate for I_1 we use the Hölder inequality

$$I_1 \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\int_X f_j^\alpha d\mu \right)^{1/\alpha} \left(\int_X \left(\sum_{k=1}^j f_k \right)^{(p-1)\beta} d\mu \right)^{1/\beta}$$

with parameters $\alpha = 1/(2-p)$, $\beta = 1/(p-1)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\int_X f_j^\alpha d\mu \right)^{2-p} \left(\int_X \sum_{k=1}^j f_k d\mu \right)^{p-1} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\int_X f_j^{\alpha-1} f_j d\mu \right)^{2-p} \left(\int_X \sum_{k=1}^j f_k d\mu \right)^{p-1} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n \left(M_j^{\alpha-1} L \right)^{2-p} (jL)^{p-1} = L \sum_{j=1}^n (jM_j)^{p-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Copson's inequality ([3], Theorem 344)

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n + a_{n+1} + \dots)^{p-1} > (p-1)^{p-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (na_n)^{p-1}$$

we get

$$I_1 \leq L \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\sum_{k=j}^n M_k \right)^{p-1}.$$

This inequality together with (7) gives us desired estimate (4) which proves Theorem 2 in case when $p \leq 2$.

Case $p \in (2, +\infty)$.

It follows from Copson's inequality ([3], Theorem 331)

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n + a_{n+1} + \dots)^{p-1} \leq (p-1)^{p-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (na_n)^{p-1}$$

that

$$(8) \quad I_2 \leq L(p-1)^{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^n j^{p-1} M_j^{p-1}.$$

To estimate I_1 we again use the Hölder inequality

$$I_1 \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\int_X f_j^\alpha d\mu \right)^{1/\alpha} \left(\int_X \left(\sum_{k=1}^j f_k \right)^{(p-1)\beta} d\mu \right)^{1/\beta}$$

with parameters $\alpha = m/(m+1-p)$, $\beta = m/(p-1)$ where m is the integer part of p . Further, Lemma and Hölder's inequality yield the following estimates

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n L^{1/\alpha} M_j^{(\alpha-1)/\alpha} \left(\int_X \left(\sum_{k=1}^j f_k \right)^m d\mu \right)^{(p-1)/m} \\ &\leq L \sum_{j=1}^n M_j^{(p-1)/m} \left(\pi m! (m-1)! \sum_{k=1}^j (kM_k)^{m-1} \right)^{(p-1)/m} \\ &= LC(m, p) \sum_{j=1}^n (jM_j)^{(p-1)/m} \left(\frac{1}{j} \sum_{k=1}^j (kM_k)^{m-1} \right)^{(p-1)/m} \\ &\leq LC(m, p) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n (jM_j)^{\alpha_1(p-1)/m} \right)^{1/\alpha_1} \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{j} \sum_{k=1}^j (kM_k)^{m-1} \right)^{\beta_1(p-1)/m} \right)^{1/\beta_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $\alpha_1 = m$, $\beta_1 = m/(m-1)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq LC(m, p) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n (jM_j)^{p-1} \right)^{1/m} \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{j} \sum_{k=1}^j (kM_k)^{m-1} \right)^{(p-1)/(m-1)} \right)^{(m-1)/m}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Hardy's inequality ([3], Theorem 326)

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_k}{k} \right)^s \leq \left(\frac{s}{s-1} \right)^s \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k^s$$

with $s = (p-1)/(m-1)$ and $a_k = (kM_k)^{m-1}$ we see that

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq LC(m, p) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n (jM_j)^{p-1} \right)^{1/m} \left(s^s (s-1)^{-s} \sum_{j=1}^n (jM_j)^{p-1} \right)^{(m-1)/m} \\ &= LC_1(m, p) \sum_{j=1}^n (jM_j)^{p-1}. \end{aligned}$$

This estimate together with (8) proves (5). Theorem 2 is proved. \square

Let us remark that the inequalities (4) and (5) are sharp up to some absolute constant depending on p only. This can be easily seen by setting $f_j \equiv 1$ on X . Other examples can be constructed as follows: $X = \mathbb{R}$ and

$$f_k(t) = \frac{y_k}{(t - x_k)^2 + y_k^2}.$$

In case when $|y_k|$ is increasing sequence, it was proved in the paper [2] that the sign \leq in the inequalities (4) and (5) can replaced by \geq with some other absolute constant $c_p > 0$ depending on p only.

Acknowledgement. I would like to thank the Organizers of the XVIth Conference on Analytic Functions and Related Topics (Chełm, Poland, 2011) for their warm hospitality.

REFERENCES

- [1] Protasov, V. Yu., *Approximation by simple partial fractions and the Hilbert transform*, Izv. Math. **73** (2) (2009), 333–349.
- [2] Kayumov, I. R., *Convergence of simple partial fractions in $L_p(\mathbb{R})$* , Sb. Math. **202** (10) (2011), 1493–1504.
- [3] Hardy, G. H., Littlewood, J. E., Pólya, G., *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1934.

Ilgiz Kayumov
Kazan Federal University
Russia
e-mail: ikayumov@ksu.ru

Received September 18, 2011